

ENVIRONMENTAL EVALUATION OF FACILITIES DEVELOPMENT ACTIONS Wisconsin Department of Transportation (WisDOT) DT2094 1/2016

BASIC SHEET 1 - PR	OJECT SU	MMARY					
Project ID		Project Termini		Funding Sources (check all that a)			
3763-00-04		County KR from County H to		☐ Federal ☐ State	⊠ Local		
Construction ID 3763-00-74	Old	Old Green Bay Road		Estimated Project Cost and Funding Source (state funding). Year of Expenditure (YOE) dollars include		ing).	
Route Designation (If applicable)		rest Communi			delivery cost. \$54 million in 2021 dollars		
CTH KR		age of Mour		t and			
National Highway System (NHS) Route ☐ Yes ☐ No	e Villa	age of Som	ers		Real Estate Acquisition Portion of \$5 million in 2019 dollars	Estimated Cost (YOE	.)
Project Title		tion / Township			Utility Relocation Portion of Estima	ated Cost (YOE)	
County KR-Phase 2		ctions 2,3,4, ctions 32,33			\$400,000 in 2021 dollars		
County					Right of Way Acquisition	Acres	
Racine and Kenosha counties					Fee	53.8	
Bridge Number(s) (if applicable)	For an ER, I	ndicate the da o begin prelimi	te funding w	as erina	TLE	3.0	
Pike River Bridges: B-30-143/144	For an EA, I	ndicate the da	te the Proces	SS	PLE	12.1	
UPRR Bridges: B-30-145/146	Initiation Let 06/04/18	tter was accept	ted by FHW/	Α.	3 _ *		* X
CPRR Bridges: B-30-147/148 Functional Classification of Exist	ling Pouts				M. BOT D. 1 (0) 15 (1)		
(FDM 3-5-2)	ing Route	Urban	Rural		WisDOT Project Classificati	on (FDM 3-5-2)	-
Freeway/Expressway					rfacing		井
Principal Arterial					ment Replacement		ᆜ
Minor Arterial			\boxtimes		nditioning		
Major Collector				Expar			
Minor Collector					e Rehabilitation		
Collector					e Replacement rs" Project (there are both state and	fodoral malara)	
Local				SHRN		lederal majors)	+
No Functional Class					nstruction		
				1	entive Maintenance		
	16			Safety			井
					- Describe: Railroad grade sep	aration	
☐ FHWA Draft Type 2c Categorical Ex ☑ FHWAWISDOT Draft Environmenta	I Assessment	(EA). No sign	ificant impa			ated by initial assess	sment.
(Print - Preparer Name, Title, Company/On	ganization)	(Date - m/	d/yy)	(Signature	- Director, Bureau of Technical Services) (Date - m/c	1/yy)
01 01 11		10 1/2	119	MIA			
(Signature, Title)	trojeci p	1gr. 122	n/d/yy)	N/A (Signature	, Title)	(Date – m/c	d/yy)
	Rails & Har			FHW	· ·	FRA	

	ntal Report (ER). It has been determined no significant impacts will occur
and a Public Hearing is not required. After reviewing and addressing substantive public comments, updating the	Draft CE/ER or Draft EA and coordinating with other agencies, it is
determined this action:	5.41, 0.2 2.1 o. 5.41 2.1 a.
Will NOT significantly affect the quality of the human environment. This d	ocument is a Final CE/Final ER.
Will NOT significantly affect the quality of the human environment. This de	ocument is a Final EA/Finding of No Significant Impact.
Has potential to significantly affect the quality of the human environment	. Draft Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) required.
Caron Kloser HNTB Corp. 5-15-2019	5-15-19
(Print - Preparer Name, Title, Company/Organization) (Date - m/d/yy)	(Signature - Director, Bureau of Technical Services) (Date - m/d/yy)
Stare Hell WisDOT Project Mgr. 5/15/19	
(Signature, Title) (Date – m/d/yy)	(Signature, Title) (Date – m/d/yy)
Region Aeronautics Rails & Harbors	☐ FHWA ☐ FAA ☐ FTA ☐ FRA

ENVIRONMENTAL ADDENDUM A

Wisconsin Department of Transportation

Alt	ernative	Total Length of Center Line of Existing Roadway 2.8
Bu	ild Alternative – Reconstruction	Length of This Alternative 2.8
1.	Date(s) of Public Notice: 1/29/19 and 2/14/19	
2.	In: (Name of Newspaper): Racine Journal Times and K	enosha News
3.	Dates Environmental Assessment made available to p	public:
	From 1/29/19 To 3/7/19	
4.	Public Hearing: ☐ Was not required, explain: ☐ Opportunity was given but no hearing was held. ☐ No requests for a public hearing were received. ☐ Requests for a public hearing were not substantiated. ☐ Was held on 2/28/19 at Somers Village Hall from 4 to	
5.	or opposition to the project. Include a summary of the	ublic Notice of Availability. Characterize public support e changes to the environmental document and the proposed by the public and subsequently rejected should be
	January 29, 2019 and March 7, 2019. Many comments we corridor and who generally do not support the project in it to how the project will impact the character of residential east side of the project area where residential uses are more than the project and the projected traffic volumes due to the Foxconn development in Mount Pleasant. In addition, see posted speed limit of 35 miles per hour instead of the profession individuals was submitted requesting the project be realso requested a panel comprised of political representative be made available for questions by the put	is current configuration. Many of the comments were related neighborhoods and individual properties particularly on the nore prevalent. Several comments also questioned the need e recent uncertainties surrounding the implementation of the veral comments stated the roadway should be designed for a posed speed limit of 45 mile per hour. A petition signed by e-evaluated further before final plans are made. The petition lives from the adjacent villages and counties and a WisDOT

Based on public comments, WisDOT modified the preferred median access option between UPRR and 56th Avenue from a raised median with no cross access (Option 1) to a center two-way left turn lane (Option 3) that allows continuous cross access from driveways and intersecting roadways in this section. The design plans for the access options are in Appendix B-6 of the EA.

6. Describe selected alternative:

summary of comments and WisDOT's responses to comments.

Selected alternative is the same as that described on form DT2094, Environmental Evaluation of Facilities Development Actions.

Selected alternative is different from that described on form DT2094, Environmental Evaluation of Facilities Development Actions. Explain changes and why another alternative was selected.

The selected alternative is the Build Alternative – Reconstruction. This alternative would reconstruct and widen County KR between County H and Old Green Bay Road along the border of Mount Pleasant in Racine County and Somers in Kenosha County – a distance of about 2.8 miles. The Build Alternative - Reconstruction includes replacing the existing two-lane roadway with a four-lane median divided roadway. The median between County H and 90th Street would be 36-feet and the median along the remainder of the corridor would typically be 30-feet. Between the UPRR and 56th Avenue, County KR would be constructed with a center two-way left turn lane instead of a raised median as initially identified in the EA. The two-way left turn lane would allow continuous cross access from driveways and roadways in this section. East of Old Green Bay Road, County KR would have a 30-foot median that tapers to

match the existing road width. The median to the east of 43rd Avenue would be painted to facilitate full access for cross streets and driveways along County KR in this section.

The selected alternative would include improvements to maintain traffic operations at the County KR intersections with WIS 31 and Old Green Bay Road and it would realign 90th Street on the north with County EA (72nd Avenue) on the south. Each of these intersections would be signalized and have exclusive left turn and right turn lanes. New bridges (grade separations) for County KR would replace the existing at-grade railroad crossings at CPRR and UPRR. Two new 233-foot concrete slab span bridges over the Pike River would replace the existing 3-cell (12-foot x 13.5-foot/cell) box culvert that conveys the Pike River under County KR. A 10-foot shared-use path would be built on both sides of the road between County H and 90th Street and on the north side of County KR between 90th Street and Vicksburg Drive, just east of Old Green Bay Road.

Existing drainage ditches would be replaced with curb and gutter, and stormwater would be conveyed to three detention ponds at the following general locations: north of County KR, between County H and CPRR; south of County KR, east of 72nd Avenue; and north of County KR, west of the Pike River. A fourth stormwater treatment would use a regenerative stormwater conveyance (RSC) system on the south side of County KR, between the Pike River and WIS 31 instead of the stormwater detention pond initially identified in the EA. RSC is an alternative approach to provide stormwater treatment, infiltration, and conveyance and it uses a series of shallow aquatic pools, riffle structures, native vegetation and underlying sand and woodchip beds to treat, detain and convey storm flow.

The selected alternative described above is different from that described on form DT2094 as follows:

- The preferred median access between UPRR and 56th Avenue was changed from Option #1, Full Median with No Access to Option #3, Two Way Left Turn Lane.
- The preferred stormwater facility to the south of County KR between the Pike River and WIS 31 was changed from a detention pond to a regenerative stormwater conveyance (RSC) system.

These options were described during the presentation at the public hearing and a display board with the Two-Way Left Turn Lane option was available for review at the public hearing. WisDOT coordinated with Racine and Kenosha counties regarding these options and both counties indicated support for including these options in the selected alternative.

Refinements to design plans and stormwater detention ponds would occur as the project progresses through more detailed design phases. WisDOT will complete a reevaluation of the EA if design refinements result in substantive changes to environmental impacts disclosed in the EA.

7. List of Changes to EA

The following changes were made to the EA to reflect changes to the selected alternative based on public comment, coordination with Racine and Kenosha counties, new or additional information received since the release of the EA and to address minor errors and/or typographical mistakes:

- Basic Sheet 2, Table of Contents, Abbreviations/Acronyms, Document Description: Updated acronyms
- Basic Sheet 3, Purpose and Need
 - Question 2, Summary of Alternatives: Revised Build Alternative Reconstruction summary to reflect the selection of median access Option 3, Two Way Left Turn Lane between UPRR and 56th Avenue based on public input.
 - Question 3, Description of Proposed Action:
 - Revised the description of the Build Alternative Reconstruction to reflect the plans to build a center twoway left turn lane between UPRR and 56th Avenue instead of a raised median based on public input.
 - Revised the description of the Build Alternative Reconstruction to reflect the plans to use a regenerative stormwater conveyance system instead of a stormwater detention pond on the south side of County KR between the Pike River and WIS 31.
 - Question 10. Public Involvement:
 - Revised to correct location of public meeting on October 17, 2018.
 - Added additional meetings WisDOT held with residents and neighborhoods along County KR.
 - Question 12, Local/regional/tribal/federal government coordination: Added additional Racine County and Kenosha County meetings WisDOT attended in support of the right of way plat approval process.
- Basic Sheet 8, Environmental Commitments: Revised mitigation for Community or Residential, Wetlands, Air Quality and Stormwater sections. See corresponding Factor Sheets for explanations of changes.

- Basic Sheet 9, Environmental Factors Matrix: Revised summaries for the following environmental factors: Community or Residential, Air Quality and Stormwater sections. See corresponding Factor Sheets for explanations of changes.
- Factor Sheet A-1 General Economics Evaluation: Revised based on current Foxconn development schedule.
- Factor Sheet B-1 Community or Residential Evaluation (Mount Pleasant and Somers):
 - Question 5: Revised to include statement about potential impacts to U.S. Postal Service (USPS) routing and mailboxes along County KR.
 - Question 6: Revised to reflect modifications to the selected alternative to include a center two-way left turn lane between UPRR and 56th Avenue and allow left-turns from driveways and roadways in this section.
- Factor Sheet C-1 Wetlands Evaluation: Revised #11 and #12 to exclude in lieu fee (ILF) payment to DNR through
 the Wisconsin Wetland Conservation Trust program per input from DNR. Instead, wetland mitigation will be
 completed consistent with the WisDOT Wetland Mitigation Technical Guidelines during the Section 404 permit
 application process.
- Factor Sheet D-1 Air Quality Evaluation: Revised #1 to reflect inclusion of project in TIP under #399.
- Factor Sheet D-3 Traffic Noise Evaluation: Revised to include setback for undeveloped property between WIS 31 (Green Bay Road) and Old Green Bay Road.
- Factor Sheet D-5 Stormwater Evaluation: Revised #2, #4, #6 and #9 to include a regenerative stormwater conveyance (RSC) system instead of a detention pond to the south of County KR between the Pike River and WIS 31.
- Appendix B Design Plans and Options
 - Appendix B-1: Added callout boxes to indicate location of center two-way left turn section between UPRR and
 56th Avenue and the regenerative stormwater conveyance (RSC) system
 - Appendix B-2: Updated to include revised typical section with center two-way left turn lane between UPRR and 56th Avenue.
 - Appendix B-4: Added callout boxes to indicate location of center two-way left turn section between UPRR and 56th Avenue
- Appendix D Indirect and Cumulative Effects Technical Report: Updated description of selected alternative
- Appendix G Noise Exhibits: Added local coordination letters to appendix

8. Detailed List of Comments and Responses

TOPIC	COMMENT SUMMARY	WISDOT RESPONSE
Project purpose and need and Foxconn development	Comments questioned the need for the project as currently proposed because of uncertainties surrounding the scale of the Foxconn development. Comments included slowing down the project until the Foxconn development plans are firmer and conducting additional evaluation to determine if the expansion is needed. Related comments supported improvements to County KR, but with less impactful design; or, invest in other roads that need improvements more than County KR.	The design and schedule for the County KR – Phase 2 project is not expected to change based on plans for the Foxconn campus because the project purpose is not solely based on the Foxconn project. The purpose of the project is to upgrade the County KR infrastructure to safely and efficiently handle projected traffic volumes anticipated from all local economic development activities occurring in the project area, not just Foxconn, and to provide a safe and well-connected transportation corridor that serves the communities in Racine County and Kenosha County. The roadway plans are also compatible with local and regional land use and transportation plans that anticipate the transition of the area to urban land uses. The project is compatible with the Southeast Wisconsin Regional Planning Commission's (SEWRPC) long range transportation plan (Vision 2050, amended August 2018) that recommends widening of County KR to four lanes. The project is also compatible with the prior version of the regional plan and the comprehensive plans for Racine County and Kenosha County, completed in 2009 and 2010, respectively, that recommended the preservation of right of way along County KR between I-94 and WIS 32 for a future fourlane facility. These plans were in place prior to knowledge of Foxconn. Although these prior plans recommend widening to WIS 32, the
Project ID# 3763-0	00.04	Environmental Addendum A: Page 3 of 12

COMMENT SUMMARY	WISDOT RESPONSE
	eastern terminus for the project at Old Green Bay Road is logical based on year 2042 traffic projections from SEWRPC, that show traffic volumes drop 25 percent to 33 percent to the east of WIS 31 and Old Green Bay Road, respectively, to 12,000 vehicles per day. Kenosha County and Racine County are responsible for determining future improvements to County KR between the project's east limits and WIS 32 and the timeline for those improvements.
	The project is also compatible with the Eastern Racine County Transportation Task Force, comprised of representatives from Racine County, Mount Pleasant, Caledonia and Sturtevant, that recommends the widening of County KR to improve connectivity to I-94, improve access for workers and improve east-west travel times.
Comments questioned the validity of the existing and projected traffic volumes on County KR. Comments stated the existing traffic data of 9,000-9,500 vehicle per day are inflated or do not represent day to day observations of residents. Comments also questioned the methodology to arrive future traffic volumes, especially if Foxconn development is scaled back.	A traffic analysis was completed for the County KR - Phase 2 project. The existing (2018) traffic counts were collected by a consultant on behalf of WisDOT using manual counters. Counts were collected for 13 hours at the County KR intersections with 90th Street, WIS 31 and Old Green Bay Road and for six hours (6-9 a.m. and 3-6 p.m.) at the County KR intersections with County EA and 56th Avenue. WisDOT reviewed the counts before accepting them to ensure the data is reasonable, which included comparing the new counts to historic data and area development. The traffic projections for the project were prepared by SEWRPC. SEWRPC is the federally recognized metropolitan planning organization (MPO) for transportation planning in Southeast Wisconsin. SEWRPC maintains a travel demand model that is based on regional land use and transportation plans to prepare traffic forecasts for the region and specific projects. In accordance with Chapter 9 of the WisDOT Transportation Planning Manual, WisDOT reviews and approves all traffic forecasts developed by SEWRPC. The SEWRPC travel demand forecasts that were approved by WisDOT were used to conduct a traffic analysis for the County KR – Phase 2 project and to assess roadway capacity and safety needs. The County KR reconstruction is needed regardless of Foxconn development plans. Both regional and local land use and transportation plans recognized the need for capacity expansion prior to the Foxconn development announcement.
Comments questioned why the roadway was being designed for a speed limit of 45 miles per hour and requested that the road be designed for a posted speed limit of 35 mph to reduce the right of way width, minimize property impacts and create a safer environment for the area with slower traffic. Noise impacts would also be less	The County KR-Phase 2 project is being built to design standards to accommodate a posted speed limit of 45 miles per hour. This decision is based on the purpose of the project to upgrade the County KR infrastructure to safely and efficiently handle projected traffic volumes anticipated from local economic development activities occurring in the project area, and to provide a safe and well-connected transportation corridor that serves the communities in Racine and Kenosha counties. The design speed and posted speed limit of 45 miles per hour was agreed to by Racine and Kenosha counties as part of the April 2018 jurisdictional transfer agreement with WisDOT.
	Comments questioned the validity of the existing and projected traffic volumes on County KR. Comments stated the existing traffic data of 9,000-9,500 vehicle per day are inflated or do not represent day to day observations of residents. Comments also questioned the methodology to arrive future traffic volumes, especially if Foxconn development is scaled back. Comments questioned why the roadway was being designed for a speed limit of 45 miles per hour and requested that the road be designed for a posted speed limit of 35 mph to reduce the right of way width, minimize property impacts and create a safer environment for the

TOPIC	COMMENT SUMMARY	WISDOT RESPONSE
		provide more gaps in traffic for vehicles to enter the roadway from driveways during peak travel periods. The improved intersections and medians will also enhance safety and the shared-use paths that are separated from the roadway traffic will make it safer for pedestrians and bicycles.
		Noise impacts may be reduced with a lower speed limit, but not eliminated since impacts are also related to the proximity of the road in relation to residential receptors and higher traffic volumes.
Property acquisition	Residents in the project corridor commented on the project impact to abutting residential properties. The project acquires substantial lot frontages that will disrupt people's homes and lives. Commenters objected to the taking of land for road expansion and the use of eminent domain to acquire property.	The Environmental Assessment identifies impacts to the properties adjacent to the project corridor and the new right of way that is required for the project. The design for County KR minimizes impacts to the extent practicable while still meeting the project purpose and need and engineering design parameters. Since County KR is a county roadway, Racine and Kenosha counties are responsible for property acquisition in the project corridor. The real estate appraisal and acquisition process will take into account property damages.
Reduced property value and resale value	Comments stated concerns about the project adversely affecting property values of the homes that border County KR since homes will be close to a four-lane roadway. Some comments stated the compensation for acquired land is not enough and that homeowners should be compensated for the loss in resale value and the loss of trees, aesthetics and enjoyment of property over the long term.	Property values are based on many factors including supply and demand, economic conditions, location, community amenities and schools. While the project may impact the value of some properties along the corridor, it may also increase the value of some properties by improving mobility along this east-west connector and by providing the infrastructure that is needed for planned urban development consistent with local comprehensive plans. The real estate appraisal and acquisition process with the counties will take into account property damages.
Trees and landscaping	Several comments expressed concern about impacts to trees and landscaping from the project. Comments stated trees not only provide aesthetic value but also provide privacy and act as a buffer from wind, snow, headlights, noise, and errant vehicles and objects that leave the roadway.	WisDOT has committed to inventorying potential impacted trees in residential areas in the village of Somers and will further coordinate with both Racine and Kenosha counties and the village of Mount Pleasant to identify measures to potentially further minimize impacts. During the subsequent more detailed design phases, WisDOT will consider additional opportunities to minimize impacts to trees and properties to the extent practicable. The real estate appraisal and acquisition process will take into account property damages, including the potential loss of trees and vegetation.
Noise impacts	Comments expressed concerns about noise impacts to residences during construction and noise impact from increased traffic after the	As stated in the EA under Factor Sheet D-3, Traffic Noise Evaluation, the future traffic noise levels would approach or exceed the Noise Level Criteria at 23 residences. Traffic noise mitigation is not feasible since all 23 residences have driveway access to County KR. WisDOT notified local governments of
	project is constructed.	predicted sound levels for land use planning purposes in currently undeveloped areas.

TOPIC	COMMENT SUMMARY	WISDOT RESPONSE
		from construction.
Driveway impacts	Affected residents along the project corridor expressed concern about impacts to driveways and the loss of storage space for trailers, RVs, campers and guest parking. One comment suggested that when driveways are reconstructed, they should be configured so the residents can turn their cars around to exit onto KR "nose first". Another comment asked if the project will pay for a double driveway to replace the lost driveway space. Other comments expressed concern about the safety of accessing driveways.	All affected driveways will be reconnected to match new road profiles. The four-lane roadway will make it easier for people to see from driveways as they enter County KR and a four-lane facility will provide more gaps in traffic for vehicles to enter the roadway from driveways during peak travel periods. Also, an eight-foot shoulder is included along County KR between UPRR and 56th Avenue allowing vehicles to decelerate to and accelerate from driveways. The reconstructed four-lane roadway would create more capacity and less congestion on County KR, which would allow for gaps in traffic allowing backing movements. The reconfiguration of driveways and the potential to widen driveways for storage or turn around space will be addressed on a case by case basis as part of the property acquisition and property damage appraisal process being led by Racine and Kenosha counties.
Reduced setback between roadway and homes	Commenters expressed	The improved four-lane median divided roadway will be better equipped to safely handle the 45 mile per hour posted speed limit compared to the existing two-lane configuration of County KR.
Campbell Woods subdivision impacts	Comments stated concerns about impacts to the subdivision including impacts to mature oak trees, loss of	WisDOT coordinated with both the Department of Natural Resources (DNR) and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) to identify and minimize impacts to natural resources. During more detailed design phases, WisDOT will consider
	vegetative screening, impacts to the subdivision's out lots and drainage system, loss of property from residences along County KR and parking along Vicksburg Drive from non-residents using the shared-use path.	additional opportunities to minimize impacts to trees and properties in the subdivision to the extent practicable.
proj alor alor non		Since County KR is a county roadway, Racine County is responsible for purchasing right of way on the north side of County KR. Affected homeowners will need to discuss acquisition of land and the potential for replacement screening with the Racine County real estate representatives.
		The project will mitigate impacts to the subdivision's stormwater ponds by modifying the shape of the ponds and replacing the storage capacity.
		The project cannot prevent the public from parking along Vicksburg Drive to access the shared-use path since parking is regulated by the local government. If on-street parking becomes a nuisance, residents could work with the Village of Mount Pleasant to identify potential solutions.

TOPIC	COMMENT SUMMARY	WISDOT RESPONSE
End the project west of WIS 31 or Old Green Bay Road	Comments stated the project should not be extended past WIS 31 or Old Green Bay Road as widening is not needed at this time to the East of Old Green Bay Road. Most of these comments were related to impacts to the Campbell Woods subdivision.	The number of through lanes on County KR needs to be carried through each intersection so through vehicles have a receiving lane on the opposite side of the intersections. A distance of about 2,000 feet is required to safely transition a roadway from four to two lanes. Since the County KR intersections with WIS 31 and Old Green Bay Road are relatively close together (about 1,400 feet), this transition can't occur between the two intersections. Also, with both intersections being signalized, left-turn and right-turn lanes are required to provide storage and transition from the through lanes into these turn lanes. Most of the distance between the two intersections is taken up by these taper and storage distances which are based on peak hour traffic volumes.
Median access between UPRR and 56th Avenue	Comments stated support for the center two-way left turn lane option instead of the raised median option between UPRR and 56th Avenue.	Based on public input and input from Racine and Kenosha counties, County KR between UPRR and 56th Avenue will be constructed with a center two-way left turn lane instead of a raised median as initially identified in the EA. The two-way left turn lane would allow continuous cross access from driveways and roadways in this section of County KR. Also, an eight-foot shoulder is included along this section allowing vehicles to decelerate to and accelerate from driveways and roadways.
Median access between Old Green Road and the eastern project limits	The comment stated the plans for County KR say residences to the east of Old Green Bay Road will have full driveway access from east and westbound lanes. This is not true for homes between Old Green Bay Road and 43rd Avenue.	The median access for these areas was clarified in the EA. County KR would have a 30-foot raised median between Old Green Bay Road and 43rd Avenue. All driveways along this section will have right-in and right-out access. Vehicles will not be able to make left turns from driveways in this section and will be required to make a U-turn at the nearest intersection or median opening. The nearest intersections are at Old Green Bay Road and 43rd Avenue. Between 43rd Avenue and the eastern project limits, the median would be painted to facilitate full access for cross streets and driveways along County KR in this section.
Snow plowing between UPRR and 56th Avenue	The eight-foot shoulders provided for acceleration to and deceleration from driveways between UPRR and 56th Avenue will be full of snow piles during winter which will decrease and/or eliminate the usefulness of the shoulders.	Racine and Kenosha counties will be responsible for the maintenance of County KR. Kenosha County would be responsible for plowing and clearing snow from the shoulders and median between UPRR and 56th Avenue.
Expansion of County KR between Old Green Bay Road and WIS 32	Comment questioned why the current project limits end at Old Green Bay Road and asked why the project is not considering expansion all the way to WIS 32.	The eastern terminus for the project is logical based on year 2042 traffic projections from the Southeast Wisconsin Regional Planning Commission (SEWRPC), that show traffic volumes drop 25 percent to 33 percent to the east of WIS 31 and Old Green Bay Road, respectively, to 12,000 vehicles per day. The existing two-lanes along County KR to the east of Old Green Bay Road has available capacity for the projected traffic volumes. Kenosha County and Racine County are responsible for future improvements to County KR between the project's east limits and WIS 32. Per WisDOT discussions with Racine County and Kenosha County, the counties do not anticipate the need for improvements to the section of County KR between Old Green Bay Road and WIS 32 in the near future after completion of any improvement to KR that results from this project due to unknown

TOPIC	COMMENT SUMMARY	WISDOT RESPONSE
		development potential. Racine and Kenosha counties will continue to evaluate the timeline for future improvements to County KR between Old Green Bay Road and WIS 32.
Petition/Request of Panel Forum	A petition signed by about 160 individuals was submitted requesting the project be reevaluated further before final plans are made and that a panel comprised of political representatives from the adjacent villages and counties and a WisDOT representative be made available for questions by the public before the plans move forward.	An Environmental Assessment (EA) was prepared for the County KR project in accordance with the Wisconsin Environmental Policy Act (WEPA). The EA evaluates design alternatives and impacts and considers several factors before making a final decision on the project, including safety, mobility, compatibility with local plans, engineering design standards, environmental impacts, cost, and input from the public and state/federal review agencies. The EA was made available for public review and comment from 1/29/19 to 3/7/19. As part of the EA process, WisDOT held a public hearing on 2/28/19 during the EA availability period. The hearing provided an opportunity for the public to review project plans and the information in the EA and provide written or verbal testimony. WisDOT, Racine County and Kenosha County representatives were present at the public hearing and in attendance for the public verbal testimony session. Informal questions and answers were accommodated in the Lobby Hallway portion of the meeting. All written comments and the transcripts for the hearing were provided to the County Executives and government staff in Racine and Kenosha counties and are available on the project website. In addition, WisDOT held two public involvement meetings for the project on July 12, 2018 and October 17, 2018 to share project plans and obtain input from residents in an informal setting. Input obtained from the public meetings helped refine the design plans and evaluate access options along the corridor. WisDOT further refined the preferred alternative to include a two-way left turn lane between UPRR and 56th Avenue based on public input received during the public hearing. WisDOT has developed the project in accordance with WEPA and does not plan to host a separate forum. WisDOT will continue to coordinate with the local units of government as the design and construction phases of the project progress, which may include coordination with individual property owners as needed.
Mailbox location	Comments include concerns about safely accessing mailboxes that are currently located on the north side of County KR and the relocation of mailboxes to the side of the residence.	Kenosha County and Racine County will coordinate with the United States Postal Service (USPS) to determine revised routing following construction of County KR. Homeowners are responsible for the placement of mailboxes along USPS routes in accordance with USPS mailbox regulations for curbside residential mailboxes. Residents should contact the local post office for more information on properly relocating mailboxes. Residents should also contact USPS to determine mail delivery procedures during construction.
56th Avenue traffic signal and roadway improvements	Comments stated a traffic signal should be included at County KR intersection with 56th Avenue. Also, comments stated 56th Avenue needs to be improved prior to construction because it is in poor condition and will be used during construction to access properties on the south side of County KR.	The project will include stop sign controls at 56th Avenue because the intersection currently does not meet traffic signal warrants. Improvements to 56th Avenue are not included in the project and would be the responsibility of the Village of Somers. Traffic volumes are expected to remain low along 56th Avenue during construction.

TOPIC	COMMENT SUMMARY	WISDOT RESPONSE
Access road and retaining wall at UPRR grade separation	aining wall at about the visual impact of the wall, impacts to property	The retaining wall will be comprised of modular concrete wall panels that will break up the wall with a block configuration. Kenosha and Racine counties are responsible for any additional aesthetic improvements, including potential screening of the proposed retaining wall at the UPRR grade separation.
	and exiting the access road due to vehicles speeding over the grade separation and the	The access road will be a public road that will be owned and maintained by the local government who will be responsible for snow plowing.
	potential for the access road to be missed by snow plows. A comment also said homeowners should be given options for purchase of property.	An eight-foot shoulder is included between UPRR and 56th Avenue allowing vehicles to safely decelerate to and accelerate from the access road and driveways in this section. This will allow vehicles to remain in the shoulder and gradually get up to speed before entering the main travel lane. The bridge over UPRR is designed with an approximately four percent grade. This is a gentle slope that should minimize vehicles increasing speed over the bridge.
		Kenosha County initiated coordination with property owners affected by the retaining wall during the environmental review process and will continue coordination with property owners during subsequent project phases.
Bypass alternative	Comments stated the Bypass Alternative should be reconsidered since it would produce similar impacts to the County KR project. Comments also stated access to Racine and Kenosha should not be given equal weight in the purpose and need statement since Kenosha already has several access options between the lakefront and I-94.	A bypass alternative proposed by local residents would create a new roadway alignment to the north of the existing County KR from 90th Street to WIS 11 and downtown Racine. With input from Racine and Kenosha counties, WisDOT determined to not pursue the Bypass Alternative. This decision is based on evaluation of several factors including environmental impacts, engineering and project costs. Also, the Bypass Alternative would not meet the purpose and need for the project because it only addresses mobility to Racine and would not address mobility to the city of Kenosha and other Kenosha County communities. Although the reconstruction and widening of County KR would have similar impacts as the Bypass Alternative, the impacts of a Bypass alternative are expected to be of greater intensity compared to the impact of expanding an existing roadway. If the Bypass Alternative were designed to engineering standards, it would impact adjacent natural resources along the route in a manner similar to or greater than the County KR project and it would disrupt properties and residential areas to the north of County KR.
Shared-use path	Some comments stated the shared-use paths are not needed because people should not walk or bike along a four-lane roadway and that sidewalks do not fit the rural character of the area. Also, some comments expressed concern that the shared-use paths increase the impact to abutting properties and require ongoing maintenance.	The shared-use paths along County KR are consistent with local goals to provide a connected multimodal transportation system. The proposed paths would improve non-vehicular (pedestrian and bicycle) access along the project corridor and provide safe connections to other existing and planned shared-use paths including the Pike River Pathway and the Kenosha County bike system that extends south of County KR along WIS 31 to the Petrifying Spring Park. The paths would also connect with the shared-use path planned along County KR to the west of County H and the shared-use paths planned for other Wisconn Valley development roads in Racine County.
	require origoing maintenance.	The shared-use paths provide a dedicated space in the public right of way for pedestrians and bicycles that is separated from vehicular traffic. This increases safety by separating nonmotorized users from faster moving traffic. To minimize intersections with the

A comment suggested the project should provide a bike crossing via twin culverts at	shared-use path, and based on public input received at public meetings, WisDOT shifted the location of the shared-use path between 90th Street and the east project terminus from the south side of the road to the north side of the road. Running the Pike River path under County KR is not
project should provide a bike	
the Pike River that could normally serve as a trail but at flood stage a path for storm water flow.	recommended. The existing 3-cell box culvert will be replaced by two slab-span bridges designed for the 100-year flood event to address the existing roadway flooding issue. To construct a path under County KR that would be above the high-water elevation, the County KR profile would have to be raised an additional 8 feet to 10 feet above the proposed profile. This would not only increase project costs, but it would increase impacts to the adjacent properties, driveway slopes and trees.
	Through coordination with the Village of Mount Pleasant, the shared-use path along County KR will be placed on the north side of County KR allowing a connection to the Pike River Pathway under construction. Bicyclists and pedestrians can then go east about 800 feet to the County KR and WIS 31 intersection and safely cross at the traffic signal to access the shared-use path south of County KR along WIS 31.
Comments noted the impact to mature oak trees at Campbell Woods subdivision, and overall impacts to wildlife in the area, including wetland filling (use cement structures to avoid filling), aquatic species and barriers to wildlife passage (across a 4-	WisDOT is minimizing impacts by maintaining County KR improvements along the existing roadway alignment. Impacts are further minimized by using curb and gutter drainage instead of open drainage ditches to reduce the roadway footprint. The design also uses steeper sideslopes through wetland areas to further reduce impacts. Unavoidable wetland impacts will be mitigated at a replacement ratio that will be determined in coordination between WisDOT and DNR in accordance with the WisDOT Wetland Mitigation Banking Technical Guideline.
lane highway).	WisDOT completed coordination with the Department of Natural Resources and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service to identify and minimize impacts to natural resources to the greatest practicable extent. The Environmental Assessment Basic Sheets 8 and 9 include commitments to minimize impacts, and a summary of impacts to environmental resources, respectively. The Environmental Assessment Factor sheets C1, C2 and C7 provide detailed discussion of natural resources, including wetlands, streams and threatened and endangered species.
Comments dispute the project would not impact air quality in an area that has some of the worst air quality in the state	Page 30 of the EA/FONSI notes the project is in SEWRPC's amended long-range transportation plan, Vision 2050 and the amended Transportation Improvement Program. Both Federal Highway Administration and the Federal Transit Administration must determine the project is in conformance with the Clean Air Act. SEWRPC received an air quality conformity determination on December 5, 2018.
Comments stated there is an immediate need to manage stormwater in the corridor and to fix overtopping the road	The proposed stormwater management system for County KR is designed to maintain drainage similar to existing conditions. The proposed bridge over the Pike River is designed for the 100-year event to avoid overtopping during flood events.
during 5-year storm events. The proposed pond at the village park is needed to slow down the velocity to the Pike River. Also, one person expressed concerns about	The stormwater detention ponds will have a permanent pool of water with a maximum depth of five feet. The permanent pool of water will have a safety shelf at the pond edge with a maximum depth of one-foot. The ponds are being designed in accordance with the safety requirements for stormwater ponds per the
Oto aifttent Onan	Comments noted the impact to mature oak trees at Campbell Woods subdivision, and overall impacts to wildlife in the area, including wetland filling (use cement structures to avoid filling), aquatic species and barriers to wildlife passage (across a 4-lane highway). Comments dispute the project would not impact air quality in an area that has some of the worst air quality in the state Comments stated there is an immediate need to manage stormwater in the corridor and to fix overtopping the road during 5-year storm events. The proposed pond at the village park is needed to slow down the velocity to the Pike River. Also, one person

TOPIC	COMMENT SUMMARY	WISDOT RESPONSE
	stormwater detention pond safety for children and from geese droppings.	WisDOT Facilities Development Manual (FDM 15.5.3) that specify side slope ratios and safety shelf requirements. Racine and Kenosha counties will be responsible for the ongoing maintenance and safety of the ponds after construction. WisDOT proposes a planting seed mix #40 at the pond edge, which may discourage geese if it is not mowed. See mix #40 includes a mixture of Kentucky Bluegrass, Red Fescue, Hard Fescue and Improved Fine Perennial Ryegrass.
Farmland	Compatibility of roadway with farm operations; the roadway design may not be compatible with farm equipment, impacts to farm access during construction	The project's curb and gutter design is compatible with local and regional land use plans that anticipate the urbanization of the project area over time. The project will maintain existing access points along County KR, including existing access to farms, except at the five residential properties that will be purchased. WisDOT will coordinate with the counties and property owners to determine access during construction. All future access permits will be administered by Racine and Kenosha counites.
Stop and yield signs at intersections	Comment requested revised placement of stop signs and yield signs at the County KR and WIS 31 intersection.	The placement of stop and yield signs at intersections is based on engineering design standards. When a right turn bypass lane merges into one lane a stop sign is placed at that location. When a right-turn bypass lane merges into two lanes, a yield sign is placed at that location along with yield line pavement markings. The WIS 31 right-turn lane onto County KR is stop controlled because it merges into one lane on KR. The WIS 31 right-turn lane onto WIS 11 is a yield sign because it merges into two lanes on WIS 11.
Project funding	The use of state tax dollars should be used to repair other roads and highways throughout the state that need it more than County KR.	The project is compatible with local and regional plans that recommend the widening of County KR to four lanes. Also, the project is compatible with local land use plans that anticipate the transition of the area to urban land uses.
Public comment period	Comment noted that the public comment period ending on March 7, one week after the February 28 public hearing, is insufficient time to allow residents to fully digest and consider the EA.	The EA was made available for public review for 30-days, between January 29, 2019 and March 7, 2019 on the WisDOT project website. Copies of the EA were provided at the Somers village hall, as well as the Mount Pleasant village hall.
Safe access for large vehicles	One commenter noted they have a trucking company that accesses County KR from their home along County KR and questioned safety of making U-turns.	Based on input from public comments and input from Kenosha and Racine counties, WisDOT will include a two-way left turn lane between the UPRR and 56 th Avenue instead of the raised median. This will provide continuous cross access along this section of County KR and allow left-turns from driveways and cross streets. Also, the addition of exclusive left-turn and right-turn lanes at signalized intersections will improve turning movements in the project corridor.
Stone foundation	One commenter stated they are concerned construction activities along County KR could compromise their home's 1870 stone foundation.	Road construction activities planned for County KR near this home are not expected to create elevated levels of ground vibration. Most ground vibration from standard construction activities dissipates quickly and does not reach homes. See Factor Sheet D-2 for more information about construction noise. The WisDOT construction manager will be available if concerns arise during construction.
No left-turn sign at Old Green Bay	One commenter suggested putting a no left turn sign	This intersection will be improved as part of the project and it will include traffic signals to control intersection movements.

TOPIC	COMMENT SUMMARY	WISDOT RESPONSE Restricting left turns at this intersection of two arterials streets is not warranted based on the safety analysis and traffic volumes.				
Road intersection	westbound on County KR at Old Green Bay Road to eliminate the hazard of turning south on WIS 31 at the Old Green Bay Road intersection without stop light protection.					
Crash rate at WIS 31 intersection	Comments stated the high number of crashes at the WIS 31 intersection is not justification for widening County KR. Crashes at that intersection are occurring due to inattentive drivers speeding southbound along WIS 31.	As discussed in the purpose and need, the need for widening County KR is based on several factors including travel demand, system linkage and route importance, roadway deficiencies and safely. The safety need statement in the EA states that intersection modifications could help to mitigate some of the crash patterns at this intersection and that additional modifications such as intersection and capacity improvements would be needed to accommodate the projected 2042 traffic volumes along County K to maintain safety.				

BASIC SHEET 2 - TABLE OF CONTENTS, ABBREVIATIONS/ACRONYMS, DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION

1. Table of Contents

Purpose and Need: Page 3

Summary of Alternatives: Page 5

Description of Proposed Action: Page 8

Public/Government/Tribal Involvement: Page 12

Traffic Summary Matrix: Page 16

Agency and Tribal Coordination: Page 19
Alternatives Comparison Matrix: Page 21
Environmental Commitments: Page 23
Environmental Factors Matrix: Page 26

A1 General Economics – Page 30

A3 Agriculture - Page 32

B1 Community or Residential – Page 35

B8 Section 4(f) and 6(f) or Other Unique Areas - Page 45

B9 Aesthetics - Page 49

C1 Wetlands - Page 51

C2 Rivers, Streams and Floodplains - Page 58

C7 Threatened and Endangered Species - Page 61

D1 Air Quality - Page 65

D2 Construction Stage Sound Quality - Page 67

D3 Traffic Noise - Page 69

D4 Hazardous Substances or Contamination - Page 74

D5 Stormwater - Page 76

Appendices

Appendix A: Project Location

Appendix B-1: Design Plans

Appendix B-2: Typical Sections

Appendix B-3: Pike River Bridge Sections

Appendix B-4: Grade Separation Access Options

Appendix B-5: 72nd Avenue/90th Street Realignment Option 2

Appendix B-6: Median Access Options between UPRR and 56th Avenue

Appendix B-7: Median access options between 43rd Avenue and east project terminus

Appendix B-8: Bypass Alternative

Appendix B-9: Construction Detour Options Appendix C: Rendering of Retaining Wall

Appendix D: Indirect and Cumulative Effects Technical Report

Appendix E: Conceptual Stage Relocation Plan Appendix F: Pike River Improvement Project

Appendix G: Noise Reference Materials and Exhibits

Appendix H: Correspondence

Project ID # <u>3763-00-04</u> Page 1 of 77

2. Abbreviations and Acronyms

A-1 – Agricultural Preservation District

A-2 – General Agricultural District

AADT - Annual Average Daily Traffic

ACHP – Advisory Council on Historic Preservation

AWDT - Average Annual Weekday Traffic

BOA - WisDOT Bureau of Aeronautics

B-P - Business Park

Cd - Cadmium

CPRR - Canadian Pacific Railroad

CSRP - Conceptual Stage Relocation Plan

Cu - copper

DATCP - Department of Agriculture, Trade and

Consumer Protection

DHV – Design Hourly Volume

DNR - Department of Natural Resources

EA - Environmental Assessment

EIS - Environmental Impact Statement

EPA – Environmental Protection Agency

FAA – Federal Aviation Administration

FDM – WisDOT Facilities Development Manual

FHWA – Federal Highway Administration

FIS - Flood Insurance Study

Foxconn – Foxconn Technology Group

HCS - Highway Capacity Software

HPZ - High Potential Zone

ILF - In-lieu fee payment

IPaC – Information for Planning and Consultation

LCD - Liquid Crystal Display

LET – date for posting construction bids

LOS - Level of Service

NEPA - National Environmental Policy Act

NPS - National Park Service

NRCS - National Resource Conservation Service

OCR - Office of the Commissioner or Railroads

Pb - Lead

PIM - Public Involvement Meeting

PUL - Public or Utility Plans

R-2 – Suburban Single-Family Residential District

R-3 – Urban Single-Family

RHS – WisDOT Railroads and Harbors Section

RPBB - Rusty Patched Bumble Bee

RSC – Regenerative Stormwater Conveyance

SEWRPC – Southeast Wisconsin Regional Planning

Commission

SHPO - State Historic Preservation Office

STIP – State Transportation Improvement Program

TCGP – Transportation Construction General Permit

THPO - Tribal Historic Preservation Officer

TID - Tax Increment District

TIP – Transportation Improvement Program

TNM - Traffic Noise Model

TSS - Total suspended solids

UPRR - Union Pacific Railroad

USACE - U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

USCG - U.S. Coast Guard

USPS - United States Postal Service

EPA – U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

USFWS - U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service

WEPA – Wisconsin Environmental Policy Act

WisDOT – Wisconsin Department of Transportation

YOE – Year of Expenditure

Zn - Zinc

3. Environmental Document Statement

This environmental document is an essential component of the Wisconsin Environmental Policy Act (WEPA) project development process, which supports and complements public involvement and interagency coordination.

The environmental document is a full-disclosure document which provides a description of the purpose and need for the proposed project, the existing environment, analysis of the anticipated beneficial or adverse environmental effects resulting from the proposed action and potential mitigation measures to address identified effects. This document also allows others the opportunity to provide input and comment on the proposed action, alternatives and environmental impacts. Finally, it provides the decision maker with appropriate information to make a reasoned choice when identifying a preferred alternative.

This environmental document must be read entirely so the reader understands the reasons that one alternative is selected as the preferred alternative over other alternatives considered.

Project ID # <u>3763-00-04</u> Page 2 of 77

BASIC SHEET 3 – PURPOSE AND NEED

1. Purpose and Need

Project Status

Through an agreement with Kenosha County and Racine County, the Wisconsin Department of Transportation (WisDOT) is preparing engineering plans and conducting environmental analyses for proposed County KR improvements between County H and Old Green Bay Road in Racine and Kenosha counties. The project begins 400 feet east of the County KR/County H intersection and extends east for 2.8 miles to end 1,600 feet east of the County KR/Old Green Bay Road intersection. (See **Appendix A** - Project Location).

WisDOT, Racine County and Kenosha County entered into a state trunk highway jurisdictional transfer agreement in April 2018 for County KR improvements between I-94 and Old Green Bay Road. The agreement allows WisDOT to complete design and construction activities for this section of County KR. The counties are responsible for right of way acquisition and relocations. After construction, WisDOT will return jurisdiction of the roadway to the counties for ongoing control, access rights and maintenance. The design and construction of the section of County KR between I-94 and County H is being completed as part of a separate project. The section between County H and Old Green Bay Road is being evaluated in this Environmental Assessment (EA) and is referred to as the County KR-Phase 2 project.

The western terminus for the County KR-Phase 2 project ties into the first phase County KR project at the County KR/County H intersection. The eastern terminus for the County KR-Phase 2 project is Old Green Bay Road. This terminus was agreed to by WisDOT, Racine County and Kenosha County per the April 2018 jurisdictional transfer agreement. The eastern terminus is logical based on year 2042 traffic projections from the Southeast Wisconsin Regional Planning Commission (SEWRPC), that show traffic volumes drop 25 percent to 33 percent to the east of WIS 31 and Old Green Bay Road, respectively, to 12,000 vehicles per day.² The existing two-lanes along County KR to the east of Old Green Bay Road has available capacity for the projected traffic volumes. Kenosha County and Racine County are responsible for future improvements to County KR between the project's east limits and WIS 32 as stated in the April 2018 jurisdictional transfer agreement. Per WisDOT discussions with Racine County and Kenosha County, the counties do not anticipate the need for improvements to the section of County KR between Old Green Bay Road and WIS 32 in the near future after completion of any improvement to KR that results from this project due to unknown development potential.

If a build alternative is selected, final design for County KR-Phase 2 will be complete in 2020 and right of way acquisition (by Kenosha and Racine counties) will be complete in 2020. Construction is scheduled for 2021 through 2022.

Project Purpose

The purpose of the project is to upgrade the County KR infrastructure to safely and efficiently handle projected traffic volumes anticipated from local economic development activities occurring in the project area, and to provide a safe and well-connected transportation corridor that serves the communities in Racine County and Kenosha County.

Project Need

The needs for the project are related to increasing travel demand, system linkage and route importance, roadway deficiencies and safety.

Travel Demand

The existing two-lane undivided rural roadway configuration along County KR is not adequate to handle increased travel demand anticipated from local economic development projects and land use plans.

This area has a significant amount of new development planned related to the forthcoming Foxconn manufacturing campus located just east of I-94, between County KR and WIS 11 in the village of Mount Pleasant. It is anticipated that the Foxconn development will potentially create up to 13,000 jobs, attract new residents to the area, and spur business development for suppliers and commercial support services.

To prepare for Foxconn and other anticipated development, the villages of Mount Pleasant and Somers recently updated their land use plans to facilitate the transition of the existing agricultural area to urban uses. The village of Mount Pleasant

Page 3 of 77

¹ In addition to County KR, WisDOT is working with the village of Mount Pleasant and Racine County on the reconstruction of several state, village and county roadways around the Foxconn development (known as the Wisconn Valley Development Roads project) in Racine County in accordance with a November 2017 temporary jurisdiction agreement. Roadways include WIS 11, Braun Road, County H, Wisconn Valley Way and International Drive.

² Per WisDOT FDM 11-15, Attachment 1.1, the top of the traffic volume range for design class A2 for Non-Corridors 2020 routes is 15,000 AADT. Project ID # 3763-00-04

amended their 2035 Master Plan in November 2017 to include a "business park" designation along County KR between I-94 and 90th Street. The village of Somers approved an amendment to their Land Use Plan in July 2018 that includes a business/industrial park designation along County KR between I-94 and 90th Street and a medium density residential designation to the east of 90th Street. Mount Pleasant and Somers have also updated sewer service areas and implemented tax incremental districts to facilitate development in the project area.

This planned growth and development is expected to increase travel demand on County KR. The existing two-lane roadway currently handles about 9,000 to 9,500 vehicles per day. Future traffic volume on County KR is expected to more than double to 18,000 to 20,000 vehicles per day by the year 2042. The traffic analysis prepared for the project indicates that, under the current two-lane undivided configuration, County KR is expected to operate at level of service (LOS) E in the year 2042.³ This means traffic along County KR would experience delays and have unstable flow, speeds that change quickly and low maneuverability. Also, several turning movements at the County KR intersections with County EA (72nd Avenue) and WIS 31 would operate at LOS F conditions during morning and/or afternoon peak periods.

County KR has two at-grade railroad crossings, the Canadian Pacific Railroad (CPRR) and the Union Pacific Railroad (UPRR) that use gates and mounted flashing lights to stop and warn vehicles at the crossings. According to the Federal Railroad Administration's Highway-Rail Crossing Inventory, the estimated number of daily train movements is 52 (16 passenger and 36 freight) for the CPRR crossing and 12 (freight only) for the UPRR crossing. With traffic along County KR expected to more than double by 2042, more vehicles will be delayed at the crossings. Also, County KR is used by emergency services and school bus operators and may accommodate future commuter bus service. Delays at the crossings can affect the reliability of these services.

System Linkage and Route Importance

County KR is an important east-west local arterial road for Racine and Kenosha counties. County KR connects I-94 with WIS 31 and WIS 32 and serves the existing population and employment base in the cities of Kenosha and Racine, as well as the expanding employment base in Mount Pleasant and Somers.

The Eastern Racine County Transportation Task Force, comprised of representatives from Racine County, Mount Pleasant, Caledonia and Sturtevant, was formed in March 2018 with the goal of developing recommendations to improve east-west travel times and to help move workers to jobs. According to the 2018 Task Force report, Racine is the largest city in Wisconsin that does not have direct freeway access, and current travel times between I-94 and downtown Racine can take between 19 and 22 minutes. According to the Task Force report, these travel times are problematic for workers and for economic development purposes. According to the Task Force report, County KR has the greatest opportunity for travel time improvement, and capacity expansion of County KR between I-94 and WIS 32 is one of the task force's top priorities.

The projected 2042 traffic volumes would cause traffic delays (LOS E) along County KR under its current two-lane configuration. This would reduce speeds and maneuverability along County KR, which is not consistent with the goals and objectives of the Eastern Racine County Transportation Task Force to improve and maintain mobility along the primary east-west roadways in the county. Also, vehicular delays at the two at-grade railroad crossings along County KR are an impediment to east-west traffic flow, which is not consistent with the task force's plan to minimize impediments to travel time along primary east-west corridors.

The 2035 Comprehensive Plans for Racine County and Kenosha County include functional improvement recommendations for arterial streets and highways. Both plans recommend the preservation of right of way to accommodate four lanes of traffic along County KR between I-94 and WIS 32 in the future.

SEWRPC amended its Vision 2050: A Regional Land Use and Transportation Plan to incorporate land use changes and population and employment growth related to the Foxconn manufacturing campus in Mount Pleasant in Racine County. The plan amendment incorporates transportation improvements to serve the Foxconn development area and recommends the widening of County KR from two to six travel lanes between I-94 and County H and from two to four travel lanes between County H and WIS 32.

Roadway Deficiencies

County KR has the following roadway design deficiencies:

County KR does not meet standards for distances required for vehicles to come to a complete stop. Stopping site
distances range from 235 feet to greater than 425 feet. Current design standards specify a minimum of 425 feet
for stopping site distances.

³ Level of Service (LOS) is a quantitative measure that refers to the overall quality of traffic flow ranging from very good, represented by LOS A, to very poor, represented by LOS F. LOS D was used to define desirable peak hour operating conditions for County KR and County KR intersections.

Project ID # 3763-00-04

Page 4 of 77

- No bike or pedestrian facilities are present along most of the roadway. An existing shared-use path is located on the south side of KR between 56th Avenue and WIS 31. The village of Mount Pleasant started constructing a new segment of the Pike River Pathway north of County KR, between Oakes Road and County KR. Shared-use paths will be constructed along County KR west of County H as part of the County KR Phase 1 project and other local development roads in the Foxconn development area. There are no continuous bike and pedestrian connections between these trails and planned development along County KR in the project area.
- Narrow shoulders are inadequate for vehicle refuge. Existing shoulders are 1 to 3 feet wide. Design standards
 require at least 3 feet of shoulder width for a county trunk highway.
- Inadequate cross slopes cause poor drainage. Existing cross slopes range from 1 to 2 percent. Design standards recommend 2 percent.

Based on engineering judgement, these deficiencies, combined with future travel demand on County KR, would impact safety for vehicular, bike and pedestrian travel.

Safety

Within the project limits, County KR experienced 50 crashes between 2013 and 2017 and had a crash rate of 140.2 crashes per 100 million vehicle miles. This crash rate exceeds the statewide crash rate threshold of 115.9 crashes/100 million vehicle miles for similar roadways. Most of the crashes in the project area, 37 crashes, occurred at the intersection of County KR and WIS 31. According to the traffic report prepared for the project, intersection modifications could help to mitigate some of the crash patterns at this intersection. Also, the traffic report concluded that additional modifications such as intersection and capacity improvements would be needed to accommodate the projected 2042 traffic volumes along County KR to maintain safety.

2. Summary of Alternatives

The following alternatives were considered for the County KR-Phase 2 project:

No Build Alternative

The EA for the County KR-Phase 2 project evaluated a No Build Alternative to serve as a baseline for comparison to the Build Alternative. Under the No Build Alternative, the roadway would remain in its current configuration as a two-lane undivided rural roadway with two 12-foot travel lanes, 1-3-foot shoulders and drainage ditches within a 66-foot right of way. The No Build Alternative does not meet the purpose and need for the project. The No Build alternative would not adequately handle increased travel demand anticipated from local economic development projects, it would not result in safety improvements and it would not maintain mobility along an important east-west local arterial road for Racine and Kenosha counties.

Build Alternative – Reconstruction (Preferred Alternative)

The Build Alternative - Reconstruction would reconstruct and widen County KR as a four-lane divided urban roadway with two 12-foot travel lanes in each direction that are divided by a median. The median between County H and 90th Street would be 36-feet and the median for the remainder of the corridor would typically be 30-feet. Between the UPRR and 56th Avenue, County KR would have a 22-foot median and 8-foot shoulders to allow vehicles to decelerate to and accelerate from driveways. Between the UPRR and 56th Avenue, County KR would be constructed with a center two-way left turn lane to allow continuous cross access from driveways and roadways in this section. East of Old Green Bay Road, County KR would have a 30-foot median that tapers to match the existing road width. The median in this section to the east of 43rd Avenue would be painted to facilitate full access for cross streets and driveways along County KR in this section. (See Appendix B-1: Design Plans and B-2: Typical Sections.)

The Build Alternative - Reconstruction would include intersection improvements (signals and turn lanes) to maintain traffic operations at the County KR intersections with WIS 31 and Old Green Bay Road and it would realign 90th Street on the north with County EA (72nd Avenue) on the south. The 90th Street realignment moves the intersection of these two north-south streets approximately 200 feet to the west on County KR.

The existing at-grade railroad crossings at CPRR and UPRR would be grade separated with County KR built over the railroad corridors. When a project is planned that includes a railroad crossing, WisDOT evaluates the adequacy of the proposed crossing. WisDOT used multiple criteria to recommend a grade separation for these crossing locations. The criteria include: roadway classification, exposure factor, the presence of high-speed freight and passenger trains, existing terrain, presence of commercial and school buses, highway design speed, potential for unusually long delays for

Page 5 of 77

 $^{^4}$ The shared-use paths will be constructed as a separate project following construction of the roadways. Project ID # $\underline{3763-00-04}$

motorists, and future expansion of highway facilities. County KR will serve as an important east-west connection between I-94 and the cities of Racine and Kenosha. The projected traffic volumes are anticipated to double by 2042. The new roadway will be a four-lane urban roadway with a median and the proposed bridges at CPRR and UPRR will contain a four-lane divided roadway with bike lanes and 18-foot shoulders (See Appendix B-2). The exposure factor is based on design year vehicular traffic and railroad operations characteristics. At both crossings, the general threshold for the exposure factor will be exceeded by the 2042 design year. 5 County KR will have emergency services and can accommodate future commuter and school bus routes. Grade separation was chosen at the CPRR and UPRR to minimize delays to the traveling public.

The box culvert conveying the Pike River would be replaced with new bridge structures (See Appendix B-3: Bridge Section). Existing drainage ditches would be replaced with curb and gutter, and stormwater would be conveyed to detention ponds. A 10-foot shared-use path would be built on both sides of the road between County H and 90th Street and on the north side of County KR between 90th Street and Vicksburg Drive to the east of Old Green Bay Road. The existing shared-use path on the south side of County KR between 56th Avenue and WIS 31 would be replaced in generally the same configuration except bicyclists and pedestrians would be rerouted onto a bike/pedestrian lane on the new County KR bridge over the Pike River.

The preferred Build Alternative - Reconstruction would meet the purpose and need for the project because the capacity expansion, intersection improvements and grade separations would accommodate the travel demand that is anticipated from local economic development projects and land use plans. The Build Alternative - Reconstruction would also maintain mobility along an important east-west local arterial road for Racine and Kenosha counties, improve safety and address roadway deficiencies.

Options Considered for Build Alternative - Reconstruction

WisDOT evaluated the following design options for the Build Alternative – Reconstruction:

- UPRR Grade Separation Access Options: Two grade separation access options are being evaluated (see Appendix B-4). Option 1 would construct a retaining wall and access road off County KR to avoid residential property acquisitions and maintain access to residences on the south side of County KR. (See Appendix C for retaining wall renderings.) Option 2 would construct a retaining wall along County KR for the grade separation and provide access to five residential properties on the south side of County KR from a new access road that would extend east from 1st Place off 56th Avenue.
 - Option 1 is preferred because it avoids the neighborhood impacts associated with Option 2.
- County EA (72nd Avenue)/90th Street Realignment Options: Two realignment options were evaluated for this intersection. Option 1 shifts 90th Street to the west to align with the existing 72nd Avenue intersection south of County KR (See Appendix B-1). Option 2 shifts 72nd Avenue to the east to align with the existing 90th Street intersection north of County KR (See Appendix B-5).
 - Option 1 is preferred because it uses land that is either purchased or will be purchased by the village of Mount Pleasant for the Foxconn manufacturing campus and it minimizes impacts to residential properties and wetlands on the south side of County KR. Kenosha and Racine counties concurred with the realignment of 90th Street to match into the existing 72nd Avenue intersection.
- Median Access Options between UPRR and 56th Avenue: WisDOT evaluated four median access options for this section of County KR (see Appendix B-6). Option 1 (Full Median with No Access) includes a raised median that separates opposing directions of traffic with no median openings along this section of County KR. This option limits access from intersecting driveways to right-in and right-out turns with U-turns available at 56th Avenue to the east and 90th Street to the west for this section of County KR. Option 2 (Median Opening with Left Turn Lanes) includes a raised median that separates opposing directions of traffic and provides two median openings that have dedicated left-turn lanes for cross access along this section of County KR. This option limits driveway access to right-in and right-out turns but provides more frequent opportunities for U-turns along this section of County KR. Option 3 (Two-Way-Left-Turn Lane) includes a two-way-left-turn in the median that allows cross access directly from driveways along this section of County KR.

Option 1 is preferred because the raised median is needed as a result of the anticipated traffic volumes along this section of County KR to provide safe traffic operations and to minimize conflicts between through traffic and turning vehicles entering and exiting County KR. WisDOT refined Option 1 to reduce the median width to 22 feet

Project ID # 3763-00-04 Page 6 of 77

⁵ The exposure factor is estimated to be 520,000 and 108,000 for CPRR and UPRR, respectively. Per WisDOT Facilities Development Manual, Chapter 17, Section 40 (dated 3/27/2008), the exposure factor threshold is 100,000.

(from UPRR to 56th Avenue) and increase the shoulder to 8 feet to provide space for vehicles to decelerate to and accelerate from driveways. Option 3, Two-Way Left Turn Lane, is preferred because it allows cross access from driveways and roadways along this section of County KR where several residences and driveways are currently located.

• Median Access Options between 43rd Avenue and East Project Terminus: WisDOT evaluated four median access options for this section of County KR (see Appendix B-7). Option 1 (Right-In/Right-Out) includes a raised median that separates opposing directions of traffic with no median openings along this section of County KR. This option limits access from intersecting roadways (43rd Avenue and Vicksburg Drive) and driveways to right-in and right-out turns with U-turns available at the end of the median nose (about 400 feet east of Vicksburg Drive) to the east and Old Green Bay Road to the west. Option 2 (Painted Median) includes a painted median that allows full cross access for intersecting roadways (43rd Avenue and Vicksburg Drive) and driveways for this section of County KR. Option 3 (Corrugated Concrete Median) includes a corrugated concrete median and median openings at County KR intersections with 43rd Avenue and Vicksburg Drive to provide full cross access for driveways and intersections along this section of County KR. Option 4 (Left Turn Lane) includes a raised median that separates opposing directions of traffic with a single median opening at Vicksburg Drive and a dedicated left-turn lane for eastbound traffic along County KR at the median opening. This option would provide full access to the Vicksburg Drive intersection to the north of County KR and limited right-in/right-out access for the 43rd Avenue intersection and driveways to the south of County KR.

Option 2 is the preferred option because it provides full access to residential areas and driveways compared to Options 1, 3 and 4. Traffic volumes decrease east of Old Green Bay Road, which minimizes the potential for conflicts between through traffic and turning traffic.

• Shared-Use Path Options between 90th Street and Old Green Bay Road: WisDOT evaluated two options for the location of the shared-use path between 90th Street and Old Green Bay Road. Option 1 placed the shared-use path on the south side of County KR and Option 2 placed the path on the north side of County KR. Option 2 is the preferred option because the north side of County KR has fewer driveway access points, which is safer for pedestrians and bicyclists using the path. Also, option 2 avoids potential impacts to residential properties on the south side of County KR.

Build Alternative - Bypass

In response to public input obtained at the October 17, 2018 public meeting for the County KR-Phase 2 project, WisDOT reviewed a bypass alternative that was presented by local citizens concerned about the impact to residences that front County KR to the east of 90th Street. The bypass alternative would create a new roadway alignment to the north of the existing County KR from 90th Street to WIS 11 and downtown Racine (See **Appendix B-8**). Phase 1 of the proposed bypass would start at the intersection of County KR with 90th Street and extend east along a corridor approximately 2,000 feet north of County KR that crosses the UP railroad, Pike River and WIS 31 corridors. Phase 2 of the proposed bypass would extend the bypass east from WIS 31 along a new roadway corridor that crosses Old Green Bay Road, Wood Road, Meachem Road, Lathrop Avenue and the Racine County Bike Trail. Then, the bypass would head north to Chicory Road where it would meet up with the existing S. Memorial Drive that connects with WIS 11 (Durand Avenue). Phase 3 of the bypass would link to WIS 32 (Sheridan Road) and downtown Racine.

With input from Racine and Kenosha Counties, WisDOT determined to not pursue the Bypass Alternative. This decision is based on evaluation of the following factors:

- Purpose and Need of County KR-Phase 2 Project: One of the primary purposes of the County KR-Phase 2 project
 is to safely and efficiently improve mobility from I-94 to the communities in both Kenosha and Racine counties.
 The Bypass Alternative would not meet the purpose and need for the project because it only addresses mobility to
 Racine and would not address mobility to the city of Kenosha and other Kenosha County communities.
- Environmental Impacts: The new roadway alignment for the Bypass Alternative has a much larger footprint
 compared to using existing County KR, which already has a base roadway footprint. The additional area to widen
 the existing roadway is much less than the area required for a new roadway. The Bypass Alternative would
 impact the following resources:
 - Pike River improvement project west of the WIS 31 intersection: The village of Mount Pleasant, working in conjunction with the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE), recently completed restoration improvements along the Pike River to control flooding, restore natural features, enhance aquatic life and improve water quality. The Bypass Alternative introduces a new physical impact that would bisect this

Project ID # <u>3763-00-04</u> Page 7 of 77

- area and impact the restoration area, which is not consistent with the long-term restoration goals in the river corridor.
- New stream crossings: The Bypass Alternative could require up to four new stream crossings, which could disrupt aquatic habitats.
- Floodplain impacts: The area north of County KR and west of WIS 31 is low and wet. The Bypass Alternative would require substantial filling of this floodplain.
- Land-use plans: The Bypass Alternative would interrupt the cohesive residential area planned by the village of Mount Pleasant between County KR and Braun Road. The Bypass Alternative would also impact existing neighborhoods east of WIS 31, due to the relative close proximity of a proposed new 4lane divided roadway to them.
- Park impacts: The Bypass Alternative potentially impacts the future Biex Ramcke Park and Sanders Park,
 which is also a SEWRPC-designated isolated natural resource.
- Farm land impacts: The bypass would sever farm land for new roadway right of way.
- Right of Way Costs and Impacts: The right of way and additional cost needed to widen the existing County KR
 road is substantially less than what would be required for a roadway on a new alignment. A substantial portion of
 right of way from the existing County KR is already in place.
- New Railroad Crossing: A new bypass requires a new crossing of the Union Pacific Railroad. Railroads typically
 require a very detailed evaluation of a new crossing before they'll consider it. Getting clearance from Union Pacific
 for this new crossing could be difficult and would potentially take a long time.
- Project Cost Comparison of Bypass: WisDOT estimates the Bypass Alternative would be about a half mile longer
 than the existing alignment between 90th Street and WIS 31. Adding those additional roadway costs to other
 "new" costs of the bypass (such as structures for additional stream crossings mentioned above), the bypass route
 could cost \$5M to \$9M more than the current proposal to widen County KR on its current alignment.
- Additional Roadway Maintenance Costs: The new bypass roadway would increase local roadway maintenance costs since Racine County would have to maintain over 4 miles of new roadway.

3. Description of Proposed Action

The preferred Build Alternative - Reconstruction would replace the existing two-lane rural undivided roadway with a four-lane divided urban roadway. (See **Appendix B** for the design plans, typical sections, bridge cross sections and design options). The Build Alternative - Reconstruction would reconstruct and expand County KR with two 12-foot travel lanes in each direction that are divided by a median. The raised median between County H and 90th Street would be 36-feet and the median for the remainder of the corridor would typically be 30-feet. Between the UPRR and 56th Avenue, County KR would have a 22-foot raised median and 8-foot shoulders to allow vehicles to decelerate to and accelerate from driveways. Between the UPRR and 56th Avenue, County KR would be constructed with a center two-way left turn lane instead of a raised median to allow continuous cross access from driveways and roadways in this section. East of Old Green Bay Road, the 30-foot raised median would end just west of 43rd Avenue. Then, the median, which tapers to match the existing road width, would be painted to facilitate full access across County KR. Proposed right of way for the Build Alternative - Reconstruction would vary from 224 feet to 380 feet, depending on location.

The project would include improvements to maintain traffic operations at the County KR intersections with WIS 31 and Old Green Bay Road and it would realign 90th Street on the north with County EA (72nd Avenue) on the south. Each of these intersections would be signalized and have exclusive left turn and right turn lanes. The intersection approaches on both WIS 31 and Old Green Bay Road would be reconstructed to accommodate proposed County KR construction. WIS 31 would be reconstructed with its current lane configuration approximately 600 feet to the north, and 650 feet south of the current County KR intersection. Old Green Bay Road would be similarly reconstructed: 400 feet to the south and 400 feet to the north of the current County KR intersection.

New bridges (grade separations) for County KR would replace the existing at-grade railroad crossings at CPRR and UPRR. The new bridges would have a 23-foot, 3-inch clearance over the railroad tracks to meet design standards for railroad grade separations. The grade separation at UPRR would include a retaining wall on the south side of County KR that ranges in height from 2 feet to 28 feet and an access road off County KR to provide access to residential properties to the south of County KR. A rendering of the retaining wall is in **Appendix C**.

Project ID # <u>3763-00-04</u> Page 8 of 77

Two new 233-foot concrete slab span⁶ bridges over the Pike River would replace the existing 3-cell (12-foot x 13.5-foot/cell) box culvert that conveys the Pike River under County KR.

Existing drainage ditches would be replaced with curb and gutter, and stormwater would be conveyed to three detention ponds at the following general locations:

- North of County KR, between County H and CPRR
- South of County KR, east of 72nd Avenue
- · North of County KR, west of the Pike River
- South of County KR, between the Pike River and WIS 31

A fourth stormwater treatment would use a regenerative stormwater conveyance (RSC) system⁷ on the south side of County KR, between the Pike River and WIS 31.

A 10-foot shared-use path would be built on both sides of the road between County H and 90th Street. The path would be constructed on the north side of County KR between 90th Street and Vicksburg Drive, just east of Old Green Bay Road. The Kenosha County shared-use path on the south side of County KR between 56th Avenue and WIS 31 would be replaced in generally the same configuration. The proposed bridge to carry County KR over the Pike River requires removing the existing bridge for the path over the Pike River. Bicyclists and pedestrians would be rerouted onto a bike/pedestrian lane on the new County KR bridge over the Pike River (See **Appendix B-3** for cross section showing shared-use path on proposed bridge).

Based on construction staging plans, County KR would be closed to through traffic during construction and local access to adjacent properties would be maintained during construction. WisDOT developed two staging options, depending on funding availability. Option 1 would reconstruct County KR over 24 months in four stages. Option 2 would reconstruct County KR over 15 months in three stages. See **Appendix B-9** for proposed detour routes of each option during construction. The existing shared-use path on the south side of County KR, between 56th Avenue and WIS 31 would also be closed during construction and users would be redirected to a detour route.

Access permits for driveways and public road intersections along County KR following construction would be determined by Racine and Kenosha counties.

4. Construction and Operational Energy Requirements

Energy consumption related to roadway construction includes energy required by raw materials and equipment to build and maintain the roadway. Operational energy is the direct consumption of fuel by vehicles using the roadway. Fuel usage is affected by vehicle type, roadway grade, speed, and congestion.

The No-Build Alternative requires no construction energy except for periodic roadway maintenance, which would become more frequent in the future. Operational energy would remain high under the No-Build Alternative as congestion increases in the future and increased maintenance costs of the unimproved roadway.

Because the preferred Build Alternative - Reconstruction requires construction activity, more construction energy is used for excavation, filling, hauling, and pavement construction and material manufacturing than the No-Build alternative. However, the operational energy required would decrease over time with improved traffic operations and improved infrastructure would reduce maintenance costs. The initial construction energy costs for the preferred Build Alternative - Reconstruction is expected be recovered over time due to long-term savings in operational energy costs and reduced future maintenance energy costs.

5. Land Use Adjoining the Project and Surrounding Area

Existing land use adjacent to County KR and in the surrounding project area is primarily agricultural with some single-family residential use present primarily on the eastern end of the project area. Within a mile to the north and south of the project corridor, the land use is 84 percent agricultural and 6.3 percent residential. Less than one percent of the land is commercial, and no industrial land use is present. Along County KR, between County H and the UPRR, the predominate

Project ID # <u>3763-00-04</u> Page 9 of 77

-

⁶ Slab span bridges are thick reinforced concrete slabs (no beams/girders) that span crossing (i.e. roads, streams) to the supporting foundations (piers and abutments).

Regenerative Stormwater Conveyance is an alternative approach to provide stormwater treatment, infiltration, and conveyance with one system. RSC uses a series of shallow aquatic pools, riffle structures, native vegetation and underlying sand and woodchip beds to treat, detain and convey storm flow. Accessed February 12, 2019 at

http://dep.wv.gov/WWE/Programs/stormwater/MS4/Documents/Specification_4.2.7_Regenerative_Stormwater_Conveyance_WV-SW-Manual-11-2012.pdf

land use is agricultural. Between the UPRR and the eastern edge of the project, single-family residential clusters can be found amid the agricultural lands.

6. Planning and Zoning

Foxconn Manufacturing Campus/Development Roads

In 2017, Foxconn Technology Group (Foxconn) selected an approximately 3,000-acre site in Mount Pleasant to construct a new LCD panel glass fabrication plant. The site is generally bound by I-94 to the west, 90th Street to the east, County KR to the south and WIS 11 to the north. The \$10 billion facility will include 22 million square feet of new development that is expected to potentially create up to 13,000 jobs. Additional development is planned around the core manufacturing campus that is expected to attract other companies and suppliers to locate near the Foxconn facility. For more information about Foxconn, visit: https://wisconnvalley.wi.gov/Pages/Home.aspx.

WisDOT partnered with Mount Pleasant, Racine County and Kenosha County to improve and expand several roadways around the Foxconn development including Braun Road, International Drive, WIS 11, County H and County KR. Also, a new road, Wisconn Valley Way is being planned. For more information about the Wisconn Valley Development Roads, visit: https://projects.511wi.gov/fdr/. The County KR-Phase 2 project is compatible with the Foxconn development plan since the project will accommodate the increased travel demand generated by the manufacturing campus.

Village of Mount Pleasant Land Use Plan

The village of Mount Pleasant amended their 2035 Master Plan land use map in November 2017 (Village of Mount Pleasant, November 2017). The amendment changed the previously planned agricultural land to a "business park" designation along the I-94 corridor and County KR corridor between I-94 and 90th Street in anticipation of the Foxconn development. The land use plan shows the area to the north of County KR between 90th Street and the Pike River as agricultural. The land use plan shows the area to the north of County KR between the Pike River and WIS 31 is planned for residential, commercial and institutional uses. Improving County KR from a rural cross section to an urban cross section as part of the County KR-Phase 2 project is compatible with the Mount Pleasant land use plan and zoning that anticipate the transition of the existing agricultural uses to urban uses. To view the land use plan, visit:

http://www.mtpleasantwi.gov/DocumentCenter/View/1285/2035-Master-Land-Use-Plan-2017-Update.

Village of Mount Pleasant Zoning Ordinance

Mount Pleasant rezoned the Foxconn development area and County KR corridor between I-94 and 90th Street from agricultural to Business Park (B-P). This designation provides space where diverse office, retail and customer service uses may be mixed with industrial uses. The area north of County KR between 90th Street and Old Green Bay Road is zoned agricultural. This zoning designation is for farming and is intended to protect the area from non-agricultural development. The land along the Pike River north of County KR is zoned for Public or Utility Plans (PUL). This zoning designation is for areas under public or utility ownership where the use for public purpose is anticipated to be permanent. The proposed County KR-Phase 2 project is compatible with the village's zoning ordinance since the village of Mount Pleasant is planning for the transition of agricultural land to urban land uses.

Village of Somers Land Use Plan

The village of Somers adopted the 2050 Land Use Plan Area in July 2018 to update the 2035 planned land uses in the northwest portion of the community to the east of I-94 and south of County KR. Under the 2050 plan, the area to the south of County KR is planned as business/industrial park and medium density residential. Improving County KR from a rural cross section to an urban cross section as part of the County KR-Phase 2 project is compatible with the Somers land use plan that anticipates the transition of the existing agricultural uses to urban uses. To learn more about the land use plan amendment, visit: http://www.somers.org/?q=node/897#.W5blhc5KhhE.

Village of Somers General Zoning and Shoreland/Floodplain Zoning Ordinance

The village of Somers zoning ordinance regulates the use of land within village limits. Most of the land adjacent to County KR is zoned A-1 (Agricultural Preservation District) or A-2 (General Agricultural District). The residential areas on the east side of the project area are zoned R-2 (Suburban Single-Family Residential District) or R-3 (Urban Single-Family Residential District). The proposed County KR-Phase 2 project is compatible with the village's zoning ordinance since the village of Somers is planning for the transition of agricultural land to urban land uses. According to input from village officials, Somers will consider rezoning land from agricultural to urban uses as development proposals are reviewed through the village's site planning process.

A Multi-Jurisdictional Comprehensive Plan for Racine County: 2035

Racine County, in coordination with all municipalities in the county, adopted a shared-jurisdictional comprehensive plan in 2009. According to the plan, the land use plan seeks to encourage new urban development within planned urban service areas; it envisions that new residential development outside of planned urban service areas would occur primarily at rural Project ID # 3763-00-04

Page 10 of 77

densities; and it calls for the preservation of the primary environmental corridors and the most productive farmlands remaining within the planning area. This plan assumed the area to the north of County KR between I-94 and WIS 31 in Mount Pleasant would remain agricultural through 2035. Also, the functional improvement plan for arterial streets and highways recommended the preservation of right of way along County KR between I-94 and WIS 32 for a future four lane facility. To view the Racine County plan, visit: http://www.sewrpc.org/SEWRPCFiles/Publications/CAPR/capr-301-comprehensive-plan-for-racine-county.pdf.

The more recent land use and economic development plans adopted by the village of Mount Pleasant and the proposed amendment to the amended SEWRPC VISION 2050 supersede the land use and transportation recommendations in the county plan. The County KR-Phase 2 project is compatible with the updated plans.

Kenosha County Multi-Jurisdictional Comprehensive Plan

Kenosha County adopted a multi-jurisdictional comprehensive plan in 2010. This plan involved 10 local units of government including Somers. The plan indicates where urban development should be encouraged and where agricultural and environmentally sensitive areas should be preserved. This plan assumed the area to the south of County KR between I-94 and County EA in Somers would remain agricultural through 2035 and is classified as farmland protection. To view the plan, visit: http://www.sewrpc.org/SEWRPCFiles/Publications/CAPR/capr-299-comprehensive-plan-for-kenosha-county.pdf.

The more recent land use and economic development plans adopted by the village of Somers and the proposed amendment to the amended SEWRPC VISION 2050 supersede the land use and transportation recommendations in the county plan. The County KR-Phase 2 project is compatible with the updated plans.

VISION 2050: A Regional Land Use and Transportation Plan

SEWRPC completed VISION 2050, a long-range plan for land use and transportation in Southeastern Wisconsin in July 2016. The plan is an advisory vision for how the seven-county region can achieve the type of land use and transportation infrastructure that will help attract new talent and encourage economic growth. Key plan recommendations include preservation of primary environmental corridors; encouraging more compact development; improving public transit; enhancing bicycle and pedestrian networks; keeping major streets in a state of good repair; and strategically adding capacity to congested roadways and implementing complete streets. The 2050 land use development pattern shows the County KR corridor on the west side of Mount Pleasant and Somers as agricultural. The functional improvement plan for arterial streets and highways recommends the preservation of right of way along County KR between I-94 and WIS 31 for a future four lane facility. SEWRPC amended VISION 2050 on December 5, 2018 to incorporate land use and transportation changes for additional residents and development related to the Foxconn manufacturing campus in Mount Pleasant in Racine County. In addition, the plan amendment incorporates transportation improvements to serve the Foxconn development area and recommends the widening of County KR from two to six travel lanes between I-94 and County H and from two to four travel lanes between County H and WIS 32. The proposed County KR-Phase 2 project is compatible with SEWRPC's amended Vision 2050 plan since the project expands the roadway to four lanes between 90th Street and just east of Old Green Bay Road as recommended by the SEWRPC plan. To learn more about Vision 2050, visit: http://www.sewrpc.org/SEWRPC/VISION 2050/2050RegLandUseTranspPlan.htm.

7. Indirect Effects and Cumulative Effects

If any of the following boxes are checked, the <u>Pre-Screening Worksheet for EA and ER Projects For Determining the Need to Conduct a Detailed Indirect Effects Analysis</u> found in Appendix A of the WisDOT report titled *Guidance for Conducting an Indirect Effects Analysis* must be completed and attached to this environmental document.

	An alternative being carried forward for detailed consideration includes; Economic development as a purpose and need element of the proposed project.
	oxtimes Construction of one or more new or additional through lanes.
	☐ Construction of a new interchange or elimination of an existing interchange.
	Construction of one or more additional ramps or relocation of a ramp lane to a new quadrant on an existing interchange.
	☐ Changing an at-grade intersection to a grade-separation with no access or a grade-separation to an at-grade intersection.
	☐ Construction of one or more additional intersections along the mainline created by a new side road access.☐ One or more new access points along a side road within 500' of the mainline.
	None of the above boxes have been checked, it has therefore been concluded that the proposed action will not result in indirect effects or cumulative effects.
] T	The proposed action may result in indirect effects or cumulative effects. The Pre-Screening Worksheet for EA and ER

Project ID # <u>3763-00-04</u> Page 11 of 77

	completed for the project.			
8.	Environmental Justice			
	How was information obtained about the present	ce of populations cove	red by EO 12898? (check all tha	at apply)
	□ US Census Data	Surve	y Questionnaire	
	Real Estate Company	⊠ WisD	OT Real Estate	
	□ Public Involvement Meeting		Government	
	Official Plan	☐ Winds	shield Survey*	
	☐ Human Resources Agency			
	Identify agency:			
	Identify plan, approval authority and date of app	roval:		
	Other – Identify:			
	*Conducting only a windshield survey is not sufficient	to make a determination	regarding whether or not popul	ations are present.
	Based on data obtained from the methods above	e, are populations cove	ered by EO 12898 present in	the project area?
	a. No	, a. o population o		ino project area.
	b. Yes – Factor Sheet B-4 must be complete	ed.		
9.	Title VI of the 1964 Civil Rights Act, the American	s with Disabilities Act	or the Age Discrimination Ac	:t
	Indicate whether or not issues have been identifi			tle VI of the 1964
	Civil Rights Act, the Americans with Disabilities A	_		
	a. No − Issues related to the above laws we		•	
	b. Yes – Issues related to the above laws w	ere identified and/or c	oncerns were expressed. Ex	plain:
10.	. Public Involvement			
	A. Public Meetings			
				1
	D.4. M			1

The proposed action may result in indirect effects or cumulative effects. It has been determined that a detailed indirect effects and cumulative effects analysis is required. See **Appendix D** for indirect and cumulative effects technical report

indicates a

Projects For Determining the Need to Conduct a Detailed Indirect Effects Analysis attached as

detailed indirect effects and cumulative effects analysis is not required.

Date	Meeting Sponsor	l ype of Meeting		Approx. Number
(m/d/yyyy)	(WisDOT, RPC, MPO, etc.)	(PIM, Public Hearings, etc.)	Location	of Attendees
7/12/2018	WisDOT	Public Involvement	Village of Mount	170
		Meeting	Pleasant, Village Hall	
10/17/18	WisDOT	Public Involvement	Village of Mount Pleasant	154
		Meeting	Village of Somers, Village	
			Hall Hall	

B. Other methods such as those identified in the Public Involvement Plan and Environmental Justice Plan (if applicable):

N/A

C. Identify groups that participated in the public involvement process. Include any organizations and special interest groups including but not limited to:

WisDOT notified local units of government, property owners within one-quarter mile of the project corridor, businesses, schools, churches, Gateway Technical College, University of Wisconsin-Parkside, individuals who signed in at previous public meetings, individuals who signed up for information via the project website and state legislators. Participants in the public involvement meetings included property owners, business owners, local officials, Wisconsin Regional Training Partnership/Big Step⁸, as well as individuals with an interest in the project.

D. Indicate plans for additional public involvement, if applicable:

Project ID # <u>3763-00-04</u> Page 12 of 77

WRTP/Big Step is a 501(c)3 nonprofit workforce intermediary dedicated to connecting people to family-sustaining jobs. Its mission is to enhance the ability of public and private sector organizations to recruit, develop, and retain a more diverse, qualified workforce in construction, manufacturing and emerging sectors of the regional economy. Source: http://www.wrtp.org/

WisDOT has engaged in stakeholder meetings to discuss impacts and design refinements. See item 12 below. WisDOT will hold a public hearing when the Environmental Assessment is released for public review. WisDOT held a public hearing following the release of the Environmental Assessment for public review (See Addendum A).

After the public hearing WisDOT conducted additional meetings with residents and neighborhoods along County KR to present the project refinements, answer questions and listen to concerns. The following meetings were held:

- Al and Jeannine Brokmeier (4/4/19)
- Mark and Leslie Maj (4/17/19)
- Neighborhood meeting held at Racine County Ives Grove Office Complex with residents along the north side of County KR from UPRR to Pike River (4/18/19)
- Neighborhood meeting held at Racine County Ives Grove Office Complex with residents from Campbell Woods subdivision (4/29/19).
- Neighborhood follow-up meeting held at Racine County Ives Grove Office Complex with residents from Campbell Woods subdivision (5/9/19).
- 11. Briefly summarize the results of public involvement.
 - A. Describe the issues, if any, identified by individuals or groups during the public involvement process:

The primary concerns raised at the July 12, 2018 public information meeting focused on impacts to residential and farm properties; turning movements and cross access along County KR; stormwater runoff and flooding; and bicycle trail connectivity.

The primary concerns raised at the October 17, 2018 public information meeting focused on the impact to residential properties next to the proposed retaining wall for the County KR/UPRR grade separation; cross access restrictions from raised medians at existing intersections and driveways; the location of stormwater ponds that interfere with the future development potential of private property; access for future commercial development; the proximity of the expanded roadway to residences that will not be acquired; the expansion of County KR to the east of WIS 31; the timing for residential acquisitions; tree impacts; and the loss of access from the realignment of County EA with 90th Street. Some meeting participants expressed concern about neighborhood impacts from the proposed access road option that would extend west from 1st Place. Also, a County KR Bypass Alternative was presented to WisDOT by residents that proposes to construct a new roadway to the north of County KR between 90th Street and downtown Racine. The purpose of the bypass is to avoid impacts to residential properties to the east of 90th Street.

B. Briefly describe how the issues identified above were addressed:

Kenosha County is continuing further outreach to local residents to evaluate and finalize decisions on acquisitions on the south side of County KR, east of UPRR. Kenosha County contacted residents impacted⁹ by the retaining wall to gauge desire to have the County purchase their properties. The residents did not unanimously agree to acquisition, and Kenosha County will not purchase the properties.

To address concerns about cross access, WisDOT will provide a painted median between 43rd Avenue and the project's eastern terminus to maintain full access across County KR. WisDOT will maintain a raised median between the UPRR and 56th Avenue to maintain safety but reduce the median width to allow for 8-foot shoulders for acceleration and deceleration from and to driveways. Also, based on public input, WisDOT eliminated the access road option for the UPRR grade separation extending west from 1st Place.

In accordance with the jurisdictional transfer agreement between WisDOT and Kenosha and Racine counties, both WisDOT and the counties have agreed to develop a long-range access management vision of the corridor. The plan's intent is to be used as a comprehensive and collaborative tool for evaluation of future access requests as development and redevelopment occurs adjacent to the County KR corridor.

Residents with questions about the timing for the acquisition process were referred to the county representatives responsible for property acquisitions. Future driveway and roadway intersections would be determined by Racine and Kenosha counties.

⁹ Impacted residential properties are those served by the proposed access road and would have views of the retaining wall from their property.
Project ID # 3763-00-04
Page 13 of 77

Improvements to County KR between Old Green Bay Road and WIS 32 are not currently scheduled or needed based on current and future traffic volumes. Kenosha County and Racine County would be responsible for future improvements through each county's capital improvements plan.

Stormwater would be managed via curb and gutter drainage, which would be directed to detention ponds along the project corridor. Stormwater management would comply with local ordinances. The location of the stormwater ponds near the Pike River will be determined during final design with input from Racine and Kenosha counties, the village of Mount Pleasant and the village of Somers.

WisDOT has committed to follow up during final design to inventory potentially impacted trees. Reconstructed County KR would include shared-use paths and would connect to existing and planned trails in the corridor.

WisDOT evaluated the Bypass Alternative presented by citizens and dismissed the alternative since it did not meet the purpose and need for the project and it would require a much larger footprint with greater environmental impacts and costs than the Build Alternative - Reconstruction. See Summary of Alternatives under Basic Sheet 3 for more information about the Bypass Alternative.

12. Local/regional/tribal/federal government coordination

A. Identify units of government contacted and provide the date coordination was initiated.

Unit of Government (MPO, RPC, City, County, Village, Town, Tribal, Federal, etc.)	Coordination Correspondence Attached (Yes/No)	Coordination Initiation Date (m/d/yyyy)	Coordination Completion Date (m/d/yyyy)	Comments
Kenosha and Racine counties, Village of Mount Pleasant	No	07/09/2018 10/17/18	07/09/2018 10/17/18	WisDOT met with local officials prior to the July 12, 2018 and October 17, 2018 public information meeting to present and discuss public meeting informational materials.
Village of Mount Pleasant	No	07/16/18	Ongoing	WisDOT meets with the village for input into County KR design.
Southeast Wisconsin Regional Planning Commission (SEWRPC)	Yes See Indirect and Cumulative Effects Analysis (Appendix D)	7/24/2018	7/24/2018	Interview with SEWRPC staff to obtain input on the indirect and cumulative effects analysis.
Village of Somers and Kenosha County	Yes See Indirect and Cumulative Effects Analysis (Appendix D)	7/25/2018	7/25/2018	Interview with village and county staff to obtain input on the indirect and cumulative effects analysis.
Village of Mount Pleasant	Yes See Indirect and Cumulative Effects Analysis (Appendix D)	7/25/2018	7/25/2018	Interview with village staff to obtain input on the indirect and cumulative effects analysis.
Racine and Kenosha counties	No	07/25/2018	Ongoing	WisDOT hosts bi-weekly meetings with the counties for input into County KR design.
Racine and Kenosha counties, Village of Mount Pleasant, Village of Somers	No	08/20/2018	08/20/2018	Presentation of, and input on design updates.
Rep. Samantha Kerkman and three Kenosha County Supervisors	No	4/10/19	4/10/19	Meeting to explain project and answer questions
Kenosha County Joint Finance and Department of Public Works committee meeting	No	4/11/19	4/11/19	County's first vote on potential right of way plat approval. WisDOT attended meeting to offer project support and answer questions.
Kenosha County Board Meeting	No	4/16/19	4/16/19	County board's final vote on right of way plat approval. WisDOT attended meeting to offer project support and answer questions.

Project ID # <u>3763-00-04</u> Page 14 of 77

Racine County Department of Public Works committee meeting	No	4/25/19	4/25/19	First vote on potential right of way plat approval. WisDOT attended meeting to offer project support and answer questions.
Racine County Board Meeting	No	5/14/19	5/14/19	County's final vote on right of way plat approval. WisDOT attended meeting to offer project support and answer questions.

B. Describe the issues, if any, identified by units of government during the public involvement process:

Kenosha County and the village of Somers have expressed concern to avoid relocations and to minimize impacts to trees fronting County KR. Local officials also were concerned that the proposed shared-use path provide a safe connection to the Pike River Pathway (under construction) and the existing path on the south side of County KR. The village of Somers expressed concern about impacts of the proposed shared-use path on the south side of County KR, including impacts to landscaping and trees. The proposed reconstruction should also minimize impacts to the recently completed Pike River improvement project on the north side of County KR (in the village of Mount Pleasant). The USACE partially funded and the village constructed the Pike River improvement project.

C. Briefly describe how the issues identified above were addressed:

WisDOT has committed to follow up during final design to inventory potentially impacted trees. Also, based on feedback from the village of Somers and the village of Mount Pleasant, the shared-use path would be located on the north side of County KR where fewer residential properties are located. WisDOT is working with the village of Mount Pleasant to coordinate the Pike River Pathway connection with the County KR shared-use path design and minimize impacts to the Pike River improvement project. Similar coordination is ongoing with Kenosha County and the village of Somers to maintain connectivity of and provide connection to the existing shared-use path on the south side of County KR. Kenosha County (which has jurisdiction over the shared-use path) has agreed with routing the existing shared-use path onto the new County KR bridge over the Pike River. Kenosha County will reuse the existing bridge for other purposes. To minimize impacts to the Pike River improvement project on the north side of County KR, stormwater ponds were placed in areas that would avoid newly restored habitat. WisDOT completed coordination with the USACE and the village of Mount Pleasant to minimize impacts to the Pike River improvement project. WisDOT is continuing coordination with the village of Mount Pleasant during final design to further minimize impacts to the Pike River improvement project, as well as the future Biex Ramcke Homestead Park.

D. Indicate any unresolved issues or ongoing discussions:

Kenosha County is continuing further outreach to local residents to evaluate and finalize decisions on acquisitions on the south side of County KR, east of UPRR.

13. Public Hearing Requirement

☐ This document is an Environmental Assessment.
☐ A Notice of Opportunity to Request a Public Hearing will be published, or,
□ A Public Hearing will be held.
☐ This document is a Type 2c Categorical Exclusion / Environmental Report.
A substantial amount of right-of-way will be acquired.
☐ The proposed action <u>will</u> substantially change the layout or functions of connecting roadways
or of the facility being improved.
☐ The proposed action <u>will</u> have a substantial adverse impact on abutting property.
☐ The proposed action <u>will</u> have other substantial social, economic, environmental effects.
☐ The department has made a determination that a public hearing is in the public interest.
☐ None of the above boxes have been checked, it has therefore been concluded that a Notice of Opportunity to
Request a Public Hearing will not be published and a Public Hearing is not required, or,
A Notice of Opportunity to Request a Public Hearing will be published, or,
A Public Hearing will be held.

Note: For federally-funded projects, FHWA signature of this environmental document indicates concurrence with the department's Public Hearing requirement determination.

Project ID # <u>3763-00-04</u> Page 15 of 77

BASIC SHEET 4 – TRAFFIC SUMMARY MATRIX

		Α	LTERNATIVES	S/SECTIONS		
	No Build	Build Alternative – Reconstruction (County KR, County H to 90th Street)				
TRAFFIC VOLUMES			•	<u>.</u>		
Base Yr. AADT Yr. 2018	9500	9500				
Const. Yr. AADT Yr. 2022	13500	13500				
Const. Plus 10 Yr. AADT Yr. 2032	18000	18000				
Design Yr. AADT Yr. 2042	20000	20000				
DHV Yr. 2042 2190		2190				
TRAFFIC FACTORS						
K [⊠ 30 /□ 100/□ 250] (%)	11.6%	11.6%	%	%	%	%
D (%)	67%	67%	%	%	%	%
Design Year T (% of AADT)	6%	6%	%	%	%	%
T (% of DHV)	8%	8%	%	%	%	%
Level of Service	LOS E	LOS C				
SPEEDS						
Existing Posted	55	45				
Future Posted 45		45				
Design Year Project Design Speed 50		50				
OTHER (specify)						
P (% of AADT)	13%	13%	%	%	%	%
K ₈ (% OF AADT)	12.9%	12.9%	%	%	%	%
Other						

AADT = Annual Average Daily Traffic

DHV = Design Hourly Volume

D = % DHV in predominate direction of travel

P = % AADT in peak hour

1. Identify the agency that generated the data included in the Traffic Summary Matrix.

Traffic Volumes - SEWRPC; Traffic Factors - WisDOT; Level of Service - Traffic Analysis and Design, Inc (TADI); Speeds - WisDOT; Other - WisDOT

- 2. Identify the date (month/year) that the traffic forecast data included in the Traffic Summary Matrix was developed. Traffic Volumes 4/2018; All other data 6/2018
- 3. Identify the methodology and/or computer program(s) used to develop the data included in the Traffic Summary Matrix. Traffic forecasts were prepared by SEWRPC. The traffic factors were prepared by WisDOT using geometric design data spreadsheets updated 6-12-2018, and by using existing intersection peak hour counts (for T (% of DHV)). Level of Service determined by TADI using Highway Capacity Software (HCS) 7.5.
- 4. If a metric other than Annual Average Daily Traffic (AADT) is used for describing traffic volumes such as Average Annual Weekday Traffic (AWDT), explain why a different metric was used and how it compares to AADT.

SEWRPC forecasts were prepared using AWDT due to the large level of development anticipated in the area in and around the proposed Foxconn facility.

Project ID # <u>3763-00-04</u> Page 16 of 77

K [$_{30/100/200}$] : K $_{30}$ = Interstate, K $_{100}$ = Rural, K $_{250}$ = Urban, % = AADT in DHV

T = Trucks

K₈ = % AADT occurring in the average of the 8 highest consecutive hours of traffic on an average day (required only if CO analysis is required).

BASIC SHEET 4 – TRAFFIC SUMMARY MATRIX

	ALTERNATIVES/SECTIONS					
	No Build	Build Alternative – Reconstruction (County KR, 90th Street to WIS 31)				
TRAFFIC VOLUMES						
Base Yr. AADT Yr. 2018	9000	9000				
Const. Yr. AADT Yr. 2022	12500	12500				
Const. Plus 10 Yr. AADT Yr. 2032	16500	16500				
Design Yr. AADT Yr. 2042	18000	18000				
DHV Yr. 2042 1870		1870				
TRAFFIC FACTORS						
K [⊠ 30 /□ 100/□ 250] (%)	K [⊠ 30 /□ 100/□ 250] (%) 11.8%		%	%	%	%
D (%)	64%	64%	%	%	%	%
Design Year T (% of AADT)	6%	6%	%	%	%	%
T (% of DHV)	8%	8%	%	%	%	%
Level of Service	LOS E	LOS B				
SPEEDS				<u>.</u>	<u> </u>	
Existing Posted	45	45				
Future Posted	45	45				
Design Year Project Design Speed 50		50				
OTHER (specify)						
P (% of AADT)	14%	14%	%	%	%	%
K ₈ (% OF AADT)	13.3%	13.3%	%	%	%	%
Other						

AADT = Annual Average Daily Traffic

T = Trucks

 $K[_{30/100/200}]: K_{30} = Interstate, K_{100} = Rural, K_{250} = Urban, % = AADT in DHV$

D = % DHV in predominate direction of travel

P = % AADT in peak hour

DHV = Design Hourly Volume

K₈ = % AADT occurring in the average of the 8 highest consecutive hours of traffic on an average day (required only if CO analysis is required).

1. Identify the agency that generated the data included in the Traffic Summary Matrix.

Traffic Volumes - SEWRPC; Traffic Factors - WisDOT; Level of Service - Traffic Analysis and Design, Inc (TADI); Speeds - WisDOT; Other - WisDOT

- 2. Identify the date (month/year) that the traffic forecast data included in the Traffic Summary Matrix was developed. Traffic Volumes 4/2018; All other data 6/2018
- 3. Identify the methodology and/or computer program(s) used to develop the data included in the Traffic Summary Matrix. Traffic forecasts were prepared by SEWRPC. The traffic factors were prepared by WisDOT using geometric design data spreadsheets updated 6-12-2018, and by using existing intersection peak hour counts (for T (% of DHV)). Level of Service determined by TADI using Highway Capacity Software (HCS) 7.5.
- 4. If a metric other than Annual Average Daily Traffic (AADT) is used for describing traffic volumes such as Average Annual Weekday Traffic (AWDT), explain why a different metric was used and how it compares to AADT.

SEWRPC forecasts were prepared using AWDT due to the large level of development anticipated in the area in and around the proposed Foxconn facility.

Project ID # <u>3763-00-04</u> Page 17 of 77

BASIC SHEET 4 - TRAFFIC SUMMARY MATRIX

	ALTERNATIVES/SECTIONS					
		Build Alternative – Reconstruction (County KR, WIS 31 to				
	No Build	Old Green Bay Road)				
TRAFFIC VOLUMES				·	·	
Base Yr. AADT Yr. 2018						
Const. Yr. AADT Yr. 2022	10000	10000				
Const. Plus 10 Yr. AADT Yr. 2032	13500	13500				
Design Yr. AADT Yr. 2042	15500	15500				
DHV Yr. 2042 1560		1560				
TRAFFIC FACTORS						
K [⊠ 30 /□ 100/□ 250] (%)	12.2%	12.2%	%	%	%	%
D (%)	58%	58%	%	%	%	%
Design Year T (% of AADT)	6%	6%	%	%	%	%
T (% of DHV)	8%	8%	%	%	%	%
Level of Service	LOS E	LOS B				
SPEEDS						
Existing Posted	45	45				
Future Posted	45	45				
Design Year Project Design Speed 50		50				
OTHER (specify)						
P (% of AADT)	15%	15%	%	%	%	%
K ₈ (% OF AADT)	14%	14%	%	%	%	%
Other						

AADT = Annual Average Daily Traffic

DHV = Design Hourly Volume

D = % DHV in predominate direction of travel

T = Trucks P = % AADT in peak hour

K₈ = % AADT occurring in the average of the 8 highest consecutive hours of traffic on an average day (required only if CO analysis is required).

1. Identify the agency that generated the data included in the Traffic Summary Matrix.

Traffic Volumes - SEWRPC; Traffic Factors - WisDOT; Level of Service - Traffic Analysis and Design, Inc (TADI); Speeds - WisDOT; Other - WisDOT

- 2. Identify the date (month/year) that the traffic forecast data included in the Traffic Summary Matrix was developed. Traffic Volumes 4/2018; All other data 6/2018
- 3. Identify the methodology and/or computer program(s) used to develop the data included in the Traffic Summary Matrix. Traffic forecasts were prepared by SEWRPC. The traffic factors were prepared by WisDOT using geometric design data spreadsheets updated 6-12-2018, and by using existing intersection peak hour counts (for T (% of DHV)). Level of Service determined by TADI using Highway Capacity Software (HCS) 7.5.
- 4. If a metric other than Annual Average Daily Traffic (AADT) is used for describing traffic volumes such as Average Annual Weekday Traffic (AWDT), explain why a different metric was used and how it compares to AADT.

SEWRPC forecasts were prepared using AWDT due to the large level of development anticipated in the area in and around the proposed Foxconn facility.

Project ID # <u>3763-00-04</u> Page 18 of 77

 $K_{30/100/200}$]: K_{30} = Interstate, K_{100} = Rural, K_{250} = Urban, % = AADT in DHV

BASIC SHEET 5 - AGENCY AND TRIBAL COORDINATION

Agency	Coordination Required?	Correspondence Attached?	Comments
WisDOT			
Dogian Dagl	□No	N/A	Coordination is not required because there will be no Fee, PLE or TLE acquisitions.
Region Real Estate Section	⊠ Yes	⊠ Yes □ No	Coordination has been completed. Project effects and relocation assistance have been addressed. A Conceptual Stage Relocation Plan is attached in Appendix E .
	☐ No	N/A	Coordination is not required. The project is not located within 5 miles of a public or military use airport.
Bureau of Aeronautics	⊠ Yes	⊠ Yes □ No	Coordination has been completed and project effects have been addressed. Explain: The project is near Sylvania Airport and Kenosha Airport. BOA recommends further coordination with FAA prior to construction. As suggested by BOA, the project team contacted Kenosha Airport and Sylvania Airport via letter (8/30/2018) and phone messages. The Kenosha Airport Director contacted the project team on 8/31/2018 and expressed no further concerns about the project. No response has been received from Sylvania Airport.
Railroads and	□No	N/A	Coordination is not required because no railways or harbors are in or planned for the project area.
Harbors Section	⊠ Yes	☐ Yes ⊠ No	Coordination is ongoing. Explain: WisDOT Bureau of Railroads and Harbors is coordinating with UPRR and CPRR regarding proposed grade separations.
STATE AGENCY	Y		
Natural Resources (DNR)	⊠ Yes	⊠ Yes □ No	Wetlands occur throughout the corridor. Fisheries present in Pike River. Recommendations for aquatic connectivity and culvert work; state and federally endangered resources potentially present that may require survey; review for nesting birds on the existing Pike River structure; recommendations to manage for invasive species and viral hemorrhagic septicemis, work in waterways and wetlands and floodplains; considerations for construction site management. The village of Mount Pleasant received Great Lakes Restoration Initiative (GLRI) funds for the Pike River restoration area completed in 2016. See USACE coordination below. DNR confirmed no state stewardship funds were used to purchase public lands directly adjacent to the project.
State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO)	Preservation Yes Yes No		Concurrence that no historic properties affected. SHPO requested notification of any disturbance to the catalogued and uncatalogued Immigrant Burial Site human burial site in close proximity to the proposed project location. If there is any disturbance, SHPO must review and authorize such proposed work within the uncatalogued location.
Agriculture (DATCP)	⊠ Yes □ No	⊠ Yes □ No	Agricultural Impact Statement published October 12, 2018. https://datcp.wi.gov/Documents/AISCounyKRKenRac.pdf
Other (identify) Office of the Commissioner of Railroads (OCR)	⊠ Yes □ No	☐ Yes ⊠ No	WisDOT Railroads and Harbors Section (RHS) is coordinating with OCR for the project.
FEDERAL AGE	NCY	T	
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE)	⊠ Yes □ No	⊠ Yes □ No	Coordination letter sent 7/12/2018 to USACE St. Paul District office in Waukesha, WI. No response received. Per communication with DNR and the village of Mount Pleasant, project staff followed up with the USACE Detroit District on 8/3/2018 to discuss the County KR-Phase 2 reconstruction within a portion of the Pike River improvement project, located on the north side of County KR. GLRI funds, provided through the USACE, were used to construct the restoration area. Per Project Partnership Agreement for Pike River improvements Phases 8 and 9, USACE turned over project operations and maintenance to the village. The USACE determined the proposed project is consistent with the original intent of the restoration project and the adverse impact to the ecological functions of the project are minimal and mitigated to the extent practicable.

Project ID # <u>3763-00-04</u> Page 19 of 77

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS)	⊠ Yes □ No	☐ Yes ⊠ No	WisDOT completed an IPaC query online. The query identified three species listed as Threatened, one species listed as Endangered and one species listed and Experimental Population, Non-Essential. WisDOT completed Section 10 consultation in accordance with the Endangered Species Act. WisDOT has determined the proposed project will not intentionally take (or "result in prohibited take of") any of the species. The USFWS provided technical assistance to determine potential impacts to the Rusty Patched Bumble Bee (RPBB). On December 13, 2018, USFWS communicated its conclusions to WisDOT: "Based on a closer examination of the impacts, we believe there is no significant risk to RPBB in the proposed action area south of the County Line Road (1st St.) as the forested habitat appears to be either too managed or disturbed to support overwintering habitat for the species. There is still some potential of impact to RPBB within the action area north of the road if tree clearing is occurring between October 15 and March 15, although we believe the risk is relatively low. Out of an abundance of caution, postponing tree clearing until after March 15 would eliminate the risk of overwintering queen RPBs from being inadvertently crushed or disturbed as their presence in the forested area would be highly unlikely. However, I would not consider this a requirement since our best available information we have at this time shows to area of impact to be a narrow band of trees that are fairly dense and would be less likely to be high quality overwintering habitat for the species. The conservation measures you propose to replant disturbed areas with a pollinator friendly seed mix will result in beneficial effects to RPBB and the loss of 1.29 acres of forested habitat is unlikely to limit overwintering opportunities to RPBB within this High Potential Zone in the future." WisDOT will include commitments to avoid, minimize and mitigate impacts to RPBB habitat, including replanting disturbed areas in the RPBB High Potential Zone (HPZ) and
Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS)	☐ Yes ⊠ No	☐ Yes ⊠ No	
U.S. National Park Service (NPS)	☐ Yes ☒ No	☐ Yes ☒ No	
U.S. Coast Guard (USCG)	⊠ Yes □ No	⊠ Yes □ No	WisDOT sent a coordination email on 71218. Based on USCG response, no permit or construction coordination is required. USCG requested a set of as-built plans when complete.
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)	⊠ Yes □ No	☐ Yes ⊠ No	Coordination letter sent 7/12/2018. No response received.
Advisory Council on Historic Preservation (ACHP)	☐ Yes ⊠ No	☐ Yes ⊠ No	
Other (identify)	☐ Yes ☒ No	☐ Yes ⊠ No	
SOVEREIGN NA	ATIONS		
American Indian Tribes	⊠ Yes	⊠ Yes	WisDOT sent letters to 11 tribes and the Bureau of Indian Affairs on 6/22/18. One tribe responded; the Forest County Potawatomi requested the archeological survey and SHPO response. WisDOT provided the survey and SHPO response on 10/24/18.

Project ID # <u>3763-00-04</u> Page 20 of 77

BASIC SHEET 6 – ALTERNATIVES COMPARISON MATRIX

All estimates including costs are based on conditions described in this document at the time of preparation in the year of expenditure (YOE). Additional agency or public involvement may change these estimates in the future.

		Alternatives/Sections					
PROJECT PARAMETERS	Unit of Measure	No Build¹	Build Alternative Recon- struction				
Project Length	Miles	2.8	2.8				
PRELIMINARY COST ESTIMATE (YOE)			•				
Construction	Million \$	\$0.92	\$54				
Real Estate	Million \$	\$0	\$5				
TOTAL	Million \$	\$0.92	\$59				
LAND CONVERSIONS							
Total Area Converted to ROW	Acres	0	68.9				
REAL ESTATE							
Number of Farms Affected	Number	0	14				
Total Area Required From Farm Operations	Acres	0	53.4				
AIS Required		☐ Yes ☒ No	⊠ Yes □ No	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
Farmland Rating	Score	N/A	N/A				
Total Buildings Required	Number	0	15				
Housing Units Required	Number	0	5				
Commercial Units Required	Number	0	0				
Other Buildings or Structures Required	Number & Type	0	0				
ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS	•						
Indirect Effects		☐ Yes ⊠ No	⊠ Yes □ No	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
Cumulative Effects		☐ Yes ☒ No	⊠ Yes □ No	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
Environmental Justice Populations		☐ Yes ☒ No	☐ Yes ☒ No	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
National Register Eligible Historic Structures in the Area of Potential Effect	Number	0	0				
National Register Eligible Archeological Sites in the Area of Potential Effect	Number	0	0				
Burial Site Protection (authorization required)		☐ Yes ☒ No	☐ Yes ☒ No	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
106 MOA Required		☐ Yes ☒ No	☐ Yes ☒ No	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
Section 4(f) Evaluation Required		☐ Yes ☒ No	☐ Yes ☒ No	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
Section 6(f) Land Conversion Required		☐ Yes 🏻 No	☐ Yes ☒ No	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
Flood Plain		☐ Yes 🏻 No	⊠ Yes □ No	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
Unique Upland Habitat Identified		☐ Yes 🏻 No	☐ Yes ☒ No	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
Total Wetlands Filled	Acres	0	7.44				
Stream Crossings	Number	1	1				
Threatened/Endangered Species		☐ Yes ☒ No	☑ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Yes ☐ No
Noise Analysis Required Receptors Impacted	Number	☐ Yes ☒ No	⊠ Yes □ No 23	☐ Yes ☐ No			
Contaminated Sites	Number	0	8 surveyed, 3 require further review				

¹The estimated cost of routine maintenance through the design year should be included in the "Construction" box for the No Build Alternative.

Project ID # <u>3763-00-04</u> Page 21 of 77

ENVIRONMENTAL EVALUATION OF FACILITIES DEVELOPMENT ACTIONS (continued)

DT2094

BASIC SHEET 7 - EIS SIGNIFICANCE CRITERIA

In determining whether a proposed action is a "major action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment," the proposed action must be assessed in light of the following criteria (1) if significant impact(s) will result, the preparation of an environmental impact statement (EIS) should commence immediately. Indicate whether the issue listed below is a concern for the proposed action or alternative and (2) if the issue is a concern, explain how it is to be addressed or where it is addressed in the environmental document.

1.	Will the proposed action stimulate substantial indirect environmental effects? ☐ No ☐ Yes − Explain or indicate where addressed. See Indirect and Cumulative Effects Analysis - Appendix D
2.	Will the proposed action contribute to cumulative effects of repeated actions? ☐ No ☐ Yes − Explain or indicate where addressed. See Indirect and Cumulative Effects Analysis - Appendix D
3.	Will the creation of a new environmental effect result from this proposed action? ☐ No ☐ Yes – Explain or indicate where addressed.
4.	Will the proposed action impact geographically scarce resources? ☐ No ☐ Yes – Explain or indicate where addressed.
5.	Will the proposed action have a precedent-setting nature? ☑ No ☐ Yes – Explain or indicate where addressed.
6.	Is the degree of controversy associated with the proposed action high? No Yes – Explain or indicate where addressed.
7.	Will the proposed action be in conflict with official agency plans or local, state, tribal, or national policies, including conflicts resulting from potential effects of transportation on land use and transportation demand? No Yes – Explain or indicate where addressed.

Project ID # <u>3763-00-04</u> Page 22 of 77

BASIC SHEET 8 – ENVIRONMENTAL COMMITMENTS

Attach a copy of this page to the design study report and the PS&E submittal package.

Factor Sheet	Commitment (If none, include "No special or supplemental commitments required.")
A-1 General Economics	No special or supplemental commitments required
A-2 Business	The WisDOT construction project manager will keep County KR open to local traffic during construction. Through traffic will be detoured onto local roads during construction. The WisDOT construction project manager will notify residents and businesses prior to temporary access closures that may occur during construction.
A-3 Agriculture	No special or supplemental commitments required
B-1 Community or Residential	Racine County is responsible for acquisitions and relocation assistance in the village of Mount Pleasant and Kenosha County is responsible for acquisitions in Somers; the counties will utilize standard county procedures for property acquisitions and relocations. During final design, WisDOT design project manager will oversee inventory of potentially impacted trees in residential areas in the village of Somers. The WisDOT design project manager will continue working with the village of Mount Pleasant to coordinate connection of the Pike River Pathway and the shared-use path on the north side of County KR. The WisDOT design project manager will design the County KR bridge over the Pike River to accommodate the existing shared-use path over the Pike River that will be removed. The new County KR bridge will be designed according to appropriate design standards for shared-use paths on an urban arterial street. If construction requires temporary closure of the shared-use path, WisDOT construction project manager will maintain a detour route and signage through the duration of construction. In accordance with the jurisdictional transfer agreement between WisDOT and Kenosha and Racine counties, both WisDOT (Southeast Region Systems Planning Unit Supervisor) and the counties have agreed to develop a long-range access management vision of the corridor. The WisDOT construction project manager will notify emergency service providers of the construction schedule and any access changes during construction. Kenosha County and Racine County will coordinate with the United States Postal Service (USPS) to determine revised routing following construction of County KR.
B-2 Indirect Effects	No special or supplemental commitments required
B-3 Cumulative Effects	No special or supplemental commitments required
B-4 Environmental Justice	No special or supplemental commitments required
B-5 Historic Resources	No special or supplemental commitments required
B-6 Archaeological/Burial Sites	No special or supplemental commitments required
B-7 Tribal Coordination/Consultation	No special or supplemental commitments required
B-8 Section 4(f) and 6(f) or Other Unique Areas	The WisDOT design project manager will coordinate with the village of Mount Pleasant to refine the stormwater detention pond location and associated planting plan in the future Biex Ramcke Homestead park property. The WisDOT construction project manager will use a seed mix similar to that used in Pike River restoration area to restore disturbed areas post construction.
B-9 Aesthetics	No special or supplemental commitments required
C-1 Wetlands	WisDOT (Southeast Region Technical Services Section Supervisor) will overseemitigating unavoidable impacts of 7.44 acres of wetlands, at a replacement ratio per the WisDOT Wetland Mitigation Banking Technical Guideline, through an in-lieu fee-(ILF) payment to DNR. The wetland impacts will occur in the Southwest Lake Michigan watershed. WisDOT will purchase wetland mitigation credits through the Wisconsin-Wetland Conservation Trust (ILF) program to satisfy mitigation requirements. WisDOT (Southeast Region Technical Services Section Supervisor) will oversee mitigating unavoidable impacts of 7.44 acres of wetlands at a replacement ratio per the WisDOT Wetland Mitigation Banking Technical Guideline.

Project ID # <u>3763-00-04</u> Page 23 of 77

C-2 Rivers, Streams and Floodplains	The WisDOT construction project manager will be responsible for all instream work. All instream work that could adversely impact water quality will not occur between March 1st and June 1st. The WisDOT design project manager will share plans for structures with DNR to ensure adequate time for review/comment/revisions prior to plan finalization. The Pike River structure spans the river and would avoid and minimize impacts to stream morphology, aquatic organism passage, and water quality. The WisDOT design project manager will continue coordinating the results of hydraulic analyses of the Pike River structure with village of Mount Pleasant and Racine county zoning officials to avoid upstream and downstream impacts. The WisDOT design project manager and construction project manager will coordinate with DNR to provide project specific construction site considerations, including an Erosion Control Plan and will require the contractor to outline construction methods in an Erosion Control Implementation Plan. The WisDOT design project manager will continue coordination with DNR during design development of the Pike River structure removal if the structure must be dropped into the waterway before removal. The WisDOT construction project manager will continue coordination with DNR if a temporary channel is needed for construction. The WisDOT construction project manager will share channel lining methods and demonstrate the temporary channel or culvert can carry all stream flows during construction and maintain a suitable depth and velocity to allow passage of migrating fish and aquatic species. During construction, stranded fish in dewatered areas or temporary channels should be captured and returned to the active channel immediately.
C-3 Lakes or other Open Water	No special or supplemental commitments required
C-4 Groundwater, Wells and Springs	No special or supplemental commitments required
C-5 Upland Wildlife and Habitat	To avoid potential impacts to migratory birds at the Pike River crossing, the WisDOT design project manager will include special provisions noting project construction will either occur only between August 30 and May 1st. (non-nesting season) or the WisDOT construction manager will utilize measures to prevent nesting (e.g., remove unoccupied nests during the non-nesting season and install barrier netting prior to May 1). If netting is used, the WisDOT construction manager will ensure it is properly maintained, then removed as soon as the nesting period is over. If neither of these options is practicable, the WisDOT construction manager will notify the Southeast Region Technical Services Section Supervisor who will contact USFWS to apply for a depredation permit.
C-6 Coastal Zones	No special or supplemental commitments required
C-7 Threatened and Endangered Species	The WisDOT design project manager will include special provisions for native flowering seed mix #70 or 70A in disturbed areas within the Rusty Patched Bumble Bee High Potential Zone (RPBB HPZ). The WisDOT design project manager will include special provisions to avoid tree clearing in the RPBB HPZ, in the forested area north of County KR between October 15 and March 15. The WisDOT construction manager will oversee planting disturbed areas and avoid tree clearing in the RPBB HPZ as described in the special provisions.
	If Prairie Crayfish are observed during construction, the WisDOT construction project manager will instruct the contractor to remove crayfish from the construction area and store them in a plastic bucket with soil from where the crayfish was found. The WisDOT construction project manager will contact the DNR who will relocate them off the project. See also item C-5 above for commitments regarding migratory birds.
D-1 Air Quality	The WISDOT Project Manager will assure the project is placed in the SERPC TIP prior to construction. SEWRPC TIP approval anticipated February 2019 No special or supplemental commitments required
D-2 Construction Stage Sound Quality	WisDOT Standard Specifications 107.8(6) and 108.7.1 will apply.
D-3 Traffic Noise	None

Project ID # <u>3763-00-04</u> Page 24 of 77

	Having completed a Phase 1 investigation for the improvement under consideration, WisDOT has determined that further investigation of 3 sites is merited. Two of the sites are railroad properties. For the railroad properties, the WisDOT design project manager will include a contract special provision that identifies a high likelihood of encountering contaminated soil, and then conduct text pits and characterization after project LET date, as construction begins. Investigations for the third site are in the process of being scheduled.
D-4 Hazardous Substances or Contamination	Disturbance near potentially contaminated sites would be minimized to the extent possible and practicable. As applicable, the WisDOT design project manager will include a Notice to Contractor in the contract special provisions describing the potential contamination with names and locations of the sites. The areas of potential contamination would be marked on the plan sheets with reference to check the Notice to Contractor in the special provisions.
	The WisDOT design project manager will include special provisions to notify contractors of potential presence of petroleum underground storage tanks or potential contaminated soils and/or groundwater before proceeding with any construction activities at those sites.
D-5 Storm Water	During final design, the WisDOT design project manager will refine the location of stormwater detention ponds and the RSC system in the vicinity of the Pike River through coordination with the village of Mount Pleasant and the village of Somers, and Kenosha and Racine counties.
	For stormwater detention facilities, the WisDOT design project manager will follow recommendations outlined in FAA guidance on wildlife attractants on or near airports in FAA Advisory Circular 150/5200-33.
D-6 Erosion Control	The WisDOT design project manager will obtain coverage under the WisDOT Transportation Construction General Permit (TCGP) prior to construction activities.
E-1 Other Federal Aviation Administration	The WisDOT design project manager will coordinate with FAA. The 'Notice Criteria Tool' on the FAA's Obstruction Evaluation and Airport Airspace Analysis (OE/AAA) website should be used to see if any temporary equipment or permanent structures will require study. If required to file for a study, the FAA requests submittal at least 45 days prior to the start of construction.
	For stormwater detention facilities, the WisDOT design project manager will follow recommendations outlined in FAA guidance on wildlife attractants on or near airports in FAA Advisory Circular 150/5200-33.
E-2 U.S. Coast Guard	The WisDOT design project manager will forward a set of the Pike River bridge "asbuilt" plans to USCG via ftp file transfer if the file proves to be cost-prohibitive to print/mail.

Project ID # <u>3763-00-04</u> Page 25 of 77

BASIC SHEET 9 – ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS MATRIX (check all that apply)

Factors	Adverse	Benefit	None Identified	Factor Sheet Attached	Note: If the effects on the environmental factor can't be adequately summarized in several sentences, the Factor Sheet for the environmental factor must be included. Effects
A. ECONOMIC FACTORS Fact	tor She	eet A-1	1, Gen	eral Econ	nomics, must be included if Factor Sheet A-2 or A-3 is completed.
A-1 General Economics					The proposed project supports ongoing economic development and mobility goals of local governments. See Factor Sheet A-1
A-2 Business					No business acquisitions required. Proposed action supports future business development in accordance with local plans. During construction, County KR will remain open to local traffic and through traffic will be detoured. WisDOT will notify businesses prior to temporary access closures that may occur during construction.
A-3 Agriculture	\boxtimes			\boxtimes	53.4 acres of farmland impacted from project. Of that total, the village of Mount Pleasant has already purchased 23 of those acres for the Foxconn project. DATCP prepared an Agricultural Impact Statement. See Factor Sheet A-3.
B. SOCIAL/CULTURAL FACTO	ORS				
B-1 Community or Residential					Mount Pleasant: The frontage of residential properties on the north side of County KR would be impacted by the expansion of the roadway and grading activities. Where feasible, the project would realign driveways to match the new road profile. In areas where driveway access cannot be restored, the property would be purchased, and the households would be relocated. This would require the full acquisition of 5 properties between 90th Street and just east of UPRR. Of those five properties to be acquired, four households would be relocated since one housing unit is vacant. The proposed right of way for the project would also require strip takings along the back yards of residential properties and out lots in the Deer Run at Campbell Woods subdivision. Somers: The frontage of some residential properties on the south side of County KR would be impacted requiring the realignment of some driveways to match new road profiles. No residential relocations are required. For the UPRR/County KR grade separation, the frontages of five residential properties to the south of County KR and east of UPRR would be impacted by a new access road that would be constructed to access these properties. Also, a retaining wall that ranges in height from 2-feet to 28-feet would be constructed as part of the grade separation and visible to these residences. The proposed raised medians along the corridor would restrict driveways to right-in/right-out only access for some properties in both municipalities. The following design changes were made to address residential access concerns: 1) Between UPRR and 56th Avenue, the raised median width was reduced to 22 feet and the shoulder width was increased to 8-feet to provide space for vehicles to decelerate to and accelerate from residential driveways; 1) Between UPRR and 56th Avenue, County KR would be constructed with a center two-way left turn lane instead of a raised median to provide space for and allow left turns in both directions from driveways and roadways; 2) East of Old Green Bay Road, wh
B-2 Indirect Effects	\boxtimes				Indirect effects analysis completed. See Appendix D . Indirect effects to

Project ID # <u>3763-00-04</u> Page 26 of 77

					development occurs. Local, state and federal regulations and policies are in place to manage resources and minimize indirect effects.
B-3 Cumulative Effects	\boxtimes	\boxtimes			Cumulative effects analysis completed. See Appendix D . The project's direct impacts and indirect effects, in combination with other projects implemented by other parties may contribute to cumulative effects on resources. Local, state and federal regulations and policies are in place to minimize cumulative effects.
B-4 Environmental Justice			\boxtimes		No disproportionate impacts anticipated. Low-income and minority populations in study area are low compared to low-income and minority populations in the surrounding municipalities and counties. Conversations with local officials did not identify known minority or low-income groups along the project corridor. WisDOT real estate staff did not identify minority, low-income or disabled resident concerns associated with residential relocations.
For B-5 through B-8, if any o	of thes	e reso	urces	are prese	ent on the project, involve the REC early because of possible project schedule implications.
B-5 Historic Resources			\boxtimes		Historic survey conducted; no resources impacted
B-6 Archaeological/Burial Sites			\boxtimes		Archeological survey conducted. The proposed action will avoid a catalogued and uncatalogued burial site. No other resources impacted.
B-7 Tribal Coordination /Consultation			\boxtimes		Eleven tribes with interest in projects in Racine and Kenosha counties contacted. Forest County Potawatomi requested archeological survey and SHPO response. WisDOT provided the requested survey and SHPO response on 10/28/18. No other responses received.
B-8 Section 4(f) and 6(f) or Other Unique Areas	\boxtimes			\boxtimes	The project does not require a FHWA Action, Section 4(f) is not applicable. No Section 6(f) funds were used for properties impacted by County KR-Phase 2 project. Up to 0.38 acres of the Pike River restoration area, on the north side of County KR would be impacted by roadway widening and the construction of new bridges over the Pike River. Also, 2.08 acres of the future Biex Ramcke Homestead Park would be impacted by a proposed stormwater detention pond (1.35 acres) and roadway widening (0.73 acres). The Pike River Pathway, currently under construction north of County KR, will match into the proposed shared-use path on the north side of County KR. See Factor Sheet B-8.
B-9 Aesthetics					The view of the facility would change from a road with rural characteristics to a road with urban characteristics. Due to the expansion of the roadway, some residents along the project corridor would have a view of the roadway that is physically closer than the view of the roadway under existing conditions. The acquisition and removal of five residential homes on the north side of County KR would change the character of the landscape in those areas from residential and agricultural to vacant. The proposed retaining wall associated with the UPRR/County KR grade separation would change views of residential properties along the south side of County KR, east of the UPRR corridor. See Factor Sheet B-9.
C. NATURAL RESOURCE FAC	CTORS	3	ı		
C-1 Wetlands	\boxtimes				7.44 acres of wetlands filled for roadway, bridge and stormwater detention pond construction. See Factor Sheet C-1
C-2 Rivers, Streams and Floodplains	\boxtimes				A new Pike River crossing will impact 2.7 acres of floodplains. See Factor Sheet C-3.
C-3 Lakes or Other Open Water			\boxtimes		No lakes or other open water impacted.
C-4 Groundwater, Wells, and Springs			\boxtimes		No groundwater, wells, or springs identified.
C-5 Upland Wildlife and Habitat			\boxtimes		The project impacts up to 2.27 acres of secondary environmental corridor and 1.22 acres of isolated natural areas. Impacts are limited to abutting vegetation degraded by human activity, including ditching and mowing. SEWRPC proposes to reclassify the Pike River corridor to the north of County KR, including the Pike River Restoration area, as a primary environmental corridor. ¹⁰ See Item B-8

¹⁰ SEWRPC delineates environmental corridors and isolated natural areas for Southeastern Wisconsin. Primary environmental corridors are concentrations of significant natural resources at least 400 acres in area, at least two miles in length, and at least 200 feet in width. Secondary environmental corridors are concentrations of significant natural resources at least 100 acres in area and at least one mile in length. Isolated natural resource areas are those remaining significant natural resources at least five acres in area and at least 200 feet in width. (http://www.sewrpc.org/SEWRPC/LandUse/EnvironmentalCorridors.htm).

Project ID # <u>3763-00-04</u> Page 27 of 77

					above and Factor Sheet B-8 for anticipated impacts in the Pike River Restoration area.
C-6 Coastal Zones	П	П	\boxtimes	П	No coastal area impacted.
C-7 Threatened and Endangered Species					WisDOT completed Section 10 consultation in accordance with the Endangered Species Act. WisDOT has determined the proposed project will not intentionally take (or "result in prohibited take of") federally listed species. The USFWS provided technical assistance to determine potential impacts to the Rusty Patched Bumble Bee (RPBB). On December 13, 2018, USFWS communicated its conclusions to WisDOT: "Based on a closer examination of the impacts, we believe there is no significant risk to RPBB in the proposed action area south of the County Line Road (1st St.) as the forested habitat appears to be either too managed or disturbed to support overwintering habitat for the species. There is still some potential of impact to RPBB within the action area north of the road if tree clearing is occurring between October 15 and March 15, although we believe the risk is relatively low. Out of an abundance of caution, postponing tree clearing until after March 15 would eliminate the risk of overwintering queen RPBBs from being inadvertently crushed or disturbed as their presence in the forested area would be highly unlikely. However, I would not consider this a requirement since our best available information we have at this time shows to area of impact to be a narrow band of trees that are fairly dense and would be less likely to be high quality overwintering habitat for the species. The conservation measures you propose to replant disturbed areas with a pollinator friendly seed mix will result in beneficial effects to RPBB and the loss of 1.29 acres of forested habitat is unlikely to limit overwintering opportunities to RPBB within this High Potential Zone in the future." WisDOT will include commitments to avoid, minimize and mitigate impacts to RPBB habitat, including replanting disturbed areas in the RPBB High Potential Zone (HPZ) and limit tree clearing during overwintering months.
					No state listed species were identified in a survey completed October 2018. See Factor Sheet C-7.
D. PHYSICAL FACTORS					
D-1 Air Quality			\boxtimes	\boxtimes	No air quality impacts would result from proposed action. This project was amended into the State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) in May 2018. https://wisconsindot.gov/Documents/doing-bus/local-gov/astnce-pgms/highway/stip/amend-0518.pdf.The project is included in an amendment to the regional land use and transportation plan for Southeast Wisconsin which was adopted by SEWRPC on December 5, 2018. The project is included in the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) for Southeastern Wisconsin: 2019-2022 per the December 13, 2018 amendment under #399. http://www.sewrpc.org/SEWRPCFiles/Transportation/Files/tip/19-22_TIP/tip_resolution_2019-03.PDF.
D-2 Construction Stage Sound Quality	\boxtimes				Noise would be generated by construction equipment used to reconstruct the roadway. Typical construction equipment would include dump trucks, graders, cranes, bulldozers, piledriving equipment and pavement construction equipment. The noise generated by this construction equipment would vary greatly, depending upon the equipment type and model, mode and duration of operation, and specific type of work effort; however, typical noise levels may occur in the 75-to-95-dBA range (at 50 feet). See Factor Sheet D-2.
D-3 Traffic Noise	\boxtimes			\boxtimes	The traffic noise analysis indicates that design year traffic noise levels would approach or exceed the criteria at 23 residences. No receptors in the project study area would be exposed to an increase in sound levels of 15 dBA or more. Traffic noise mitigation is not feasible since all 23 residences have driveway access to County KR. See Factor Sheet D-3
D-4 Hazardous Substances or Contamination	\boxtimes			\boxtimes	A Phase I Hazardous Materials Assessment identified eight sites with environmental conditions of note. Five sites did not warrant further investigation. Three sites indicated potential contamination sources that may impact the County KR-Phase 2 project and warrant further investigation. See Factor Sheet D-4.

Project ID # <u>3763-00-04</u> Page 28 of 77

D-5 Stormwater	\boxtimes	\boxtimes			Stormwater management includes curb and gutter drainage, stormwater detention ponds and a RSC system. Post construction stormwater management is designed to meet local and DNR NR 151 requirements. The project includes detention ponds for peak flow reduction and bio swales for Total Suspended Solids (TSS) water quality. Since the roadway will be transferred back to local jurisdiction after construction, local governments will be responsible for long term maintenance of the stormwater facilities. See Factor Sheet D-5.	
D-6 Erosion Control and Sediment Control			\boxtimes		Erosion control during construction will be addressed through standard WisDOT processes and contract Special Provisions. The contractor is required to provide an Erosion Control Implementation Plan to WisDOT and DNR for review and approval prior to construction. WisDOT will use its standard erosion control inspection process during construction.	
E. OTHER FACTORS						
E-1						

Project ID # <u>3763-00-04</u> Page 29 of 77

GENERAL ECONOMICS EVALUATION

Wisconsin Department of Transportation

Factor Sheet A-1

Alternative	Total Length of Center Line of Existing Roadway 2.8 miles
Build Alternative - Reconstruction	Length of This Alternative 2.8 miles
Preferred	

1. Briefly describe the existing economic characteristics of the area around the project:

Description
The area surrounding the project is predominately agricultural use, specifically cropland. There is a specialty aquaponics facility adjacent to the project corridor that sells lettuce, herbs, and fish. According to the Agricultural Impact Statement (AIS) from the Wisconsin Department of Agriculture, Trade and Consumer Protection (DATCP), none of the land that could be acquired for the project is part of an Agricultural Enterprise Area (AEA).
According to the AIS, the main crops in Kenosha County and Racine County are corn for grain, soybeans, winter wheat, and alfalfa hay. From 1997 to 2012, the acres of land in farms decreased by 10.6 percent in Racine County and 9.6 percent in Kenosha County.
No retail facilities are present in the project area. Concentrations of retail are in the cities of Racine and Kenosha and along the WIS 20 corridor in Racine County and WIS 50 corridor in Kenosha County. The Regency Mall in Racine is within 2 miles of the project area to the north. Retail available near the project area includes grocery stores, clothing, dollar
stores, department stores, and "big box" retailers.
No wholesale businesses are present in the project area. In Racine and Kenosha, there are several wholesalers for products such as agricultural goods, home improvement supplies, and alcohol.
No heavy industry is present in the project area. There are some heavy industrial facilities in and outside the cities of Racine and Kenosha. These facilities produce materials including charcoal, chemicals, power, and steel.
No light industry is currently present in the project area. This will change with the new Foxconn manufacturing plant that is being constructed north of County KR between I-94 and 90 th Street in Mount Pleasant. Foxconn, an electronic device manufacturer, is building 22 million square feet of light industrial development on former agricultural land. The initial phases of the factory broke ground in June 2018 and will open in 2020. The Foxconn plant is expected to increase demand for other development and suppliers to the area.
Other light industry in the area includes an industrial park in Kenosha with bottling, automotive, and packaging facilities. Additional facilities exist throughout Racine and Kenosha.
Regional tourism is mostly focused on Milwaukee and Chicago. However, there are some destinations in Racine and Kenosha counties, although none are in the project area. These destinations include: Racine Zoo Racine Art Museum Wustum Art Museum Civil War Museum Kenosha Public Museum

Project ID # <u>3763-00-04</u> Page 30 of 77

g. Recreation	There is an off-road vehicle track that will be impacted by the project, but it is for private use only and is not part of a business. There are also bike trails and planned parks in the project area. The recently completed Pike River restoration area is located immediately north of County KR. It will include a recreational pathway on the west side of the river, which is under construction. A shared-use path is located along the south side of County KR in Somers between 56th Avenue and WIS 31. The path extends south along the east side of WIS 31 to the Petrifying Springs County Park. The Trefoil Oaks Program Center owned by the Girl Scouts of Wisconsin Southeast is located on the south side of County KR just east of the project terminus. Nearby, there are recreational facilities such as golf courses, athletic fields
	and centers associated with UW-Parkside, county recreation centers, parks, beaches, and marinas.
h. Forestry	No forestry businesses are in the project area. Most land in the project area has been cleared for residential or farm use. Only isolated wooded areas remain and are not used for forestry related businesses.

2. Discuss the economic advantages and disadvantages of the proposed action and whether advantages would outweigh disadvantages. Indicate how the project would affect the characteristics described in item 1 above:

The Build Alternative - Reconstruction would support the Foxconn manufacturing campus and other planned economic development in the project area by providing the roadway infrastructure needed to support the travel demand generated by new development. In addition, the capacity and intersection improvements for the Build Alternative - Reconstruction would maintain mobility along an important east-west arterial that serves the existing population and employment base in the cities of Racine and Kenosha. According to the Eastern Racine County Transportation Task Force, slow east-west travel times from I-94 to Racine are problematic for workers and for economic development purposes since Racine is the largest city in Wisconsin that does not have direct access to an interstate. Maintaining mobility along County KR is important because it is one of the few east-west roadways in Racine County that currently does not have major impediments to traffic flow.

The main disadvantage of the Build Alternative - Reconstruction is that the expansion of right of way would convert existing agricultural use to transportation use. The impact is minimized to the extent practicable by ensuring that farms are not split or landlocked. However, some residential and farm facility acquisitions would be necessary for the project.

what effect will the proposed action have on the potential for economic development in the project area?
☐ The proposed project will have no effect on economic development.
oxtimes The proposed project will have an effect on economic development.
The project would support the economic development that is already planned in the area, especially related to the Foxconn plant. Although further economic development is not explicitly planned as part of the project, the project would facilitate it, and it is part of the future land use plans for Mount Pleasant and Somers.
Decrease, describe:

Project ID # <u>3763-00-04</u> Page 31 of 77

AGRICULTURE EVALUATION

Factor Sheet A-3

Wisconsin Department of Transportation

Alternative	Total Length of Center Line of Existing Roadway 2.8
Build Alternative - Reconstruction	Length of This Alternative 2.8
Preferred	

1. Total acquisition interest, by type of agricultural land use:

	Type of Acq	Total Area			
Type of Land Acquired from Farm Operations	Fee Simple	Easement	Acquired (acres)		
Crop land and pasture	29.8	10.7	40.5		
Woodland	4.3	0.0	4.3		
Land of undetermined or other use (e.g., wetlands, yards, roads, etc.)	8.6	0.0	8.6		
Totals	42.7	10.7	53.4		

Note: Of the total agricultural land impacted by the County KR-Phase 2 project (53.4 acres), the village of Mount Pleasant has already purchased 23 of those acres for the Foxconn project.

2. Indicate number of farm operations from which land will be acquired:

Acreage to be Acquired	Number of Farm Operations
Less than I acre	6
1 acre to 5 acres	8
More than 5 acres	0

3.	Is land to be converted to highway use covered by the Farmland Protection Policy Act?
	 ☐ The land was purchased prior to August 6, 1984 for the purpose of conversion. ☐ The acquisition does not directly or indirectly convert farmland. ☐ The land is clearly not farmland
	☐ The land is already in, or committed to urban use or water storage.
	Yes (This determination is made by the Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) via the completion of the Farmland Impact Conversion Rating Form, NRCS Form AD-1006)
	The land is prime farmland which is not already committed to urban development or water storage.
	The land is unique farmland.
	☐ The land is farmland which is of statewide or local importance as determined by the appropriate state or local government agency.
4.	Has the Farmland Impact Conversion Rating Form (AD-1006) been submitted to NRCS?
	No - Explain: NRCS coordination is not required for projects that do not use federal funds
	☐ Yes ☐ The Cite Accessment Criteria Coope (Part VII of the forms) is less than CO mainte for this maniest
	The Site Assessment Criteria Score (Part VI of the form) is less than 60 points for this project alternative.
	Date Form AD-1006 completed
	☐ The Site Assessment Criteria Score is 60 points or greater.
	Date Form AD-1006 completed

Project ID # <u>3763-00-04</u> Page 32 of 77

5. Is an Agricultural Impact Statement (AIS) Required?
☐ No☐ Eminent Domain will not be used for this acquisition
☐ The project is a "Town Highway" project
The acquisition is less than 1 acreThe acquisition is 1-5 acres and DATCP chooses not to do an AIS.
Other. Describe
☐ The project is not a "Town Highway" project
☐ The acquisition is 1-5 acres and DATCP chooses to do an AIS.
The acquisition is greater than 5 acres
 6. Is an Agricultural Impact Notice (AIN) Required? No, the project is not a State Trunk Highway Project - AIN not required but complete questions 7-16.
☑ Yes, the project is a State Trunk Highway Project - AIN may be required.
Is the land acquired "non-significant"?
 Yes - (All must be checked) An AIN is <u>not</u> required but complete questions 7-16. Less than 1 acre in size
Results in no severances
Does not significantly alter or restrict access
Does not involve moving or demolishing any improvements necessary to the operation of the farm
□ Does not involve a high value crop
No
Acquisition 1 to 5 acres - AIN required . Complete Pages 1 and 2, Form DT1999, (Pages 1 and 2, Figure 1, Procedure 21-25-30.)
Acquisition over 5 acres - AIN required. Complete Pages 1, 3 and 4,
Form DT1999. (Pages 1, 3 and 4, Figure 1, Procedure 21-25-30)
If an AIN is completed, do not complete the following questions 7-16.
7. Identify and describe effects to farm operations because of land lost due to the project:
 7. Identify and describe effects to farm operations because of land lost due to the project: Does Not Apply.
7. Identify and describe effects to farm operations because of land lost due to the project:
7. Identify and describe effects to farm operations because of land lost due to the project: Does Not Apply. Applies – Discuss.
 7. Identify and describe effects to farm operations because of land lost due to the project: Does Not Apply.
 7. Identify and describe effects to farm operations because of land lost due to the project: Does Not Apply. Applies – Discuss. 8. Describe changes in access to farm operations caused by the proposed action:
 7. Identify and describe effects to farm operations because of land lost due to the project: Does Not Apply. Applies – Discuss. 8. Describe changes in access to farm operations caused by the proposed action: Does Not Apply.
 7. Identify and describe effects to farm operations because of land lost due to the project: Does Not Apply. Applies – Discuss. 8. Describe changes in access to farm operations caused by the proposed action: Does Not Apply. Applies – Discuss. 9. Indicate whether a farm operation will be severed because of the project and describe the severance (include)
 7. Identify and describe effects to farm operations because of land lost due to the project: Does Not Apply. Applies – Discuss. 8. Describe changes in access to farm operations caused by the proposed action: Does Not Apply. Applies – Discuss. 9. Indicate whether a farm operation will be severed because of the project and describe the severance (include area of original farm and size of any remnant parcels):
 7. Identify and describe effects to farm operations because of land lost due to the project: Does Not Apply. Applies – Discuss. 8. Describe changes in access to farm operations caused by the proposed action: Does Not Apply. Applies – Discuss. 9. Indicate whether a farm operation will be severed because of the project and describe the severance (include area of original farm and size of any remnant parcels): Does Not Apply.
 7. Identify and describe effects to farm operations because of land lost due to the project: Does Not Apply. Applies – Discuss. 8. Describe changes in access to farm operations caused by the proposed action: Does Not Apply. Applies – Discuss. 9. Indicate whether a farm operation will be severed because of the project and describe the severance (include area of original farm and size of any remnant parcels):
 7. Identify and describe effects to farm operations because of land lost due to the project: Does Not Apply. Applies – Discuss. 8. Describe changes in access to farm operations caused by the proposed action: Does Not Apply. Applies – Discuss. 9. Indicate whether a farm operation will be severed because of the project and describe the severance (include area of original farm and size of any remnant parcels): Does Not Apply. Does Not Apply. Applies – Discuss.
 Identify and describe effects to farm operations because of land lost due to the project: Does Not Apply. Applies – Discuss. Describe changes in access to farm operations caused by the proposed action: Does Not Apply. Applies – Discuss. Indicate whether a farm operation will be severed because of the project and describe the severance (include area of original farm and size of any remnant parcels):
 7. Identify and describe effects to farm operations because of land lost due to the project: Does Not Apply. Applies – Discuss. 8. Describe changes in access to farm operations caused by the proposed action: Does Not Apply. Applies – Discuss. 9. Indicate whether a farm operation will be severed because of the project and describe the severance (include area of original farm and size of any remnant parcels): Does Not Apply. Applies – Discuss. 10. Identify and describe effects generated by the acquisition or relocation of farm operation buildings, structures or improvements (e.g., barns, silos, stock watering ponds, irrigation wells, etc.). Address the location, type, condition and importance to the farm operation as appropriate:
 7. Identify and describe effects to farm operations because of land lost due to the project: Does Not Apply. Applies – Discuss. 8. Describe changes in access to farm operations caused by the proposed action: Does Not Apply. Applies – Discuss. 9. Indicate whether a farm operation will be severed because of the project and describe the severance (include area of original farm and size of any remnant parcels): Does Not Apply. Applies – Discuss. 10. Identify and describe effects generated by the acquisition or relocation of farm operation buildings, structures or improvements (e.g., barns, silos, stock watering ponds, irrigation wells, etc.). Address the location, type, condition and importance to the farm operation as appropriate: Does Not Apply.
 7. Identify and describe effects to farm operations because of land lost due to the project: Does Not Apply. Applies – Discuss. 8. Describe changes in access to farm operations caused by the proposed action: Does Not Apply. Applies – Discuss. 9. Indicate whether a farm operation will be severed because of the project and describe the severance (include area of original farm and size of any remnant parcels): Does Not Apply. Applies – Discuss. 10. Identify and describe effects generated by the acquisition or relocation of farm operation buildings, structures or improvements (e.g., barns, silos, stock watering ponds, irrigation wells, etc.). Address the location, type, condition and importance to the farm operation as appropriate:
 7. Identify and describe effects to farm operations because of land lost due to the project: Does Not Apply. Applies – Discuss. 8. Describe changes in access to farm operations caused by the proposed action: Does Not Apply. Applies – Discuss. 9. Indicate whether a farm operation will be severed because of the project and describe the severance (include area of original farm and size of any remnant parcels): Does Not Apply. Applies – Discuss. 10. Identify and describe effects generated by the acquisition or relocation of farm operation buildings, structures or improvements (e.g., barns, silos, stock watering ponds, irrigation wells, etc.). Address the location, type, condition and importance to the farm operation as appropriate: Does Not Apply.
 7. Identify and describe effects to farm operations because of land lost due to the project: Does Not Apply. Applies – Discuss. 8. Describe changes in access to farm operations caused by the proposed action: Does Not Apply. Applies – Discuss. 9. Indicate whether a farm operation will be severed because of the project and describe the severance (include area of original farm and size of any remnant parcels): Does Not Apply. Applies – Discuss. 10. Identify and describe effects generated by the acquisition or relocation of farm operation buildings, structures or improvements (e.g., barns, silos, stock watering ponds, irrigation wells, etc.). Address the location, type, condition and importance to the farm operation as appropriate: Does Not Apply.

Project ID # <u>3763-00-04</u> Page 33 of 77

11.	Describe effects caused by the elimination or relocation of a cattle/equipment pass or crossing. Attach plans, sketches, or other graphics as needed to clearly illustrate existing and proposed location of any cattle/equipment pass or crossing: Does Not Apply. Replacement of an existing cattle/equipment pass or crossing is not planned. Explain. Cattle/equipment pass or crossing will be replaced. Replacement will occur at same location. Cattle/equipment pass or crossing will be relocated. Describe.
12.	Describe the effects generated by the obliteration of the old roadway: Does Not Apply. Applies – Discuss.
13.	Identify and describe any proposed changes in land use or indirect development that will affect farm operations and are related to the development of this project: Does Not Apply. Applies – Discuss.
14.	Describe any other project-related effects identified by a farm operator or owner that may be adverse, beneficial or controversial: No effects indicated by farm operator or owner. Applies – Discuss.
15.	Indicate whether minority or low-income population farm owners, operators, or workers will be affected by the proposal: (Include migrant workers, if appropriate.) No Applies – Discuss.
16.	Describe measures to minimize adverse effects or enhance benefits to agricultural operations:

Project ID # <u>3763-00-04</u> Page 34 of 77

COMMUNITY OR RESIDENTIAL EVALUATION

Factor Sheet B-1

Alternative	Total Length of Center Line of		niles		
Build Alternative - Reconstruction	Length of This Alternative 2.8	8 miles			
Preferred					
Give a brief description of the comm	unity or neighborhood affecte	ed by the proposed act	ion:		
Name of Community/Neighborhood					
Village of Mount Pleasant. This fact					
90th Street and WIS 31 and the Deer					
and the eastern terminus of the projection					
north of County KR between County F	I and 90th Street as part of the l	Foxconn development p	roject.		
Incorporated					
∑ Yes □ No					
Total Population					
513					
Demographic Characteristics					
Census Y	'ear 2010	% of Population			
White		96			
African A	merican	2			
Asian 1					
Other 1					
Hispanic	Origin*	3			
Age 65 o	15				
*Those of H	ispanic origin may also identify as othe	er races			

2. Identify and discuss existing modes of transportation and their importance within the community or Neighborhood:

Personal vehicles are the dominant mode of transportation for residents in the community of Mount Pleasant and are essential for accessing jobs, goods and services since the residences in the project area are outside the Racine and Kenosha transit service areas.

No dedicated bike or pedestrian facilities are currently located along the north side of County KR. The Pike River Pathway, which is under construction, will run along the west side of the river and connect with the shared-use path proposed for the north side of County KR. The Pike River Pathway is primarily used for recreational purposes and is important to the community's quality of life.

An amendment to the SEWRPC Vision 2050 recommends the addition of a commuter bus route from downtown Racine to the Foxconn campus along County KR to accommodate employees travelling to work.

3. Identify and discuss the probable changes resulting from the proposed action to the existing modes of transportation and their function within the community or neighborhood:

The project would improve personal vehicle transportation by providing additional capacity on County KR to meet the anticipated increase in travel demand due to the opening of the Foxconn plant and other planned local development. The project would improve safety for vehicles and train traffic by replacing the grade crossings with new bridges at the CPRR and UPRR crossings. The project would improve safety for vehicles by addressing roadway deficiencies and congestion that would occur under the No Build Alternative and making intersection improvements. Also, the project would maintain mobility along an important east-west local arterial that conveys traffic from I-94 to WIS 32. The project may cause short-term delays and detours for vehicles along County KR due to construction-related activities.

The proposed project includes shared-use paths along County KR, which would improve non-vehicular (pedestrian and bicycle) access in the project area and provide safe connections to other existing and planned shared-use paths including the Pike River Pathway. The proposed shared-use path on the north side of County KR would extend to Vicksburg Drive and be accessible to residents of the Deer Run at Campbell Woods subdivision. The shared-use path proposed for the project would be used for recreational purposes but could also be used to access jobs, goods and services as the area develops.

Project ID # <u>3763-00-04</u> Page 35 of 77

The project's proposed improvements would accommodate the commuter bus route along County KR that is recommended by the SEWRPC Vision 2050 plan amendment. If implemented, this would bring transit service to this area and connect the area with the greater Racine transit system.

4. Briefly discuss the proposed action's direct and indirect effect(s) on existing and planned land use in the community or neighborhood:

The project would require new right of way that would directly impact 45.6 acres of existing land use along the project corridor in Mount Pleasant. This includes 35.6 acres of agricultural use and 10 acres of residential use that would be converted to transportation use. Of these totals, the village of Mount Pleasant has already purchased 23 acres of agricultural use and 2.2 acres of residential use to the west of 90th Street as part of the Foxconn development and would be within the project's proposed right of way.

An indirect effects analysis was completed for the project and is included in Appendix D. The indirect effects analysis determined the reconstruction and capacity expansion of County KR between County H and Old Green Bay Road would provide transportation infrastructure needed to support local plans for urban/suburban development patterns. As a result, the Build Alternative - Reconstruction has the potential to facilitate development in accordance with local land use plans that call for a transition of existing agricultural use to industrial, commercial and residential uses. Indirect effects to resources may occur from the Build Alternative - Reconstruction if induced development occurs. Potential indirect effects to land use and other resources in the study area would be minimized by local, state and federal regulations and policies that are intended to manage growth and protect resources.

5. Address any changes to emergency or other public services during and after construction of the proposed project:

County KR would be closed to through traffic during construction but would remain open to local traffic and emergency services. Emergency services would be notified of the construction schedule and any access changes during construction. In comparison to the No Build Alternative, emergency and public services would experience more reliable travel under the Build Alternative - Reconstruction resulting from increased capacity and grade separations at two railroad crossings. Improved roadway design, such as improved stopping sight distances and protected turn lanes, and traffic signals would also facilitate safe traffic operations.

The project may require revisions to the U.S. Postal Service (USPS) routing along County KR, which would affect the placement of residential mailboxes along County KR. Kenosha and Racine counties will coordinate with USPS to determine revised routing following construction of County KR. Homeowners will be responsible for the placement of mailboxes along USPS routes in accordance with USPS mailbox regulations for curbside residential mailboxes. Residents should contact the local post office for more information on properly relocating mailboxes. Residents should also contact USPS to determine mail delivery procedures during construction.

6. Describe any physical or access changes that will result. This could include effects on lot frontages, side slopes or driveways (steeper or flatter), sidewalks, reduced terraces, tree removals, vision corners, etc.:

The frontage of residential properties on the north side of County KR would be impacted by the expansion of the roadway and grading activities. Where feasible, driveways would be realigned to match the new road profile. In a few cases, driveways would become steeper and have 10 percent grades, but would still be within acceptable design parameters. In areas where driveway access cannot be restored, the property would be acquired, and the households would be relocated. The project requires full acquisition of five properties between 90th Street and just east of UPRR. Of those five properties to be acquired, four households would be relocated since one housing unit is vacant. (See Question 10 below for more information about residential acquisitions). These impacts would occur along the north side of County KR primarily between 90th Street and WIS 31 since many of the residential properties to the west of 90th Street were acquired by the village of Mount Pleasant for the Foxconn development. Racine County is responsible for acquisitions and relocations in the Village of Mount Pleasant.

The proposed right of way for the project would result in strip acquisitions along the back yards of residential properties and out lots in the Deer Run at Campbell Woods subdivision, located east of Old Green Bay Road, to accommodate the expanded roadway and shared-use path.

The project proposes to include raised medians along some sections of County KR with openings for cross access at public road intersections. The raised median would limit cross access along County KR and limit turns to right-in and right-out only access at residential driveways. To address residential access concerns, the following design changes were made: 1) Between UPRR and 56th Avenue, the raised median width was reduced to 22 feet and the shoulder width was increased to 8 feet to provide space for vehicles to decelerate to and accelerate from residential driveways; 1) Between UPRR and 56th Avenue, County KR would be constructed with a center two-way left turn lane to provide space for and allow left turns in both directions from driveways and roadways in this section; and 2) East of Old Green

Project ID # <u>3763-00-04</u> Page 36 of 77

Bay Road, where traffic volumes decline, the median to the east of 43rd Avenue will not be raised, and it will instead be painted to provide full access to residential areas including the Deer Run at Campbell Woods subdivision off Vicksburg Drive.

7. Indicate whether a community/neighborhood facility will be affected by the proposed action and indicate what effect(s) this will have on the community/neighborhood:

WisDOT and the village of Mount Pleasant are coordinating the design and construction of the Pike River Pathway with the design for the County KR-Phase 2 project. The design of the Pike River Pathway may need to be revised slightly just north of County KR to provide a safe and contiguous connection with the proposed shared-use path along County KR.

The project would not impact the Mount Pleasant lift station on the north side of County KR to the west of the Pike River.

8. Identify and discuss factors that residents have indicated to be important or controversial:

The primary concerns identified by residents are related to frontage impacts of residential properties; the timing for residential acquisitions; raised medians that will restrict turning movements and cross access along County KR, especially at the Deer Run at Campbell Woods Subdivision; stormwater and flooding; and bicycle trail connectivity.

To address impacts to residences, WisDOT would replace driveway access where feasible and minimize the project footprint to the greatest practicable extent. Residents with questions about the timing for the acquisition process were referred to the county representatives responsible for property acquisitions.

To address residential access concerns, WisDOT evaluated median access options between UPRR and 56th Avenue and to the east of Old Green Bay Road. As discussed in Question 6 above, modifications were made to the Build Alternative - Reconstruction to provide safe access along these sections of County KR. Between UPRR and 56th Avenue, a raised median will be retained to maintain safety, but the median width was reduced to allow 8-foot shoulders for acceleration and deceleration from and to driveways. To the east of Old Green Bay Road, the median will be painted to provide full access to residential areas including the Deer Run at Campbell Woods subdivision off Vicksburg Drive.

In accordance with the jurisdictional transfer agreement between WisDOT and Kenosha and Racine counties, both WisDOT and the counties have agreed to develop a long-range access management vision for the corridor. The plan's intent is to be used as a comprehensive and collaborative tool for evaluation of future access requests as development and redevelopment occurs adjacent to the County KR corridor.

To manage stormwater and avoid flooding, stormwater would be managed via curb and gutter drainage, which would be directed to detention ponds along the project corridor. Stormwater management would comply with local ordinances.

To address bicycle trail connectivity, reconstructed County KR would include shared-use paths that would connect to existing and planned trails in the corridor. WisDOT is coordinating with the village of Mount Pleasant to design the connection between the County KR shared-use path and the Pike River Pathway.

9. List any Community Sensitive Design considerations, such as design considerations and potential mitigation measures.

There are no planned Community Sensitive Design measures as part of this project. Kenosha and Racine counties would be responsible for planning, design and the cost of lighting, decorative enhancements and landscaping, if desired, along County KR.

10.	Indicate the number and type of any residential buildings that will be acquired because of the proposed action. If either item a) or b) is checked, items 11 through 18 do not need to be addressed or included in the environmental document. If item c) is checked, complete items 11 through 18 and attach the Conceptual Stage Relocation Plan to the environmental document:
	 a. None identified. b. No occupied residential building will be acquired as a result of this project. Provide number and description of

non-occupied buildings to be acquired.
c. Occupied residential building(s) will be acquired. Provide number and description of buildings, e.g., single family homes, apartment buildings, condominiums, duplexes, etc.

Project ID # <u>3763-00-04</u> Page 37 of 77

11.	Anticipated numbe identified in item 1	r of households that will be 0c, above:	relocated fron	n the occupied resi	dential buildings			
	Total Number of Households to be Relocated. 4							
	(Note that this number may be greater than the number shown in 10c) above because an occupied apartment build may have many households.)							
	a. Number by Own	ership						
	Number of Househo	olds Living in Owner Occupied	d Building	Number of Househ	olds Living in Rented Quarters			
	b. Number of house	eholds to be relocated that ha	ve.					
	1 Bedroom 1	2 Bedroom 0	3 Bedro	oom	4 or More Bedrooms 0			
	c. Number of reloca	ated households by type and p	price range of d	welling.				
	Number of Single F	amily Dwelling.	Price R \$160,00	ang. 00 to \$330,000				
	Number of Multi-Fa	mily Dwellings	Price R N/A	•				
	Number of Apartme 1 single family home		Price R \$600 to					
12.	Describe the reloca	ition potential in the commu	unity:					
	a. Number of Availa	able Dwellings						
	1 Bedroom N/A	2 Bedrooms N/A	3 Bedro 56	ooms	4 or More Bedrooms N/A			
	b. Number of Availa	able and Comparable Dwelling	gs by Location					
		that have 3 bedrooms asant that have 3 bedrooms	223 wit	hin Racine County th	nat have 3 bedrooms			
		able and Comparable Dwelling ose being dislocated, if any.)	gs by Type and	Price. (Include dwell	ings in price ranges			
	Single Family Dwell	ings		Range				
	16 3-bedroom home			0,000 to \$200,000				
	16 3 (or more) bedr 24 3 (or more) bedr		·	,000 to \$250,000 ,000 to \$330,000				
	Multi-Family Dwellir		ΨΣΟΙ	,000 to 4000,000				
	Apartments 13 2-bedroom apa Pleasant and Racin	ırtments available for rent ir e	n Mount \$600	to \$800				
13.		ces of information used to ostate Conceptual Stage Reloong(s)	cation Plan 🛛	Multiple Listing Ser	vice (MLS) and WisDOT SE Region DTSD			
14.		r of households to be relocated. total households to be relocat			al characteristics:			

Project ID # <u>3763-00-04</u> Page 38 of 77

Special Characteristics	Number of Households with Individuals with Special Characteristics
Elderly	0
Disabled	0
Low income	0
Minority	0
Household of large family (5 or more)	0
Not Known	
No special characteristics	N/A

15.	Descr	ibe how rel	ocation assi	stance	will be p	rovide	ed in	complia	nce	e with the \	WisDO	ΓR	elocation	Manual	or
	FHWA	regulation 4	49 CFR Part	24:											
		Residential	acquisitions	and i	relocations	will I	be co	ompleted	in	accordance	e with	the	"Uniform	Relocati	ion

Residential acquisitions and relocations will be completed in accordance with the "Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Policies Act of 1970 (Uniform Act), as amended." In addition to providing for payment of "Just Compensation" for property acquired, additional benefits are available to eligible displaced persons required to relocate from their residence. Some available benefits include relocation advisory services, reimbursement of moving expenses, replacement housing payments, and down payment assistance. In compliance with State law, no person would be displaced unless a comparable replacement dwelling would be provided. Federal law also requires that decent, safe, and sanitary replacement dwelling must be made available before any residential displacement can occur.

Compensation is available to all displaced persons without discrimination. Before initiating property acquisition activities, property owners would be contacted and given an explanation of the details of the acquisition process and Wisconsin's Eminent Domain Law under Section 32.05, Wisconsin Statutes. Any property to be acquired would be inspected by one or more professional appraisers. The property owner would be invited to accompany the appraiser during the inspection to ensure the appraiser is informed of every aspect of the property. Property owners will be given the opportunity to obtain an appraisal by a qualified appraiser that will be considered by WisDOT in establishing just compensation. Based on the appraisal(s) made, the value of the property would be determined, and that amount offered to the owner.

☐ Identify other relocation assistance requirements not identified above.

Racine County is responsible for acquisitions and relocation assistance in the village of Mount Pleasant and will utilize standard county procedures for acquisitions and relocations.

16. Identify any difficulties or unusual conditions for relocating households displaced by the proposed action:

None identified.

17.	Indicate whether Special Relocation Assistance Service will be needed. Describe any special services or
	housing programs needed to remedy identified difficulties or unusual conditions noted in item #14 above:
	None identified None identified

Yes - Describe services that will be required

18. Describe any additional measures that will be used to minimize adverse effects or provide benefits to those relocated, those remaining, or to community facilities affected:

None required.

Project ID # <u>3763-00-04</u> Page 39 of 77

COMMUNITY OR RESIDENTIAL EVALUATION

Factor Sheet B-1

Alternative	Total Length of Center Line of Existing Roadway 2.8 miles				
Build Alternative - Reconstruction	Length of This Alternative 2.8 miles				
Preferred					
	unity or neighborhood affected by the proposed action:				
Name of Community/Neighborhood					
	t focuses on the residences on the south side of County KR in the Village of				
	esent along County KR between UPRR and just east of 56th Avenue and				
between Old Green Bay Road and the	project's eastern terminus.				
Incorporated					
⊠ Yes					
Total Population					
423					
Demographic Characteristics					
Census Y	ear 2010 % of Population				
White	92%				
African American 3%					
Asian 2%					
Other	4%				
Hispanic	Origin* 5%				
Age 65 or	r Greater 18%				

2. Identify and discuss existing modes of transportation and their importance within the community or Neighborhood:

Those of Hispanic origin may also identify as other races

Personal vehicles are the dominant mode of transportation for residents in the community of Somers and are essential for accessing jobs, goods and services since the residences in the project area are outside the Kenosha and Racine transit service areas.

A Kenosha County shared-use path is present along the south side of County KR between 56th Avenue and WIS 31. The path connects with a shared-use path along WIS 31 that links to the Petrifying Springs Park and other regional shared-use paths. These paths are used primarily for recreational purposes and are important to the community's quality of life.

An amendment to the SEWRPC Vision 2050 recommends the addition of a commuter bus route from downtown Racine to the Foxconn campus along County KR to accommodate employees travelling to work.

3. Identify and discuss the probable changes resulting from the proposed action to the existing modes of transportation and their function within the community or neighborhood:

The project would improve personal vehicle transportation by providing additional capacity on County KR to meet the anticipated increase in travel demand due to the opening of the Foxconn plant and other planned local development. The project would improve safety for vehicles and train traffic by replacing the grade crossings with new bridges at the CPRR and UPRR crossings. The project would improve safety for vehicles by addressing roadway deficiencies and congestion that would occur under the No Build Alternative. Also, the project would maintain mobility along an important east-west local arterial that conveys traffic from I-94 to WIS 32. The project may cause short-term delays and detours for vehicles along County KR due to construction-related activities.

The proposed project includes shared-use paths along County KR, which would improve non-vehicular (pedestrian and bicycle) access in the project corridor and provide safe connections to other existing and planned shared-use paths including the existing path on the south side of County KR, along WIS 31 in the village of Somers and the Pike River Pathway. The shared-use paths proposed for the project would be used for recreational purposes but could also be used to access jobs, goods and services as the area develops.

The shared-use path on the south side of County KR between 56th Avenue and WIS 31 would be maintained in generally the same configuration. Construction requires removing the existing path bridge over the Pike River. Bicyclists and pedestrians would be rerouted onto a bike/pedestrian lane on the new County KR bridge over the Pike

Project ID # <u>3763-00-04</u> Page 40 of 77

River. The new County KR bridge will be designed according to appropriate design standards for shared-use paths on an urban arterial street. If construction requires temporary closure of the shared-use path, WisDOT construction project manager will maintain a detour route and signage through the duration of construction.

The project's proposed improvements would accommodate the commuter bus route along County KR that is recommended by the SEWRPC Vision 2050 plan amendment, bringing transit service to the project area. If implemented, this would bring transit service to this area and connect the area with the greater Racine transit system.

4. Briefly discuss the proposed action's direct and indirect effect(s) on existing and planned land use in the community or neighborhood:

The project would require new right of way that would directly impact 23.3 acres of existing land use along the project corridor in Somers. This includes 17.8 acres of agricultural use and 1.7 acres of residential use that would be converted to transportation use.

An indirect effects analysis was completed for the project and is included in Appendix D. The indirect effects analysis determined the reconstruction and capacity expansion of County KR between County H and Old Green Bay Road would provide transportation infrastructure needed to support local plans for urban/suburban development patterns. As a result, the Build Alternative - Reconstruction has the potential to facilitate development in accordance with local land use plans that call for a transition of existing agricultural use to industrial, commercial and residential uses. Indirect effects to resources may occur from the Build Alternative - Reconstruction if induced development occurs. Potential indirect effects to land use and other resources in the study area would be minimized by local, state and federal regulations and policies that are intended to manage growth and protect resources.

5. Address any changes to emergency or other public services during and after construction of the proposed project:

County KR would be closed to through traffic during construction but would remain open to local traffic and emergency services. Emergency services would be notified of the construction schedule and any access changes during construction. In comparison to the No Build Alternative, emergency and public services would experience more reliable travel under the Build Alternative - Reconstruction resulting from improved capacity and grade separations at two railroad crossings. Improved roadway design, such as improved stopping sight distances and protected turn lanes, and traffic signals would also facilitate safe traffic operations.

The project may require revisions to the U.S. Postal Service (USPS) routing along County KR, which would affect the placement of residential mailboxes along County KR. Kenosha and Racine counties will coordinate with USPS to determine revised routing following construction of County KR. Homeowners will be responsible for the placement of mailboxes along USPS routes in accordance with USPS mailbox regulations for curbside residential mailboxes. Residents should contact the local post office for more information on properly relocating mailboxes. Residents should also contact USPS to determine mail delivery procedures during construction.

6. Describe any physical or access changes that will result. This could include effects on lot frontages, side slopes or driveways (steeper or flatter), sidewalks, reduced terraces, tree removals, vision corners, etc.: The frontage of some residential properties on the south side of County KR in Somers would be impacted. The grading for the project would require realigning some driveways to match new road profiles. In a few cases, driveways would become steeper and have 10 percent grades, but would still be within acceptable design parameters. Grading for the project may impact existing trees and landscaping on the south side of County KR in Somers in residential areas. During final design, WisDOT would inventory potentially impacted trees in residential areas in Somers.

The frontages of five residential properties on the south side of County KR in Somers to the east of UPRR would be impacted by the proposed UPRR/County KR grade separation. A retaining wall that ranges in height from 2 feet to 28 feet would be constructed as part of the grade separation. It would be visible to these residences. (The visual effects of the retaining wall are discussed in Factor Sheet B-9, Aesthetics.) Also, an access road from County KR would be constructed to maintain access to these residences. The retaining wall and access road avoids the acquisition of these five residential properties.

The project proposes to include raised medians along some sections of County KR with openings for cross access at public road intersections. The raised median would limit cross access along County KR and limit turns to right-in and right-out only access at residential driveways. To address residential access concerns, the following design changes were made: 1) Between UPRR and 56th Avenue, the raised median width was reduced to 22 feet and the shoulder width was increased to 8 feet to provide space for vehicles to decelerate to and accelerate from residential driveways; and—1) Between UPRR and 56th Avenue, County KR would be constructed with a center two-way left turn lane to provide space for and allow left turns in both directions from driveways and roadways in this section; and 2) East of

Project ID # <u>3763-00-04</u> Page 41 of 77

Old Green Bay Road, where traffic volumes decline, the median to the east of 43rd Avenue will not be raised, and it will instead be painted to provide full access to residential areas in this section.

7. Indicate whether a community/neighborhood facility will be affected by the proposed action and indicate what effect(s) this will have on the community/neighborhood:

The project's grading would impact the existing shared-use path on the south side of KR in Somers between 56th Avenue and WIS 31. The project would restore the path in generally the same configuration except for the bridge section. The project would remove the bridge that carries the shared-use path over the Pike River on the south side of County KR and reroute the path on County KR using design standards for bicyclists and pedestrians on urban arterial streets. During construction, the shared-use path in Somers would be temporarily closed and users would be redirected to a detour route.

The only other community facility along the project corridor in Somers is the Trefoil Oaks Program Center owned by the Girl Scouts of Wisconsin Southeast. The project would not impact the facility, but the facility may experience short-term delays along County KR due to construction-related activities. Access to the facility would be maintained during construction.

8. Identify and discuss factors that residents have indicated to be important or controversial:

Residents in Somers have expressed concerns about the proximity of the expanded roadway to residences along County KR. A County KR Bypass Alternative was presented to WisDOT by residents that proposes to construct a new roadway to the north of County KR between 90th Street and downtown Racine. WisDOT evaluated the Bypass Alternative and dismissed the alternative since it did not meet the purpose and need for the project and it would require a much larger footprint with greater environmental impacts and costs than the Build Alternative - Reconstruction. See Summary of Alternatives under Basic Sheet 3 for more information about the Bypass Alternative.

Residents expressed concern about tree and landscaping impacts along County KR from the project and the proposed shared-use trail on the south side of County KR between 90th Street/72nd Avenue and WIS 31. Due to residential concerns, the design was modified, and the proposed path was moved to the north side of the road for this section. During final design, WisDOT would inventory potentially impacted trees in residential areas in Somers.

Residents to the east of UPRR expressed concerns about visual impacts of the retaining wall at the UPRR/County KR grade separation. The retaining wall and access road associated with the grade separation would change the view of adjacent residential properties, but it avoids residential relocations on the south side of County KR in Somers.

Residents expressed concerns about safe turning movements and reduced access along County KR from residential driveways. To address residential access concerns, WisDOT evaluated median access options between UPRR and 56th Avenue and to the east of Old Green Bay Road. As discussed in Question 6 above, modifications were made to the Build Alternative – Reconstruction to provide safe access along these sections of County KR. Between UPRR and 56th Avenue, a raised median will be retained to maintain safety, but the median width was reduced to allow 8-foot shoulders for acceleration and deceleration from and to driveways. To the east of Old Green Bay Road, the median will be painted to provide full access to residential areas.

In accordance with the jurisdictional transfer agreement between WisDOT and Kenosha and Racine counties, both WisDOT and the counties have agreed to develop a long-range access management vision of the corridor. The plan's intent is to be used as a comprehensive and collaborative tool for evaluation of future access requests as development and redevelopment occurs adjacent to the County KR corridor.

9. List any Community Sensitive Design considerations, such as design considerations and potential mitigation measures.

There are no planned Community Sensitive Design measures as part of this project. Kenosha and Racine counties would be responsible for planning, design and the cost of lighting, decorative enhancements and landscaping, if desired, along County KR.

10. Indicate the number and type of any residential buildings that will be acquired because of the proposed action. If either item a) or b) is checked, items 11 through 18 do not need to be addressed or included in the environmental document. If item c) is checked, complete items 11 through 18 and attach the Conceptual Stage Relocation Plan to the environmental document:

a.	None identified.
b.	☐ No occupied residential building will be acquired as a result of this project. Provide number and description of
	non-occupied buildings to be acquired.

Project ID # <u>3763-00-04</u> Page 42 of 77

	sidential building(s) will be acq omes, apartment buildings, co			scription of buildings, e.g., single			
	Anticipated number of households that will be relocated from the occupied residential buildings identified in item 10c, above:						
	ouseholds to be Relocated.						
(Note that this numb may have many hou		ımber shown in	10c) above bed	cause an occupied apartment building			
a. Number by Owr	nership						
Number of Househ	olds Living in Owner Occupied	d Building	Number of Ho	ouseholds Living in Rented Quarters			
b. Number of hous	eholds to be relocated that ha	ve.					
1 Bedroom	2 Bedroom	3 Bedro	oom	4 or More Bedrooms			
c. Number of reloc	ated households by type and	price range of d	welling.				
Number of Single F	amily Dwelling.	Price R	ang.				
Number of Multi-Fa	amily Dwellings	Price R	ange				
Number of Apartm	ent	Price Range					
a. Number of Avail 1 Bedroom	ation potential in the commu lable Dwellings 2 Bedrooms	unity: 3 Bedro	ooms	4 or More Bedrooms			
b. Number of Avail	able and Comparable Dwelling	gs by Location					
within within	·	V	vithin vithin				
	c. Number of Available and Comparable Dwellings by Type and Price. (Include dwellings in price ranges comparable to those being dislocated, if any.)						
Single Family Dwe	Price	Range					
Multi-Family Dwellings							
Apartments							
	rces of information used to estate Conceptual Stage Relocing(s)			g Service (MLS) fy			

Project ID # <u>3763-00-04</u> Page 43 of 77

	Special Characteristics	Number of Households with				
	G	Individuals with Special Characteristics				
	Elderly					
	Disabled					
	Low income					
	Minority					
	Household of large family (5 or more)					
	Not Known					
	No special characteristics					
	Describe how relocation assistance will be provided in compliance with the WisDOT Relocation Manual or FHWA regulation 49 CFR Part 24: Residential acquisitions and relocations will be completed in accordance with the "Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Policies Act of 1970 (Uniform Act), as amended." In addition to providing for payment of "Just Compensation" for property acquired, additional benefits are available to eligible displaced persons required to relocate from their residence. Some available benefits include relocation advisory services, reimbursement of moving expenses, replacement housing payments, and down payment assistance. In compliance with State law, no person would be displaced unless a comparable replacement dwelling would be provided. Federal law also requires that decent, safe, and sanitary replacement dwelling must be made available before any residential displacement can occur. Compensation is available to all displaced persons without discrimination. Before initiating property acquisition activities, property owners would be contacted and given an explanation of the details of the acquisition process and Wisconsin's Eminent Domain Law under Section 32.05, Wisconsin Statutes. Any property to be acquired would be inspected by one or more professional appraisers. The property owner would be invited to accompany the appraiser during the inspection to ensure the appraiser is informed of every aspect of the property. Property					
	WisDOT in establishing just compensate determined, and that amount offered to determined. Identify other relocation assistance.		e, the value of the property would be			
16.	Identify any difficulties or unusual cond	ditions for relocating households di	splaced by the proposed action:			
	Indicate whether Special Relocation As housing programs needed to remedy id None identified Yes - Describe services that will be req	lentified difficulties or unusual con				
	Tes - Describe services that will be req	ulled				
	Describe any additional measures that relocated, those remaining, or to comm		effects or provide benefits to those			

Project ID # <u>3763-00-04</u> Page 44 of 77

SECTION 4(f) AND 6(f) OR OTHER UNIQUE AREAS

Wisconsin Department of Transportation

Factor Sheet B-8

Factor Sileet B-0							
Alternative Build Alternative - Reconstruction	Total Length of Center Line of Existing Roadway: 2.8 miles Length of This Alternative: 2.8 miles						
Preferred	Estign of The Alternative. E.S miles						
. Property Name: Pike River improvements project							
River in the village of Mount Pleasant and t	2. Location: The Pike River improvements project includes publicly-owned Pike River restoration area along the Pike River in the village of Mount Pleasant and the Pike River Pathway. The project also includes publicly-owned land to the vest of the Pike River that is part of the future Biex Ramcke Homestead Park. See Appendix F for a map of the Pike River improvements project area.						
3. Ownership or Administration: Village	of Mount Pleasant						
	am. gible for the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP). abitat restoration, recreational pathway						
 No - Check all that apply: □ Project is not federally funded. □ No land will be acquired in fee □ Property is not on or eligible for 	e NRHP however includes a <i>de minimus</i> effect finding.						
☐ Historic Bridge. ☐ Park minor involvement ☐ Historic site minor invol ☐ Independent bikeway o ☐ Great River Road.	vement. r walkway. I(f) Property. Explain:						
6. Was special funding used to acquire	e the land or to make improvements on the property?						
 No - Special funding was not used to Yes: □ s.6(f) LWCF (Formerly LAWCO □ Dingell-Johnson (D/J funds). □ Pittman-Robertson (P/R funds). □ Other - Describe: Mount Please (through USACE) 	N).						

Project ID # <u>3763-00-04</u> Page 45 of 77

7. Describe the significance of the property:

For other unique areas, include or attach statements of significance from officials having jurisdiction.

The Mount Pleasant Storm Water Drainage Utility District led a multi-year, multi-phase Pike River Improvement project to restore the riverine environment along the Pike River within the village limits. The project involved roughly 5.2 miles of the Pike River that stretches from the headwaters near Old Spring Street and Airline Road to County Line Road (County KR). Roughly 450 acres of land were purchased by or donated to the District within the river corridor. In addition to controlling flooding, the project restored natural stream features, enhanced the aquatic habitat, improved water quality, and reversed the progressive deterioration of this urbanizing stream. Native prairie vegetation and trees were planted and have begun to thrive throughout the project area, providing a natural corridor along the river.

The project was divided into nine phases with each phase approximately ½ mile in length. Construction of the initial phases began in 2001 and construction of all phases was completed in 2017. The District has received over \$9 million in local, state, and federal grants for the project. The Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) facilitated the construction of Phases 8 and 9, to which they contributed about \$5 million through the Great Lakes Restoration Initiative.

In addition to these physical improvements within the river corridor, the village of Mount Pleasant is also constructing a paved bike trail, the Pike River Pathway. This bike trail runs parallel to the Pike River and provides appealing views of the Pike River improvements. The bike trail has been completed for Phases 1-6, with additional trail construction initiated for Phases 7-9 in 2018. The additional trail will provide a connection to the southern village limits and future connectivity to the Kenosha County trail system running south to Petrifying Springs Park.

The future Biex Ramcke Homestead Park is undeveloped, and improvements are not yet scheduled. The property was used to store fill material during the Pike River Restoration area construction.

8. Describe the proposed alternative's effects on this property:

a. Describe any effects on or uses of land from the property. For other areas, include or attach statements from officials having jurisdiction over the property which discusses the alternative's effects on the property: (A map, sketch, plan, or other graphic which clearly illustrates use of the property and the project's use and effects on the property must be included.)

Up to 0.38 acres of the Pike River Restoration area would be impacted by roadway widening and construction of new bridges over the Pike River. The Pike River Pathway, currently under construction north of County KR, will match into the proposed shared-use path on the north side of County KR. Up to 2.08 acres of the future Biex Ramcke Homestead Park would be impacted by a proposed stormwater detention pond (1.35 acres) and roadway widening (0.73 acres) (See **Appendix F** for an illustration of impact areas).

WisDOT is continuing refinement of the location of a stormwater detention pond in the future Biex Ramcke Homestead Park. In coordination with Racine County and the Village of Mount Pleasant, WisDOT will refine the pond's precise location during final design. Depending on final location of the stormwater detention pond, a drainage easement would also be required to connect the pond to the Pike River. Racine County will be responsible for the long-term maintenance of the stormwater detention pond and drainage easement.

The Pike River Restoration area was developed with federal Great Lakes Restoration Initiative funds through a 2015 Project Partnership Agreement with the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE). Per the agreement, the village is responsible for operating and maintaining the restoration area in a manner compatible with the authorized purposes of the restoration project. The agreement also notes the village shall prevent "obstructions or encroachments on the Project (including prescribing and enforcing regulations to prevent such obstructions or encroachments) such as any new developments on Project lands, easements, and rights-of-way or the addition of facilities which might reduce the outputs produced by the Project, hinder operation and maintenance of the Project or interfere with the Project's proper function."

The proposed project encroachments will not change the public ownership of the restoration area, or impact existing or planned recreational features in the future Biex Ramcke Homestead Park. The proposed bridges over the Pike River would maintain design flows.

The project avoids the portion of the Pike River Restoration area that includes the newly realigned Pike River and associated restoration improvements, including floodplain excavations and establishment of wetlands, sedge meadow, wet-mesic and mesic prairie restoration area. Maintaining the County KR widening and bridge construction in the immediate vicinity of existing County KR avoids impacting the long-term function of the restoration area. The remaining vegetated areas that would be disturbed from the construction of County KR in the Pike River Restoration area would

Project ID # <u>3763-00-04</u> Page 46 of 77

be planted with a seed mix to mimic vegetation of the restoration area. During final design for the stormwater detention pond, WisDOT will coordinate with the village of Mount Pleasant to develop a planting plan to accommodate and benefit future Biex Ramcke Homestead Park plans.

The USACE concluded the County KR-Phase 2 project is consistent with the original intent of the restoration project and the adverse impacts to the ecological functions of the project are minimal and mitigated to the extent practicable (See Appendix H). The village of Mount Pleasant generally concurred with the USACE determination that the project will cause minimal floodplain and ecological impacts to the restoration project along the Pike River. The village also generally concurred with updated plans to locate the proposed stormwater detention pond in the future Biex Ramcke Park and to coordinate final details of pond placement and construction (See Appendix H).

- b. Discuss the following alternatives and describe whether they are feasible and prudent ad why:
 - 1. Do nothing alternative.

The No Build Alternative would not meet the project purpose and need. The No Build Alternative would not accommodate anticipated travel demand from local land use plans and development projects anticipated along the corridor including the Foxconn campus. The road would experience unacceptable levels of congestion under the No Build Alternative, which would diminish mobility and safety along an important east-west arterial that connects I-94 with WIS 32.

- 2. Improvement without using the Pike River improvement Area or the future Biex Ramcke Homestead Park. Shifting the County KR alignment and Pike River bridges to the south would impact the existing shared-use path on the south side of the road and further impact residential properties on the south side of County KR. Locating the stormwater detention pond outside the future Biex Ramcke Homestead Park would impact residential properties or the Pike River Restoration area. WisDOT located the stormwater detention ponds to minimize private property impacts. WisDOT is continuing coordination with Racine County and the village of Mount Pleasant to minimize impacts of the proposed stormwater detention pond to the future Biex Ramcke Homestead Park. The final pond location will be determined during final design.
- 3. Alternatives on new location.

An alternative on a new location would not meet the project purpose and need to accommodate travel demand in the project corridor.

9. Indicate which measures will be used to minimize adverse effects, mitigate for unavoidable adverse effects or enhance beneficial effects:

Replacement of lands used with lands of reasonably equivalent usefulness and location, and of at least comparable value.

The Small Conversion Policy for Lands Subject to Section 6(f) will be used.

Replacement of facilities impacted by the project including sidewalks, paths, lights, trees, and other facilities.

Restoration and landscaping of disturbed areas.

Incorporation of design features and habitat features where necessary to reduce or minimize impacts to the section 4(f) property.

Payment of the fair market value of the land and improvement taken.

Replacement of facilities impacted by the project including sidewalks, paths, lights, trees, and other facilities.

Restoration and landscaping of disturbed areas.

Incorporation of design features and habitat features where necessary to reduce or minimize impacts to the sective 4(f) property.

Payment of the fair market value of the land and improvement taken.

Improvements to the remaining 4(f) site equal to the fair market value of the land and improvements taken.

Such additional or alternative mitigation measures determined necessary based on consultation with officials having jurisdiction. The additional or alternative mitigation measures are listed or summarized below:

Property is a historic property or an archeological site. The conditions or mitigation stipulations are listed or summarized below:

Other – Describe:

Project ID # <u>3763-00-04</u> Page 47 of 77

10. Briefly summarize the results of coordination with other agencies that were consulted about the project and its effects on the property:

(For historic and archeological sites, refer to Factor Sheet B-5 and/or B-6 for documentation. For other unique areas, attach correspondence from officials having jurisdiction that documents concurrence with impacts and mitigation measures.)

WisDOT has been coordinating regularly with the village of Mount Pleasant and Racine County to receive input on project design (see Basic Sheets, pages 9 and 10). The location of the County KR alignment, as well as the shared-use path and stormwater detention pond location are based on local input on design. WisDOT also coordinated with the USACE, who concluded the proposed work is consistent with the original intent of the Pike River restoration project and the adverse impacts to the ecological functions of the project are minimal and mitigated to the extent practicable. (See **Appendix H**).

Project ID # <u>3763-00-04</u> Page 48 of 77

AESTHETICS EVALUATION

Factor Sheet B-9

Wisconsin	Department of	of Transportation
-----------	---------------	-------------------

Alternative	Total Length of Center Line of Existing Roadway: 2.8 miles			
Build Alternative – Reconstruction	Length of This Alternative: 2.8 miles			
Preferred				

1. Landscape Characteristics:

a. Identify and briefly describe the visual character of the landscape:

The existing two-lane undivided roadway is surrounded by a rural landscape with farm structures, cropland and scattered residential homes. The landscape at the eastern end of the corridor (east of UPRR) transitions into suburban style residential homes with scattered agricultural uses. Small patches of trees line the road on some sections of the project corridor, particularly where residences are located. The project area includes two railroad crossings and a culvert crossing at the Pike River. A shared-use path is located on the south side of County KR in Somers between 56th Avenue and WIS 31. The project area also includes a section of WIS 31 that is a four-lane highway with a grass median. Other minor arterial streets, generally two-lane roads, are also present in the landscape.

b. Indicate the visual quality of the view-shed and identify landscape elements which would be visually sensitive:

The existing view-shed has a rural quality. Visually sensitive landscape elements include the views of agricultural lands, landscaping and trees along the roadway in residential areas, and the Pike River crossing.

2. User/viewer Characteristics:

b. Identify and discuss the viewers who will have a view of the improved transportation facility:

Single-family residents and residences associated with farms along the project route would have a view of the improved transportation facility.

c. Identify and discuss users of the transportation facility who will have a view from the facility:

The transportation facility serves both local and regional traffic in Racine and Kenosha counties. Users that will have a view from the transportation facility include autos driving on the roadway, bicyclists and pedestrians using the existing and proposed shared-use trails along the project corridor. These users may include existing and future residents in the surrounding project area, employees of the new Foxconn plant, and people accessing future businesses along the project corridor as planned industrial and commercial development occurs per local land use plans.

3. Effects:

a. Describe whether and how the project would affect the visual character of the landscape:

The project would reconstruct the existing two-lane undivided rural roadway into a four-lane median divided urban roadway with curb and gutter and 10-foot shared-use path on both sides of the road between County H and 90th Street and on the north side of the road between 90th Street and Vicksburg Drive, just east of Old Green Bay Road. This would change the visual character of the road from a roadway with rural characteristics to a roadway with urban characteristics. The change in character is consistent with local land use and development plans that anticipate a transition of existing agricultural uses to industrial, commercial and residential uses at urban/suburban densities along the corridor.

The project would encroach upon existing agricultural land, as well as the lots, driveways and potentially landscaping of existing residences in some locations. Also, five residential homes would be removed due to acquisitions associated with the project. The removal of these residences and farm structures would change the character of the landscape in those areas to vacant land until the local governments approve development plans.

Additionally, the project would include two new bridges to replace the existing at-grade railroad crossings, and a new bridge would replace the existing culvert over the Pike River. The height of the Pike River bridge would be about 4 feet higher than the existing road profile. The new railroad bridges would introduce elevated structures

Project ID # <u>3763-00-04</u> Page 49 of 77

into the existing generally flat topography. The bridges over the UPRR and CPRR tracks would be approximately 30 feet high, at their highest point. Also, the UPRR/County KR grade separation would include a retaining wall that varies in height from 2 feet to 28 feet on the south side of County KR. This would introduce a hardscape feature that would block views of the existing open space environment for adjacent residential properties.

b. Indicate the effects the project would have on the viewer groups:

Due to the expansion of the roadway, some residents along the project corridor will have a view of the roadway that is physically closer than the view of the roadway under existing conditions. The view of the facility will also change from a road with rural characteristics to a road with urban characteristics.

Residents on the south side of County KR to the east of UPRR would have a view of the proposed retaining wall associated with the proposed grade separation aspect of the project. This would contrast with the resident's current view of agricultural cropland and farm structures on the north side of the road. The farm on the north side of County KR would be purchased and existing farm structures would be removed. See Appendix C for renderings that show the view of the grade separation and the retaining wall from the affected residences.

For automobile drivers, the visual impact would be related to currently driving on a rural character roadway to

4

		on an urban character roadway when the project is complete. For bicyclists and pedestrians, with the on of the shared-use path along County KR, the viewers will have their own dedicated space on which to	
ı.	gation: Have a ⊠ □	nesthetic commitments been made? No – Local governments would be responsible for any future aesthetic improvements. Yes - Discuss:	

Project ID # 3763-00-04 Page 50 of 77

WETLANDS EVALUATION

(9/2013)

Wisconsin Department of Transportation

Factor Sheet C-1

Alternative			h of Center Lir		Roadway 2	2.8 miles
Build Alternative - Reconstruction		Length of T	his Alternative	2.8 miles		
Preferred						
1. Describe Wetlands: See attached list	of all wetlands f	or detailed i	nformation			
	Wetl	and 1	Wetla	nd 2	Wetla	and 3
Name (if known) or wetland number ¹			1100.0			
County			1			
Location (Section-Township-Range)						
Location (Latitude)						
Location (Longitude)						
Location Map						
Wetland Type(s) ²			<u> </u>			
Wetland Loss	Acres		Acres	N-	Acres	
Wetland is: (Check all that apply) ³	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No
Isolated from stream, lake or other surface water body	r					
Not contiguous (in contact with) a						
stream, lake, or other water body, b within 100-year floodplain	out					
If adjacent or contiguous, identify						
stream, lake or water body						
¹ Use wetland numbering from the project v						
² Use wetland types as specified in the " <i>Wi</i>						ıt
³ If wetland is contiguous to a stream, comp wetland is contiguous to a lake or other wa						
wettarid is configuous to a lake of other wa	ater body, complete	Tactor officet	O-5, Lake of VV	ater body imp	act Evaluatio	11.
2. Are any impacted wetlands considered Technical Guideline, page 10 (6 categodesis) No Yes: Advanced Identification Pro Public or private expenditure either public or private land Other – Describe:	gories)? gram (ADID) Wet	lands				
3. Describe proposed work in the wetlan Work in the wetlands would consist of fil the roadway, and constructing new bridge	lling for roadway e	expansion, in	cluding gradir	ng and filling		longside
4. List any observed or expected waterf include permanent, migratory and seaso Wildlife tolerant of habitats disturbed by and non-game species, such as rabbits, including pheasant and turkey; and mark (Source: http://www.sewrpc.org/SEWRPCFiles/Pt	fowl and wildlife onal residents). agricultural and un, shrews, mice and sh furbearers, suc	inhabiting o rban develop d woodchuck ch as muskra	or dependent Dement. Typica As; predators so	upon the wo	etland: (Lis species incl nd mink; ga	lude game ime birds
 Federal Highway Administration (FHV Not Applicable - Explain This project is not using federal function of Individual Wetland Finding Required wetland. ☐ Statewide Wetland Finding: NOTE: Wetland Finding to Project is either a bridge replace ☐ The project requires the use of the Individual of Individual Project requires the use of the Individual Project requires the United Project	ding. d – Summarize wh All three boxes o apply. ement or other rec	ny there are r below must	be checked	for the State	ewide	

Project ID # <u>3763-00-04</u> Page 51 of 77

		Ш	the proposed use of the wetlands.
6.	on	forn Fac Fac	n control or storm water management practices which will be used to protect the wetland are indicated m: (Check all that apply) ctor Sheet D-6, Erosion Control Evaluation. ctor Sheet D-5, Stormwater Evaluation. ither Factor Sheet – Briefly describe measures to be used
7.		Not App Indi	my Corps of Engineers (USACE) Jurisdiction – Section 404 Permit (Clean Water Act) t Applicable – No fill to be placed in wetlands or wetlands are not under USACE jurisdiction. plicable – Fill will be placed in wetlands under the jurisdiction of the USACE. icate area of wetlands filled: Acres 7.44 be of 404 permit anticipated: Individual Section 404 Permit required. General Permit (GP) or Letter Of Permission (LOP) required to satisfy Section 404 Compliance.
		Indi	icate which GP or LOP is required: Transportation Regional General Permit issued February 21, 2018 (expires 2/20/23) Non-Reporting GP [GP-002-WI (expires 5/31/16) or GP-004-WI (expires 12/31/17)] Reporting GP [GP-002-WI, GP-003-WI (expires12/31/17), or GP-004-WI] Letter of Permission [LOP-06-WI (in effect 4/17/06, no expiration date)] Programmatic GP [Applies to projects not covered under the DOT/DNR Cooperative Agreement]
8.		DN Oth Wis	nsin Department of Natural Resources Coordination – Section 401 Water Quality Certification IR has provided concurrence on the project wetland delineation. Received on: (Date) her- Explain SDOT will obtain Section 401 Certification upon submittal of Section 404 permit and DNR stormwater permit, icipated in Fall 2019.
9.	wh	ich 4 No Sec	n 10 Waters (Rivers and Harbors Act). For navigable waters of the United States (Section 10) indicate 404 permit is required: Section 10 Waters ction 10 Waters Reporting GP [GP-003-WI (expires 12/31/17)] Reporting GP [GP-004-WI (expires 12/31/17)]
	\boxtimes	Not	e whether Pre-Construction Notification (PCN) to the USACE is: t applicable. quired: Submitted on: (Date)
			of PCN E has made the following determination on: (Date)
	US	ACE	E is in the process of review, anticipated date of determination is: (Date)
10.		We 1.	d Avoidance and Impact Minimization: [Note: Required before compensation is acceptable] etland Avoidance: Describe methods used to avoid the use of wetlands, such as using a lower level of improvement or placing the roadway on new location, etc.: To greatest practicable extent, WisDOT will utilize the existing roadway footprint and locate stormwater ponds outside wetlands. WisDOT realigned the 90th Street alignment and intersection with 72nd Avenue to minimize impacts to Wetlands W-16 and W-19. WisDOT also reduced the north-south project limits on WIS 31 and Old Green Bay Road. Indicate the total area of wetlands avoided: Acres: < 1 acre
	B.		nimize the amount of wetlands affected: Describe methods used to minimize the use of wetlands, such as increasing side slopes or use of retaining walls or beam guard, equalizer pipes, upland disposal of hydric soils, etc.: WisDOT will implement 3:1 sideslopes instead of 4:1 sideslopes in wetland areas.

Project ID # <u>3763-00-04</u> Page 52 of 77

2. Indicate the total area of wetlands saved through minimization: Acres: <1 acre

11. Compensation for Unavoidable Wetland Loss:

According to Section 404(b)(1), of the Clean Water Act, wetland compensatory mitigation procedures and sequencing will conform to the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) and U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) joint rule on Compensatory Mitigation for Losses of Aquatic Resources (33 CFR Parts 325 and 332; and 40 CFR Part 230 – dated April 10, 2008). Compensatory mitigation will be consistent with amendments to the Cooperative Agreement between DNR and WisDOT on compensatory mitigation for unavoidable wetland losses (July 2012), and the WisDOT Interagency Coordination Agreement and Wetland Mitigation Banking Technical Guidelines with DNR, USACE, EPA, USFWS and FHWA (March 2002).

				Compensa	ation Type and Acreage
	Туре	Acre(s) Loss	Ratio	On-site	DOT Mitigation Bank site
RPF(N)	Riparian wetland (wooded)	0		N/A	N/A
RPF(D)	Degraded riparian wetland (wooded)	0		N/A	N/A
RPE(N)	Riparian wetland (emergent)	0		N/A	N/A
RPE(D)	Degraded riparian wetland (emergent)	0		N/A	N/A
M(N)	Wet and sedge meadows, wet prairie, vernal pools, fens	6.211	1:1.25 TBD	N/A	N/A
M(D)	Degraded meadow	0		N/A	N/A
SM	Shallow marsh	0.466	<mark>1:1.25</mark> TBD	N/A	N/A
DM	Deep marsh	0		N/A	N/A
AB(N)	Aquatic bed	0		N/A	N/A
AB(D)	Degraded aquatic bed	0		N/A	N/A
SS	Shrub Swamp, shrub carr, alder thicket	0.197	1:1.25 TBD	N/A	N/A
WS(N)	Wooded swamp	0.562	<mark>1:1.25</mark> TBD	N/A	N/A
WS(D)	Degraded wooded swamp	0		N/A	N/A
Bog	Open and forested bogs	0		N/A	N/A

D = Degraded N = Non-degraded

TBD = To be determined

12. If compensation is not possible within the drainage area and floristic province thru the use of the DOT mitigation bank, explain why and describe how a search for an on-site compensation site was conducted:

Per agreement with DNR, WisDOT will mitigate unavoidable wetland impacts through an in-lieu fee (ILF) payment to DNR. The impacts occur in the Southwest Lake Michigan watershed. WisDOT will purchase wetland mitigation credits through the Wisconsin Wetland Conservation Trust (ILF) program to satisfy mitigation requirements of 9.30 acres within the Southwest Lake Michigan watershed.

WisDOT and DNR are continuing discussions to determine the wetland mitigation location. WisDOT (Southeast Region Technical Services Section Supervisor) will oversee mitigating unavoidable impacts of 7.44 acres of wetlands, at a replacement ratio per the WisDOT Wetland Mitigation Banking Technical Guideline.

13. Summarize the coordination with other agencies regarding the compensation for unavoidable wetland losses. Attach appropriate correspondence.

WisDOT has been coordinating with DNR on a bi-weekly basis since May 2018 to review project impacts and determine appropriate mitigation for unavoidable wetland impacts. See **Appendix H** for initial review correspondence.

Project ID # <u>3763-00-04</u> Page 53 of 77

	Wetla	and 1	Wetla	and 2	Wetla	and 3	Wetla	and 4	Wetla	and 5	Wetla	and 6
Name (if known) or wetland number ¹	W	'-1	W	-2	W	W-3		-4	W-5		W-6	
County	Rad	cine	Rad	Racine I		Racine/Kenosha		Racine		Racine		cine
Location (Section-Township-Range)	33-31	N-22E	33-31	N-22E	4-2N	4-2N-22E		N-22E	33-3N-22E		33-31	N-22E
Location (Latitude)	42.66	9202	42.66	9600	42.66	9244	42.66	9672	42.66	69345	42.66	69663
Location (Longitude)	-87.9 ⁻	11548	-87.90	07512	-87.9	07462	-87.9	06057	-87.9	06024	-87.9	05601
Location Map	Pag	ge 1	Pag	je 1	Pag	ge 1	Pag	ge 1	Pag	ge 1	Pag	ge 2
Wetland Type(s) ²		(wet) idow	Wet Meadow		Partially farmed, Fresh (wet) Meadow		Wooded Swamp		Fresh (wet) Meadow, Shallow Marsh, Scrub-shrub		Wet Meadow	
Wetland Loss	0.009	acres	0.055	acres	0.559	acres	0.264	acres	0.514	acres	0.011	acres
Wetland is: (Check all that apply) ³	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No
Isolated from stream, lake or other surface water body	Х		Х			Х	Х		Х		Х	
Not contiguous (in contact with) a stream, lake, or other water body, but within 100-year floodplain	Х		Х		Х		Х		Х		Х	
If adjacent or contiguous, identify stream, lake or water body					Unname	ed Pond						

	Wetla	and 7	Wetla	and 8	Wetla	and 9	Wetla	nd 10	Wetla	nd 11	Wetla	nd 12
Name (if known) or wetland number ¹	W	'-7	W	W-8		'-9	W-	·10	W-	-11	W-	·12
County	Racine/l	acine/Kenosha		Racine		Racine		Racine		Kenosha		cine
Location (Section-Township-Range)	4-2N	-22E	33-31	N-22E	33-3N-22E		33-3N-22E		4-2N	l-22E	33-31	N-22E
Location (Latitude)	42.66	9132	42.66	9230	42.66	42.669103		9102	42.66	8936	42.66	9344
Location (Longitude)	-87.90	05411	-87.90)4339	-87.9	9033	-87.90	00951	-87.90	00294	-87.89	99492
Location Map	Pag	ge 2	Pag	je 2	Pag	je 2	Pag	je 2	Pag	ge 2	Pag	je 2
Wetland Type(s) ²	Mea	(wet) dow, v Marsh	Fresh (wet) Meadow		Fresh (wet) Meadow		Farmed wetland		Fresh (wet) Meadow		Farmed wetland	
Wetland Loss	0.012	acres	0.151	acres	0.037	acres	0.016	acres	0.019	acres	0.069	acres
Wetland is: (Check all that apply) ³	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No
Isolated from stream, lake or other surface water body	Х		Х		Х		Х		Х		Х	
Not contiguous (in contact with) a stream, lake, or other water body, but within 100-year floodplain	X		Х		Х		Х		X		X	
If adjacent or contiguous, identify stream, lake or water body												

Project ID # 3763-00-04 Page 54 of 77

	Wetla	nd 13	Wetla	nd 14	Wetla	nd 15	Wetla	nd 16	Wetla	nd 17	Wetla	nd 18
Name (if known) or wetland number ¹	W-	-13	W-	·14	W-	·15	W-16		W-17		W-	-18
County	Ken	Kenosha		Kenosha		Kenosha		osha	Racine		Rad	cine
Location (Section-Township-Range)	4-2N	-22E	4-2N	4-2N-22E		4-2N-22E		-22E	33-31	N-22E	33-31	N-22E
Location (Latitude)	42.66	8925	42.66	8920	42.66	42.668865		42.667907		69479	42.67	71053
Location (Longitude)	-87.89	98675	-87.89	97439	-87.89	95777	-87.89	95419	-87.8	9486	-87.89	94828
Location Map	Pag	je 2	Pag	Page 3		je 3	Pag	ge 3	Pag	ge 3	Pag	ge 3
Wetland Type(s) ²		(wet) idow	Fresh (wet) Meadow		Shallow Marsh		Wet Meadow		Fresh (wet) Meadow		Fresh (wet) Meadow	
Wetland Loss	0.030	acres	0.011	acres	0.003	acres	0.048	acres	0.041	acres	0.073	acres
Wetland is: (Check all that apply) ³	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No
Isolated from stream, lake or other surface water body	Х		Х		Х		Х		Х		Х	
Not contiguous (in contact with) a stream, lake, or other water body, but within 100-year floodplain	Х		Х		Х		Х		X		Х	
If adjacent or contiguous, identify stream, lake or water body		-						-		-		

	Wetla	nd 19	Wetla	nd 20	Wetla	nd 21	Wetla	nd 22	Wetla	nd 23	Wetla	nd 24
Name (if known) or wetland number ¹	W-	-19	W-	W-20		W-21		-22	W-	-23	W-	-24
County	Ken	Kenosha		Racine		Racine/Kenosha		Racine		Kenosha		cine
Location (Section-Township-Range)	3-2N	l-22E	3-2N	3-2N-22E		3-2N-22E		34-2N-22E		l-22E	34-21	N-22E
Location (Latitude)	42.6	6878	42.66	8774	42.66	8739	42.669253		42.66	8748	42.66	8908
Location (Longitude)	-87.89	94757	-87.89	92257	-87.89	90323	-87.88	86348	-87.88	85631	-87.8	88532
Location Map	Pag	ge 3	Pag	Page 4		ge 4	Pag	ge 4	Pag	ge 4	Pag	ge 4
Wetland Type(s) ²		farmed, (wet) idow	Partially farmed, Fresh (wet) Meadow		Fresh (wet) Meadow, Shallow Marsh		Wet Meadow (M)		Wet Meadow (M)			leadow VI)
Wetland Loss	0.300	acres	0.073	acres	0.571	acres	0.845	acres	0.104	acres	0.073	acres
Wetland is: (Check all that apply) ³	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No
Isolated from stream, lake or other surface water body	Х		Х		Х		Х		Х		Х	
Not contiguous (in contact with) a stream, lake, or other water body, but within 100-year floodplain	Х		Х		Х		Х		Х		Х	
If adjacent or contiguous, identify stream, lake or water body												

Project ID # 3763-00-04 Page 55 of 77

	Wetla	nd 25	Wetla	nd 26	Wetla	nd 27	Wetla	nd 28	Wetla	nd 29	Wetla	nd 30
Name (if known) or wetland number ¹	W-	W-25		W-26		W-27		W-28		-29	W-	-30
County	Rad	cine	Rad	Racine		Kenosha		Racine		Kenosha		osha
Location (Section-Township-Range)	34-21	N-22E	34-21	34-2N-22E		2-2N-22E		N-22E	2-2N	l-22E	2-2N	l-22E
Location (Latitude)	42.66	8761	42.	669	42.66	42.668609		8782	42.66	88383	42.66	67018
Location (Longitude)	-87.87	37.876215		7779	-87.8	75259	-87.86	69919	-87.8	72633	-87.86	69966
Location Map	Paç	ge 5	Page 5		Page 6		Page 6		Page 6		Pag	ge 6
Wetland Type(s) ²	Wet M	eadow	Wet Meadow		Wet Meadow		Wet Meadow		Wet Meadow		Wet Meadow	
Wetland Loss	0.061	acres	1.242	acres	0.351	acres	0.203	acres	0.014	acres	0.054	acres
Wetland is: (Check all that apply) ³	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No
Isolated from stream, lake or other	Х		Х			Х		Х		Х	Х	
Surface water body	<u> </u>											
Not contiguous (in contact with) a stream, lake, or other water body,	Х		Х	х			Х		Х		Х	
but within 100-year floodplain	<u> </u>								<u> </u>			
If adjacent or contiguous, identify stream, lake or water body						Pike River		Pike River		Pike River		

	Wetla	nd 31	Wetla	nd 32	Wetla	nd 33	Wetla	nd 34	Wetla	nd 35	Wetla	nd 36
Name (if known) or wetland number ¹	W-	31	W-	W-32		W-33		-34	W-	-35	W-36	
County	Rad	Racine		Kenosha		Racine		Racine		Racine		osha
Location (Section-Township-Range)	34-21	N-22E	2-2N	-22E	35-3N-22E		35-3N-22E		35-31	N-22E	2-2N	I-22E
Location (Latitude)	42.67	2.670337		42.668054		42.668916		9831	42.66	8659	42.66	8411
Location (Longitude)	-87.8	77806	-87.86	68823	-87.86	8068	-87.86	68082	-87.86	66232	-87.86	65717
Location Map	Pag	je 5	Page 6		Page 6		Pag	ge 6	Pag	ge 6	Page 6	
Wetland Type(s) ²		(wet) dow	Wet Meadow (M)		Wet Meadow (M)		Wet Meadow		Wet Meadow			leadow ⁄I)
Wetland Loss	0.173	acres	0.501	acres	0.162	acres	0.025	acres	0.133	acres	0.211	acres
Wetland is: (Check all that apply) ³	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No
Isolated from stream, lake or other surface water body	Х		Х		Х		Х		Х		Х	
Not contiguous (in contact with) a stream, lake, or other water body, but within 100-year floodplain		X	X		X		Х		X		X	
If adjacent or contiguous, identify stream, lake or water body	Unname	Innamed Pond										

Project ID # 3763-00-04 Page 56 of 77

	Wetla	nd 37	Wetla	nd 38	Wetla	nd 39	Wetla	nd 40	Wetla	nd 41	Wetla	nd 42
Name (if known) or wetland number ¹	W-	-37	W-	W-38		W-39		-40	W-	-41	W-	-42
County	Rad	cine	Rad	Racine		Racine		Racine		Racine		cine
Location (Section-Township-Range)	35-31	N-22E	35-31	35-3N-22E		35-3N-22E		N-22E	35-31	N-22E	33-31	N-22E
Location (Latitude)	42.66	9239	42.6	6984	42.66	42.668526		8515	42.66	8534	42.66	69933
Location (Longitude)	-87.86	63795	-87.86	3183	-87.8	62438	-87.86	51801	-87.8	5829	-87.9°	11033
Location Map	Pag	ge 7	Page 7		Page 7		Page 7		Page 7		7 Page	
Wetland Type(s) ²	Wet M	eadow	Shrub Scrub		Wet Meadow		Shrub Scrub		Wooded Swamp		Wet Meadow	
Wetland Loss	0.007	acres	0.000	acres	0.012	acres	0.026	acres	0.298	acres	0.026	acres
Wetland is: (Check all that apply) ³	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No
Isolated from stream, lake or other surface water body	Х		Х		Х		Х		Х		Х	
Not contiguous (in contact with) a stream, lake, or other water body, but within 100-year floodplain	Х		Х		Х		Х		Х		Х	
If adjacent or contiguous, identify stream, lake or water body												

	Wetla	nd 43	Wetla	nd 44	
Name (if known) or wetland number ¹	W-	-43	W-	-44	
County	Ken	osha	Racine		
Location (Section-Township-Range)	3-2N	-22E	33-31	N-22E	
Location (Latitude)	42.66	8106	42.66	96278	
Location (Longitude)	-87.89	93134	-87.89	49735	
Location Map	Pag	ge 3	Pag	ge 3	
Wetland Type(s) ²		eadow Л)		leadow И)	
Wetland Loss	0.027	acres	0.023	acres	
Wetland is: (Check all that apply) ³	Yes	No	Yes	No	
Isolated from stream, lake or other surface water body	Х		Х		
Not contiguous (in contact with) a stream, lake, or other water body, but within 100-year floodplain	Х		Х		
If adjacent or contiguous, identify stream, lake or water body					

Project ID # 3763-00-04 Page 57 of 77

RIVERS, STREAMS AND FLOODPLAINS EVALUATION

Wisconsin Department of Transportation

Factor Sheet C-2

	ernative	Total Length of Center Line of Existing Roadway 2.8 miles
	ild Alternative - Reconstruction	Length of This Alternative 2.8 miles
	ferred	
	Yes No None identified	
	Stream Name: North Branch Pike River and assoc	
2.	Stream Type: (Indicate Trout Stream Class, if kno ☐ Unknown ☐ Warm water ☑ Cold water ☐ If trout stream, identify trout stream classification ☐ Wild and Scenic River	
3.	Size of Upstream Watershed Area: (Square miles	or acres)
	16.9 square miles	
4.	Stream flow characteristics: ☑ Permanent Flow (year-round) ☐ Temporary Flow (dry part of year)	
5.	Stream Characteristics: A. Substrate: 1. Sand 2. Silt 3. Clay 4. Cobbles 5. Other-describe:	
	B. Average Water Depth: 3.5 feet	
	stream. ⊠ Present - If known describe: Pike River on no	narygrass, tall manna grass, reed grass on banks, no vegetation in orth side of County KR was recently reconstructed to restore by, riffles, pools, log jams, boulder clusters and adjacent wetlands.
	D. Identify Aquatic Species Present:	
		10 per 100 m), transitional fishes are abundant to common, and

warm water fishes are uncommon to absent. Headwater species are abundant to common, mainstem species are common to absent, and river species are absent.

Fish Species Found in the Pike River Watershed: Bigmouth Shiner, Black Bullhead, Black Crappie, Blacknose Dace, Blacknose Shiner, Blueqill Bluntnose Minnow, Brook Stickleback, Brook Trout, Brown Bullhead, Central Mudminnow, Coho Salmon, Common Carp, Common Shiner, Creek Chub, Fathead Minnow, Gizzard Shad, Golden Shiner, Goldfish, Green Sunfish, Green-Bluegill Hybrid, Green-Pumpkinseed Hybrid, Largemouth Bass, Pumpkinseed, Rainbow Trout, Southern Redbelly Dace, Sand Shiner, Sunfish Hybrid, White Sucker, Yellow Bullhead, and Yellow Perch. Source: https://dnr.wi.gov/water/waterDetail.aspx?WBIC=1900

E. If water quality data is available, include this information:

The 2018 assessments of the North Branch Pike River showed impairment by chloride; new chloride sample data exceeded the 2018 WisCALM listing criteria for the Fish and Aquatic Life use.

Source: https://dnr.wi.gov/water/waterDetail.aspx?WBIC=1900

Project ID # <u>3763-00-04</u> Page 58 of 77

	F. Is this river or stream on the WDNR's "Impaired Waters" list? ☐ No ☑ Yes
6.	If bridge or box culvert replacement, are migratory bird nests present? Not Applicable None identified
_	
7.	Is a Fish & Wildlife Depredation Permit required to remove swallow nests? ☐ Not Applicable ☐ Yes
	No - Describe mitigation measures: Work to replace the existing culvert would occur only between August 30 and May 1st during the non-nesting season, or WisDOT will utilize measures to prevent nesting such as removal of unoccupied nests during the non-nesting season and installation of barrier netting prior to May 1.
8.	Describe land adjacent to stream:
	The land use surrounding the North Branch Pike River in the project area is agricultural with some nearby residential homes. The land immediately adjacent to the stream is floodplain and contains vegetation consisting of grasses and deciduous trees and shrubs. The north side of the river in Mount Pleasant was part of a Pike River improvement project, completed in 2017, that restored natural stream features and planted in native prairie vegetation and trees.
9.	Identify upstream or downstream dischargers or receivers (if any) within 0.8 kilometers (1/2 mile) of the project site:
	None identified on DNR website resources https://dnrmaps.wi.gov/H5/?Viewer=SWDV .
10	Describe proposed work in, over, or adjacent to stream. Indicate whether the work is within the 100-year floodplain and whether it is a crossing or a longitudinal encroachment: [Note: Coast Guard must be notified when Section 10 waters are affected by a proposal. Also see Wetland Evaluation, Factor Sheet C-1, Question 8.] Crossing
	The project crosses the North Branch Pike River and floodplain to the west of WIS 31. The project would reconstruct and expand the existing 2-lane undivided roadway into a 4-lane divided roadway. The expansion of the roadway near the North Branch Pike River would occur to the north of the existing roadway. A new 233-foot slab span bridge would replace the existing 3-cell (12-foot x 13.5-foot/cell) box culvert that conveys the Pike River under County KR. This work would occur in the 100-year floodplain. The proposed work would cross the floodplain and include excavating and filling approximately 2.7 acres within the floodplain.
11	. Discuss the effects of any backwater which would be created by the proposed action. Indicate whether the proposed activities would be in compliance with NR 116 by creating 0.01 ft. backwater or less:
	SEWRPC is completing a study to define updated floodplain limits in the study area. WisDOT's bridge design will accommodate the 100-year flood event and will comply with NR 116 and local flood management requirements.
12	2. Describe and provide the results of coordination with any floodplain zoning authority: WisDOT is continuing coordination with SEWRPC and floodplain zoning authorities in the villages of Mount Pleasant and Somers to ensure consistency with local floodplain ordinances and updated floodplain studies. The proposed bridge will convey the current Flood Insurance Study (FIS) flood flows.
13	 Would the proposal or any changes in the design flood, or backwater cause any of the following impacts? No impacts would occur. Significant interruption or termination of emergency vehicle service or a community's only evacuation route. Significant flooding with a potential for property loss and a hazard to life. Significant impacts on natural floodplain values such as flood storage, fish or wildlife habitat, open space, aesthetics, etc.

aesthetics, etc.

Project ID # 3763-00-04

Page 59 of 77

14. Discuss existing or planned floodplain use and briefly summarize the project's effects on that use:

North of County KR, the Pike River floodplain is within publicly owned land to be preserved as open space and natural habitat to support the Pike River restoration activities (See Factor Sheet B-8). Based on current land use plans in the village of Somers, the Pike River floodplain, south of County KR, is expected to remain in residential and commercial land use, subject to local floodplain regulations.

15. Discuss probable direct impacts to water quality within the floodplain, both during and after construction. Include the probable effects on plants, animals, and fish inhabiting or dependent upon the stream:

During construction, possible impacts include erosion and stormwater runoff into the floodplain and the Pike River. Instream work will require excavation activities for culvert removal, which can impact aquatic habitats and potentially disrupt aquatic spawning activities. Filling for roadway capacity and bridge widening would impact disturbed natural areas and could also impact recently restored wetland and prairie habitats along the Pike River, north of County KR. During construction, WisDOT would use best management practices, to manage stormwater and erosion to avoid and minimize impacts to water quality. Disturbed natural areas would be restored with native seed mixes.

To avoid impacts to aquatic species, all instream work that could adversely impact water quality would not occur between March 1st and June 1st. The new Pike River bridge spans the river channel and would avoid and minimize impacts to stream morphology, aquatic organism passage, and water quality.

WisDOT will continue coordination with DNR if a temporary channel is needed for construction. WisDOT will share channel lining methods and demonstrate the temporary channel or culvert can carry all stream flows during construction and maintain a suitable depth and velocity to allow passage of migrating fish and aquatic species. During construction, stranded fish in dewatered areas or temporary channels should be captured and returned to the active channel immediately.

The proposed design will maintain the low flow channel and improve river connectivity for fish passage upstream and downstream. The wider bridge opening would also improve wildlife passage in the Pike River corridor. After construction, the proposed action would benefit water quality by directing stormwater runoff to detention ponds via curb and gutter drainage. The detention ponds would reduce total suspended solids and other pollutants entering the Pike River.

16. Are measures proposed to enhance beneficial effects? □ No ☑ Yes. Describe: The new bridge would be sized to accommodate the 100-year flood event. The bridge will protect the roadway from washout during larger storm events. The current culvert is overtopped during flood events.

Project ID # <u>3763-00-04</u> Page 60 of 77

THREATENED, ENDANGERED and PROTECTED RESOURCES EVALUATION

Wisconsin Department of Transportation

Factor Sheet C-7

Alternative	Preferred	Project ID:
Build Alternative - Reconstruction		3763-00-04

Federal Resources

1. Complete the following table using the Official Species List from U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS).

Date of Official Species List: 7/12/18

Document all species identified on Official Species List, including proposed species.

Species Common Name	Species Scientific Name	Federal Status	Effect Determination	Justification/ Explanation
Northern Long- eared Bat	Myotis septentionalis	Threatened	Project will not result in prohibited take	Tree removal will not occur within 0.25 miles of a known hibernacula at any time of the year, nor will the activity remove a known maternity roost tree or any other tree within 150 feet of a known maternity roost tree from June 1-July 31.
Red Knot	Calidris canutus rafa	Threatened	Project will not intentionally take (or "result in prohibited take of")	The project limits do not overlap with potential suitable habitat.
Whooping crane	Grus americana	Experimental Population, Non-Essential	Project will not intentionally take (or "result in prohibited take of")	The federal status in the study area indicates the population is being introduced outside the species' current range, but within its historic range. No impact given the transient nature of the species in the project area, and the scope of the project.
Rusty Patched Bumble Bee	Bombus affinis	Endangered	Project will not intentionally take (or "result in prohibited take of")	Forested habitat in High Potential Zone (HPZ) south of County KR is too managed or disturbed to support overwintering habitat. Potential impact to HPZ north of County KR is minimized by avoiding tree clearing between October 15-March 15 and replanting disturbed areas with pollinator friendly seed mix.

Project ID # <u>3763-00-04</u> Page 61 of 77

Species	Species	Federal	Effect	Justification/
Common Name	Scientific Name	Status	Determination	Explanation
Eastern Prairie Fringed Orchid	Platanthera leucopaea	Threatened	Project will not intentionally take (or "result in prohibited take of")	No individuals observed during wetland delineations. A September 2018 field survey concluded that it is unlikely that suitable habitat exists since the wetlands were all managed, degraded, and/or densely shaded. One wetland met the minimum requirement to be potential habitat, but it is not one of the preferred habitat types. The project will not impact
				federal lands.

2.	Is there designated or proposed critical habitat in the vicinity of the project? No Yes – Describe critical habitat, proximity to project, and potential impacts to the critical habitat.
3.	Has Section 10 consultation with FWS been completed?
	□ No – Explain:
	Yes – Describe consultation efforts and conclusions: WisDOT has completed consultation in accordance with the Endangered Species Act. WisDOT determined the proposed project will not intentionally take (or "result in prohibited take of") federally listed species.
	The USFWS provided technical assistance to determine potential impacts to the Rusty Patched Bumble Bee (RPBB) On December 13, 2018, USFWS communicated its conclusions to WisDOT (See also Appendix H):
	"Based on a closer examination of the impacts, we believe there is no significant risk to RPBB in the proposed action area south of the County Line Road (1st St.) as the forested habitat appears to be either too managed or disturbed to support overwintering habitat for the species. There is still some potential of impact to RPBB within the action area north of the road if tree clearing is occurring between October 15 and March 15, although we believe the risk is relatively low. Out of an abundance of caution, postponing tree clearing until after March 15 would eliminate the risk of overwintering queen RPBBs from being inadvertently crushed or disturbed as their presence in the forested area would be highly unlikely. However, I would not consider this a requirement since our best available information we have at this time shows to area of impact to be a narrow band of trees that are fairly dense and would be less likely to be high quality overwintering habitat for the species. The conservation measures you propose to replant disturbed areas with a pollinator friendly seed mix will result in beneficial effects to RPBB and the loss of 1.29 acres of forested habitat is unlikely to limit overwintering opportunities to RPBB within this High Potential Zone in the future."
	WisDOT will include commitments to avoid, minimize and mitigate impacts to RPBB habitat, including replanting disturbed areas in the RPBB High Potential Zone (HPZ) and limit tree clearing during overwintering months.
4.	Are avoidance, minimization or compensatory mitigation measures required?
	 □ No ☑ Yes – Describe. Include commitments on Basic Sheet 8, Environmental Commitments.
	As recommended by USFWS to reduce the risk of impact to the RPBB, WisDOT will include special provisions for native flowering seed mix #70 or 70A in disturbed areas within the RPBB HPZ. WisDOT will also include special provisions to avoid tree clearing in the RPBB HPZ, in the forested area north of County KR between October 15 and March 15.

State Resources

1. Are threatened or endangered species known to occur in the vicinity of the project?

Project ID # <u>3763-00-04</u> Page 62 of 77

	None identified.
\boxtimes	Yes – Complete the following table and include the date of the most recent NHI review by WDNR.

Date of Natural Heritage Inventory (NHI) database review: 6/28/18

Species Common Name	Species Scientific Name	State Status	Effect Determination	Justification/ Explanation
Forked Aster	Eurybia furcata	Threatened	No Effect	No individuals identified during field surveys.
Heart-leaved Skullcap	Scutellaria ovata ssp. Ovata	Special Concern	No Effect	No individuals identified during field surveys.
Cooper's Milkvetch	Astragalus neglectus	Endangered	No Effect	Habitat not present.
Twinleaf	Jeffersonia diphylla	Special Concern	No Effect	No individuals identified during field surveys.
Smooth Black- haw	Viburnum prunifolium	Special Concern	No Effect	No individuals identified during field surveys.
Migratory Bird Concentration Site	Migratory Bird Concentration Site	Special Concern	No Effect	Mitigation measures in place to avoid impact.
Waxleaf Meadowrue	Thalictrum revolutum	Special Concern	No Effect	No individuals identified during field surveys.
False Hop Sedge	Carex lupuliformis	Endangered	No Effect	Habitat not present.
Prairie Crayfish	Procambarus gracilis	Special Concern	No Effect	Mitigation measures in place to avoid impact.
Blanchard's Cricket Frog	Acris blanchardi	Endangered	No Effect	Habitat not present
Rusty Patched Bumble Bee Federal High Potential Zone	Rusty Patched Bumble Bee Federal High Potential Zone	State special concern species	Project will not intentionally take (or "result in prohibited take of")	Forested habitat in High Potential Zone (HPZ) south of County KR too managed or disturbed to support overwintering habitat. Potential impact to HPZ north of County KR is minimized by avoiding tree clearing between October 15- March 15 and replanting disturbed areas with pollinator friendly seed mix.

2.	Has threatened and endangered resource coordination with WDNR been completed?
	 No − Explain: Yes − Attach and reference location in this document:
	See Environmental Commitments for threatened and endangered species, page 25 of Basic Sheets.
3.	Are avoidance, minimization or compensatory mitigation measures required?
	 No Yes – Describe. Include commitments on Basic Sheet 8, Environmental Commitments.
	WisDOT will include special provisions for native flowering seed mix #70 or 70A in disturbed areas within the RPBB HPZ. WisDOT will also include special provisions to avoid tree clearing in the RPBB HPZ, in the forested area north of

County KR between October 15 and March 15.

If Prairie Crayfish are observed during construction, WisDOT will instruct the contractor to remove crayfish from the

If Prairie Crayfish are observed during construction, WisDOT will instruct the contractor to remove crayfish from the construction area and store them in a plastic bucket with soil from where the crayfish was found. WisDOT will contact the DNR who will relocate them off the project.

Project ID # <u>3763-00-04</u> Page 63 of 77

Other Protected Resources

Bald and Golden Eagles 1. Are bald and/or golden eagles known to occur in the vicinity of the project? None identified. □ Yes 2. Will there be adverse or beneficial effects on bald and/or golden eagles as a result of the project? No − Explain: NA Yes – Describe general proximity to project and potential impacts: Has bald and golden eagle-related coordination with WDNR and/or FWS been completed? No − Explain: N/A Yes – Attach and reference location in this document: Are avoidance, minimization or compensatory mitigation measures required? Yes – Describe, Include commitments on Basic Sheet 8, Environmental Commitments. Migratory Birds 1. Are migratory birds known to occur in the vicinity of the project? ☐ None identified. ⊠ Yes DNR initial review indicates evidence of migratory birds nesting on the Pike River structure, no specific species identified. The Whooping Crane may use farm fields as temporary resting/feeding areas during migration. 2. Will there be adverse or beneficial effects on migratory birds as a result of the project? No – Explain: See avoidance and minimization measures described in Question 4. Yes – Describe general proximity to project and potential impacts: 3. Has migratory bird-related coordination with WDNR and/or FWS been completed? No – Explain: Yes – Attach and reference location in this document: See Appendix H, DNR initial review letter. Coordination with USFWS will continue during the Section 404 permit process. Are avoidance, minimization or compensatory mitigation measures required? Yes – Describe. Include commitments on Basic Sheet 8, Environmental Commitments.

To avoid potential impacts to migratory birds at the Pike River crossing, project construction will either occur only between August 30 and May 1st. (non-nesting season) or WisDOT will utilize measures to prevent nesting (e.g., remove unoccupied nests during the non-nesting season and install barrier netting prior to May 1). If netting is used, WisDOT will ensure it is properly maintained, then removed as soon as the nesting period is over. If neither of these options is practicable, WisDOT will contact USFWS to apply for a depredation permit.

Project ID # <u>3763-00-04</u> Page 64 of 77

Factor Sheet D-1

Total Length of Center Line of Existing Roadway 2.8 Length of This Alternative 2.8
Length of This Alternative 2.0
oxes must be checked: approved Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) and Transportation Improvement region's Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO). The TIP was found to any Administration and the Federal Transit Administration. Provide RTP Name and conformity finding date(s):
Land Use and TIP Name: Transportation Improvement Program for Southeastern Wisconsin: 2019-2022 (amended 12/13/18)(See "Other" below)
ional Planning TIP Number: 399
HWA/FTA)
of a Metropolitan Planning Organization's boundaries and has received a posite rural conformity section of the WisDOT/WDNR Memorandum of Agreeme formity. Provide conformity finding date. of a Metropolitan Planning Organization's boundaries and is exempt from DCFR 93.126 ited to be Not Regionally Significant of the State Transportation
2022 TIP to include County KR-Phase 2 by February 2019.
r quality analysis under Wisconsin Administrative Code – NR 411? of apply. ply – Identify exemption(s) and explain why project is exempt. quality analysis because it meets the following criteria: volume on the modified County KR segment will be 485 vehicles per hour in peak hour between WIS 31 and 90 th Street. me cannot exceed 1200 vehicles per hour) hearest roadway exceeds 12 feet, the maximum number of approach through ceptors in any of the four quadrants of the WIS 31/County KR intersection. The County KR/56 th Avenue intersection, nearest residence is 65' from the the maximum approach volume will be 1190 vehicles per hour in the design intersection. more than 12 feet, the number of through lanes cannot exceed 2, cated more than 25 feet from nearest proposed roadway edge, in each approach of less than 1800 vehicles per hour) quired?
oppersym Later of the second o

Project ID # <u>3763-00-04</u> Page 65 of 77

В.	If an air quality analysis was performed, before the project may proceed?	, will a construction permit be re	equired to address air quality
	No		
	Letter of concurrence from WDNR Bur Exhibit)	eau of Air Management requested	I. (See attached request letter
	Letter of concurrence received from W Yes – Indicate:	DNR Bureau of Air Management.	(See attached Exhibit)
_	Date Permit Requested	OR Date of Permit	

3. Mobile Source Air Toxics:

The purpose of this project is to upgrade the County KR infrastructure to safely and efficiently handle projected traffic volumes by constructing additional traffic lanes and improved intersections. This project has been determined to generate minimal air quality impacts for Clean Air Act criteria pollutants and has not been linked with any special mobile source air toxic (MSAT) concerns. As such, this project will not result in changes in traffic volumes, vehicle mix, basic project location, or any other factor that would cause a meaningful increase in MSAT impacts of the project from that of the no-build alternative.

Moreover, Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) regulations for vehicle engines and fuels will cause overall MSAT emissions to decline significantly over the next several decades. Based on regulations now in effect, an analysis of national trends with EPA's MOVES2014 model forecasts a combined reduction of over 90 percent in the total annual emissions rate for the priority MSAT from 2010 to 2050 while vehicle-miles of travel are projected to increase by over 45 percent (Updated Interim Guidance on Mobile Source Air Toxic Analysis in NEPA Documents, Federal Highway Administration, October 12, 2016). This will both reduce the background level of MSAT as well as the possibility of even minor MSAT emissions from this project.

Project ID # <u>3763-00-04</u> Page 66 of 77

CONSTRUCTION STAGE SOUND QUALITY EVALUATION

Wisconsin Department of Transportation

	Factor Sheet D-2				
	Iternative Total Length of Center Line of Existing Roadway 2.8 miles Length of This Alternative 2.8 miles				
	eferred Yes No None Identified				
1.	Identify and describe residences, schools, libraries, and which will be in use during construction of the p potentially affected:	or other noise sensitive areas near the proposed action roposed action. Include the number of persons			
	There are 138 residences (Land Use Category B) abuttin limits.	ng the proposed roadway improvement within the project			
2.	Describe the types of construction equipment to be unoise levels including the frequency and duration of	used on the project. Discuss the expected severity of any anticipated high noise levels:			
	Noise will be generated by construction equipment used to construct and reconstruct the study-area local roadway system. Typical construction equipment would include dump trucks, graders, cranes, bulldozers, and pavement construction equipment. The noise generated by this type of construction equipment will vary greatly, depending upon the equipment type and model, mode and duration of operation, and specific type of work effort; however, typical noise levels may occur in the 75 to 95 dBA range (at 50 feet). Other distance-typical noise level ranges are shown on Table 1: Construction Noise/Distance Relationships .				
	Variations in building setbacks and land use, local intens timing of construction will result in varying degrees of expresulting construction noise impacts. Adverse effects relatemporary, and transient nature.				
3.	Describe the construction stage noise abatement me Check all that apply: ☐ WisDOT Standard Specifications 107.8(6) and 108.7 ☐ WisDOT Standard Specifications 107.8(6) and 108.7 requiring the engineer's written approval for operation ☐ Special construction stage noise abatement measure	1.1 will apply. 1.1 will apply with the exception that the hours of operation ns will be changed to P.M. until A.M.			

Project ID # <u>3763-00-04</u> Page 67 of 77

Table 1: Construction Noise/ Distance Relationships

Distance from Construction Site (feet)	Range of Typical Noise Levels (dBA) ¹
25	82 – 102
50	75 – 95
100	69 – 89
200	63 – 83
300	59 – 79
400	57 – 77
500	55 – 75
1000	49 – 69

¹ Point sources = 6 dBA reduction per doubling of distance **Source**: FDM 23-40, Attachment 1.1

Project ID # <u>3763-00-04</u> Page 68 of 77

TRAFFIC NOISE EVALUATION

Wisconsin Department of Transportation

iles
et.
asic
s:
1
l
und:
ect
eq an /e of 5
5 - 9 L j

Project ID # <u>3763-00-04</u> Page 69 of 77

emer	
	raffic noise abatement measures be implemented? Not applicable – Traffic noise impacts will not occur.
	No – Traffic noise abatement is not reasonable or feasible (explain why). In areas currently undevelope
<u>'</u>	local units of government shall be notified of predicted sound levels for land use planning purposes
	copy of this written notification shall be included in the final environmental document.
	A copy of this written notification shall be included in the final environmental document.
	.,,
□ `	Yes – Traffic noise abatement has been determined to be feasible and reasonable. Describe any traffic
	abatement measures which are proposed to be implemented. Explain how it will be determined wh
	or not those measures will be implemented:
., .	
	ous methods were reviewed to mitigate the noise impact of the proposed project. Among these were very
	horizontal alignment shifts, restriction of truck traffic to specific times of the day, a total prohibition of tru
rattı	c, the use of berms and the use of sound barriers.
Shift	s in the alignment are not practical because of limited right-of-way and the need to terminate the projec
spec	ific intersections. Prohibition of truck traffic is not feasible for this project. Limited right-of-way also wo
perm	nit the construction of berms. Noise barriers, to be effective, must be solid with no gaps. There are 23
resid	ences abutting County KR that would be exposed to design hour noise levels of 66 to 68 dBA Leq 1 tha
appr	oach or exceed 67 dBA Leq. These properties require access to the proposed project, prohibiting the
	truction of an effective sound barrier. Additionally, it is impossible to construct a noise barrier for indivi-
prop	erties that meets the feasibility and reasonableness criteria of FDM 23 Noise.
Thor	e are several undeveloped areas adjacent to County KD. West of 00th Street the 66 dDA L. cothook u
	e are several undeveloped areas adjacent to County KR. West of 90 th Street the 66 dBA Leq setback w
	5 feet. Between 90th Street and Green Bay Road the setback would be 75 feet. Between WIS 31 (Gree
	d) and Old Green Bay Road, the setback would be 60 feet. These setback distances indicate that noise In this distance, measured perpendicular to the centerline of the nearest lane in either direction, is 66 dI
	eater. This setback distance was developed to assist local planning authorities in developing land use
	ol over the remaining undeveloped lands along the project to prevent further development of incompat
land	
iaiia	

 $^{^1}$ L_{eq} is the nomenclature assigned to the equivalent continuous sound level. Project ID # $\underline{3763\text{-}00\text{-}04}$

			Sound	Level Leq ² (dBA)	lm	pact Evaluation	on
Receptor	Distance	Number of	Noise	Future	Existing	Difference	Difference	Impact ⁵
Location or	from C/L of	Families or	Level	Sound	Sound	in Future	in Future	or No
Site	Near Lane to	People	Criteria ³	Level ⁴	Level	and	Sound	Impact
Identification	Receptor in	Typical of	(NLC)			Existing	Levels and	'
(See	feet (ft.)	this	, ,			Sound	Noise	
attached	, ,	Receptor				Levels	Level	
map)		Site				(Col. e	Criteria	
						minus	(Col. e	
						Col. f)	minus	
							Col. d)	
(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)
N1 ⁵	120.4	1	66	R	61	-	-	-
N2 ⁵	61.0	1	66	R	64	-	-	-
N3 ⁵	208.8	1	66	R	56	-	-	-
N4 ⁵	237.0	1	66	R	54	-	-	-
N5 ⁵	103.7	1	66	R	62	-	-	-
N6 ⁵	182.5	1	66	R	58	-	-	-
N7	113.3	1	66	R	54	-	-	-
N8	92.5	1	66	66	62	4	0	I
N9	113.3	1	66	67	62	5	1	I
N10	103.4	1	66	R	63	-	-	-
N11	90.7	1	66	R	64	-	-	-
N12	57.5	1	66	R	66	-		-
N13	248.6	1	66	60	54	6	-6	N
N14	123.2	1	66	R	60	-	-	-
N15	85.1	1	66	R	63	-	-	-
N16	183.8	1	66	64	56	8	-2	N
N17	184.9	1	66	64	56	8 10	-2 2	N
N18	139.0 137.5	1	66 66	68 68	58 59	9	2	I I
N19 N20	137.3	1	66	67	58	9	<u>Z</u>	I
N21	145.5	1	66	67	58	9	1	I
N21 N22	137.5	1	66	67	60	7	1	I
N23	149.2	1	66	68	61	7	2	I I
N24	145.5	1	66	65	62	3	-1	N
N25	135.2	1	66	63	59	4	-3	N
N26	127.8	1	66	59	56	3	-3 -7	N
N27	87.0	1	66	58	55	3	-8	N
N28	97.8	1	66	56	54	2	-10	N
N29	129.9	1	66	54	53	1	-12	N
N30	129.7	1	66	55	55	0	-11	N
N31	122.9	1	66	56	56	0	-10	N
N32	121.5	1	66	56	56	0	-10	N
N33	142.7	1	66	53	53	0	-13	N
N34	152.9	1	66	58	58	0	-8	N
N35	164.9	1	66	52	49	3	-14	N
N36	132.6	1	66	49	47	2	-17	N
N37	139.9	1	66	47	46	1	-19	N
N38	90.0	1	66	51	50	1	-15	N

² Use whole numbers only.

³ Insert the actual Noise Level Criteria from FDM 23-30, Table 1.

 $^{^4}$ R = Relocation.

⁵ An impact occurs when future sound levels exceed existing sound levels by 15 dB or more, <u>or</u>, future sound levels approach or exceed the Noise Level Criteria ("approach" is defined as 1 dB less than the Noise Level Criteria, therefore an impact occurs when Column (h) is -1 dB or greater). I = Impact, N = No Impact.

⁵ Village of Mount Pleasant has already purchased these properties for future development plans. Project ID # <u>3763-00-04</u>

	1		1 1		I	1	1	T
N39	85.6	1	66	56	55	1	-10	N
N40	146.4	1	66	46	44	2	-20	N
N41	67.9	1	66	45	44	1	-21	N
N42	81.7	1	66	45	43	2	-21	N
N43	260.8	1	66	46	44	2	-20	N
N44	485.3	1	66	46	44	2	-20	N
N45	322.9	1	66	51	49	2	-15	N
N46	211.8	1	66	50	48	2	-16	N
N47	167.4	1	66	50	49	1	-16	N
N48	69.3	1	66	52	49	3	-14	N
N49	216.7	1	66	54	53	1	-12	N
N50	193.8	1	66	53	52	1	-13	N
N51	63.4	1	66	53	52	1	-13	N
N52	68.2	1	66	55	52	3	-11	N
N53	74.6	1	66	55	53	2	-11	N
N54	105.0	1	66	54	51	3	-12	N
N55	77.5	1	66	53	50	3	-13	N
N56	97.5	1	66	51	49	2	-15	N
N57	264.5	1	66	49	48	1	-17	N
N58	380.3	1	66	50	47	3	-16	N
N59	402.1	1	66	53	50	3	-13	N
N60	284.6	1	66	56	53	3	-10	N
N61	184.0	<u>1</u> 1	66	60	57	3	-10 -6	N
N62	142.3	1 1	66	63	59	4	-3	N
		1 1					-3 -5	
N63	86.8		66	61	58	3		N
N64	78.6	1	66	64	62	2	-2	N
N65	152.2	1	66	59	57	2	-7	N
N66	70.8	1	66	58	57	1	-8	N
N67	49.9	1	66	67	66	1	1	I
N68	126.6	1	66	61	58	3	-5	N
N69	65.3	1	66	53	52	1	-13	N
N70	74.6	1	66	52	50	2	-14	N
N71	100.7	1	66	51	49	2	-15	N
N72	223.1	1	66	52	50	2	-14	N
N73	328.9	1	66	52	50	2	-14	N
N74	281.1	1	66	56	54	2	-10	N
N75	349.8	1	66	55	53	2	-11	N
N76	194.2	1	66	54	52	2	-12	N
N77	106.7	1	66	54	51	3	-12	N
N78	71.1	1	66	60	57	3	-6	N
N79	106.0	1	66	63	59	4	-3	N
N80	59.2	1	66	67	64	3	1	I
N81	120.3	1	66	59	59	0	-7	N
N82	105.8	1	66	57	59	-2	-9	N
N83	108.6	1	66	57	59	-2	-9	N
N84	150.1	1	66	55	56	-1	-11	N
N85	197.5	1	66	53	53	0	-13	N
N86	107.5	1	66	56	59	-3	-10	N
N87	291.0	1	66	51	50	1	-15	N
N88	324.6	1	66	50	49	1	-16	N
N89	160.8	1	66	55	55	0	-10	N
N90	536.1	1	66	49	47	2	-17	N
N91	151.2	1	66	56	55	1	-17	N
N92	100.5	1	66	59	59		-10 -7	N
		<u> </u>				0	-7 -7	
N93	102.3		66	59	58	1		N
N94	152.7	1	66	56	55	1	-10	N
N95	144.1	1	66	57	56	1	-9	N
N96	346.8	1	66	57	57	0	-9	N
N97	301.4	1	66	57	54	3	-9	N
Project ID # 3763-00	0.04							Page 72 of 77

Project ID # <u>3763-00-04</u> Page 72 of 77

NIOO	112.0	1		C 4	50	7	2	NT
N98	113.0	1	66	64	59	5	-2	N
N99	101.0	1	66	57	54	3	<u>-9</u>	N
N100	75.1	1	66	56	54	2	-10	N
N101	67.6	1	66	58	55	3	-8	N
N102	168.3	1	66	56	52	4	-10	N
N103	266.5	1	66	56	52	4	-10	N
N104	332.1	1	66	56	52	4	-10	N
N105	329.3	1	66	56	52	4	-10	N
N106	354.9	1	66	56	51	5	-10	N
N107	328.6	1	66	56	52	4	-10	N
N108	309.5	1	66	56	52	4	-10	N
N109	330.4	1	66	56	52	4	-10	N
N110	338.9	1	66	56	51	5	-10	N
N111	326.3	1	66	56	52	4	-10	N
N112	319.1	1	66	56	52	4	-10	N
N113	293.9	1	66	57	52	5	-9	N
N114	383.2	1	66	56	50	6	-10	N
N115	84.0	1	66	67	63	4	1	I
N116	96.8	1	66	66	62	4	0	I
N117	72.2	1	66	67	64	3	1	I
N118	75.9	1	66	67	64	3	1	I
N119	73.2	1	66	67	64	3	1	I
N120	73.0	1	66	63	61	2	-3	N
N121	71.9	1	66	67	64	3	1	I
N122	72.2	1	66	67	64	3	1	I
N123	70.1	1	66	67	64	3	1	I
N124	68.6	1	66	67	65	2	1	I
N125	69.2	1	66	67	64	3	1	I
N126	65.6	1	66	67	65	2	1	I
N127	67.3	1	66	67	65	2	1	I
N128	65.9	1	66	63	62	1	-3	N
N129	68.1	1	66	66	65	1	0	I
N130	79.0	1	66	65	63	2	-1	N
N131	280.2	1	66	59	53	6	-7	N
N132	94.0	1	66	62	62	0	-4	N
N133	95.0	1	66	61	62	-1	-5	N
N134	65.1	1	66	57	58	-1	-9	N
N135	164.7	1	66	52	51	1	-14	N
N136	213.5	1	66	50	49	1	-16	N
N137	109.1	1	66	56	55	1	-10	N
N138	345.4	1	66	49	47	2	-17	N

Project ID # <u>3763-00-04</u> Page 73 of 77

HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCES, CONTAMINATION and ASBESTOS EVALUATION

Wisconsin Department of Transportation

Factor Sheet D-4

Alternative	Preferred	Project ID:
Build Alternative – Reconstruction		3763-00-04

1. Briefly describe the results of the Phase 1 Hazardous Materials Assessment for this alternative. Do not use property identifiers including owner name, address or business name. Attach additional sheets if necessary.

Site Reference #	Land Use of Concern (Past or Present)	Contaminants of Concern	Phase 1 Recommendations (No further action, or is a phase 2, 2.5 or 3 recommended for this site, and why?)
1	Agricultural	Mineral oil	No further action
2	Agricultural	Epoxy	No further action
3	Agricultural	Diesel UST	No further action
4	Residential	Fuel oil UST	No further action
5	Transportation	Railroad corridor	Phase 2, Phase 2.5
6	Transportation	Railroad corridor	Phase 2, Phase 2.5
7	Agricultural	SHWMS facility / small generator status	No further action
8	Institutional	Diesel Fuel	Phase 2, Phase 2.5

Additional comments:

2.	Were any parcels not included in the Phase 1 assessment?
	NoYes – How many:Why were the parcels not reviewed?
3.	Are there any sites with continuing obligations or deed restrictions?
	 No Yes − Complete the table for each site closed with continuing obligations or deed restrictions.

Site Reference #	Soil or Excavation Restrictions	Groundwater Restrictions	Cover Restrictions	Other Restrictions	DNR Notification Required?
					☐ No
					Yes, DNR
					has been notified.
					DNR response is
					attached.
					│
					☐ Yes
					Yes, DNR
					has been notified.
					DNR response is
					attached.
					□ No
					☐ Yes
					Yes, DNR
					has been notified.
					DNR response is
					attached.

Project ID # <u>3763-00-04</u> Page 74 of 77

4. Have Phase 2, 2.5 or 3 Assessments been completed? Discuss the results.

Site Reference #	Phase 2, 2.5 or 3 Recommendations	Pla: Remed	Handling n or diation nended?	Is WisDOT a Responsible Party?	
		Yes	No	Yes	No

5. Describe the results of any additional investigations performed by WisDOT or others (Include the number of sites investigated, the level of investigation and results for each site that relates to this project).

N/A

6. Describe any design elements that have been incorporate into this alternative to avoid any contaminated sites.

None, avoidance is not practicable.

7. Describe the remediation and waste management practices to be included in the design for areas where contamination cannot be avoided (e.g., materials handling plan, remediation of contamination, design changes to minimize disturbances).

Sites 5 and 6 are railroad properties and owners do not allow soil or groundwater testing during the project design phase. WisDOT will include a contract special provision that identifies a high likelihood of encountering contaminated soil, and then conduct test pits and characterization as construction begins.

Disturbance near potentially contaminated sites would be minimized to the extent possible and practicable. As applicable, the contract special provisions would include a Notice to Contractor describing the potential contamination with names and locations of the sites. The areas of potential contamination would be marked on the plan sheets with reference to check the Notice to Contractor in the special provisions.

WisDOT will include special provisions to notify contractors of potential presence of petroleum underground storage tanks or potential contaminated soils and/or groundwater before proceeding with any construction activities at those sites.

8. List any parcels with known contamination which are proposed for acquisition.

The project requires partial acquisitions of Sites 1, 2, 3 (also includes relocation), 4 and 7, which have potential contaminants of concern, but no further action is recommended. No acquisition is anticipated on the Sites 5 and 6, which are railroad properties. WisDOT will complete a Phase 2/2.5 investigation on Site 8 (partial acquisition) prior to construction.

9. ASBESTOS

Have the bridges been inspected for the presence of asbestos containing material (ACM)?

No − Explain:Yes − Fill out the table. Insert additional rows as needed.

Bridge	Results of Asbestos Sampling	Proposed Work (brief description)	List the Appropriate
Number			Special Provision
N/A – the structure is Pike River box culvert	No asbestos containing material identified	Demolition and replacement can proceed	107-125

Note: All structures to be acquired and demolished or relocated require asbestos inspections and will be inspected once acquisition has taken place.

Project ID # <u>3763-00-04</u> Page 75 of 77

S	TORMWATER EVALUATION	Factor Sheet D-5	Wisconsin Department of Transportation
		Tuotor encor 2 c	
I	Alternative	Preferred	Project ID:
L	Build Alternative - Reconstruction	Xes ☐ No ☐ None Identified	3763-00-04
1.	Indicate whether the proposed action m (Trans 401.03).	ay cause a discharge or will discharge	to the waters of the state
	Yes. Project discharges to the Pike River.		
2.	Special consideration should be given to whether or not a sensitive area is presenteded.		
	 No water special natural resources are Yes – Water special natural resources River/stream Wetland Lake Endangered species habitat Other – Describe: 		
	Describe protection recommendations: Cur ditches where practical and complement at direct runoff to stormwater detention ponds (RSC).1	locations where necessary and unavoida	ble. The storm sewer system will
3.	Indicate whether circumstances exist in such as an increase in peak flow, total s		
		tes exist. Indicate all that are present. Areas of groundwater recharge Overland flow/runoff High velocity flows Impaired waterway Exceptional/outstanding resource w Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) ative, or atypical stormwater management	t measures to be used to d an RSC system will be
4.	Describe the overall stormwater manage	ement strategy to minimize adverse and	d enhance beneficial effects.

Four Three stormwater detention ponds are proposed along the project corridor (see Appendix B-1). Stormwater detention ponds (also known as wet detention ponds) have a permanent pool of water and are designed to improve water quality and reduce peak flow rates. Well designed and maintained stormwater detention ponds can remove 80% to 90% of total suspended solids (TSS) and remove pollutants associated with TSS such as Cadmium, Copper, Lead, Zinc, and Hydrocarbons. Peak flow rate control is dependent on basin size and inlet and outlet configurations. See FDM 10-35-15. A fourth treatment site, on the south side of County KR, between the Pike River and WIS 31 would feature a regenerative stormwater conveyance (RSC) system to allow stormwater treatment, infiltration and conveyance. The RSC would meet or exceed TRANS 401 requirements. Current studies provided by the Root/Pike Watershed Initiative Network, (an advocacy group for the Root River and Pike River watersheds) indicate the RSC system will remove 79% of TSS.

http://dep.wv.gov/WWE/Programs/stormwater/MS4/Documents/Specification 4.2.7 Regenerative Stormwater Conveyance WV-SW-Manual-11-2012.pdf

Project ID # 3763-00-04 Page 76 of 77

¹ Regenerative Stormwater Conveyance is an alternative approach to provide stormwater treatment, infiltration, and conveyance with one system. RSC uses a series of shallow aquatic pools, riffle structures, native vegetation and underlying sand and woodchip beds to treat, detain and convey storm flow. Accessed February 12, 2019 at

5.	Indicate how the stormwater management plan will be compatible with fulfilling Trans 401 requirements.
	Because this is a highway reconstuction project, TRANS 401 requires TSS reduction to the maximum extent practicable, or up to 40%. The stated potential TSS reduction ability of stormwater detention ponds of 80% to 90% should be in compliance with and fulfill the TRANS 401 requirements.
6.	Identify the stormwater management measures to be utilized.
	Swale treatment (parallel to flow) In-line storm sewer treatment, such as catch basins, non-mechanical treatment systems. Vegetated filter strips (perpendicular to flow) Detention/retention basins − Trans 401.106(6)(3) Distancing outfalls from waterway edge In-line storm sewer treatment, such as catch basins, non-mechanical treatment systems. Detention/retention basins − Trans 401.106(6)(3) Distancing outfalls from waterway edge Infiltration − Trans 401.106(5) Other − Describe: Regenerative stormwater conveyance system
7.	Indicate whether any Drainage District may be affected by the project. No − None identified
	Yes Has initial coordination with a drainage board been completed? No – Explain why: Yes – Discuss results:
8.	Indicate whether the project is within WisDOT's Phase I or Phase II stormwater management areas. Note: See Procedure 20-30-1, Figure 1, Attachment A4, the Cooperative Agreement between WisDOT and WisDNR. Contact Regional Stormwater/erosion Control Engineer if assistance in needed to complete the following:
	No – The project is outside of WisDOT's stormwater management area. During construction, this project will be covered under WisDOT's Transportation Construction General Permit (TCGP) WPDES Permit No. WI-S066796-1.
	Yes – The project affects one of the following and is regulated by a WPDES stormwater discharge permit, issued by the WisDNR:
	 ☐ A WisDOT storm sewer system, located within a municipality with a population greater than 100,000. ☐ A WisDOT storm sewer system located within the area of a notified owner of a municipal separate storm sewer system.
	 An urbanized area, as defined by the U.S. Census Bureau, NR216.02(3). A municipal separate storm sewer system serving a population less than 10,000.
9.	Has the effect on downstream properties been considered?
	No – Explain why: Yes – Coordination has been completed or is in process, describe: The project stormwater management provisions have been, will continue to be coordinated with the villages of Mount Pleasant and Somers and adhere to applicable local runoff/discharge limits. In general, the proposed stormwater detention basins and RSC will be designed to limit peak flow discharge rates and should not affect regional hydrology and/or flood elevations along the Pike River.

Project ID # <u>3763-00-04</u> Page 77 of 77